

PROVECTUS

PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

7327 Oak Ridge Highway
Knoxville, TN 37931

phone 866/594-5999
fax 866/998-0005

Dear Stockholder:

You are cordially invited to attend the 2011 annual meeting of stockholders, which will be held on Thursday, June 23, 2011 at 4:00 p.m. Eastern Time at the offices of Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC located at 265 Brookview Centre Way, Suite 600, Knoxville, Tennessee 37919.

The Notice and Proxy Statement on the following pages contain details concerning the business to come before the meeting.

Regardless of whether you plan to attend the 2011 annual meeting in person, please complete, sign and date the enclosed proxy card and return it promptly in the accompanying postage-paid envelope. I look forward to personally meeting all stockholders who are able to attend.



Peter R. Culpepper
Chief Financial Officer, Chief Operating Officer and
Secretary

YOUR VOTE IS IMPORTANT

TO ENSURE THAT YOU ARE REPRESENTED AT THE 2011 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS, PLEASE COMPLETE, SIGN, DATE AND PROMPTLY RETURN THE ENCLOSED PROXY IN THE ACCOMPANYING ENVELOPE, REGARDLESS OF WHETHER YOU PLAN TO ATTEND THE 2011 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS IN PERSON. NO ADDITIONAL POSTAGE IS NECESSARY IF THE PROXY IS MAILED IN THE UNITED STATES. YOU MAY REVOKE YOUR PROXY AT ANY TIME BEFORE IT IS VOTED AT THE MEETING.



7327 Oak Ridge Highway
Knoxville, TN 37931

phone 866/594-5999
fax 866/998-0005

**NOTICE OF 2011 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS
TO BE HELD ON JUNE 23, 2011**

To the Stockholders of Provectus Pharmaceuticals, Inc.:

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that we will hold the 2011 annual meeting of stockholders of Provectus Pharmaceuticals, Inc. on Thursday, June 23, 2011 at 4:00 p.m. Eastern Time, at the offices of Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC located at 265 Brookview Centre Way, Suite 600, Knoxville, Tennessee 37919. The 2011 annual meeting is being held for the following purposes:

1. To elect five directors to serve on our Board of Directors for a one-year term;
2. To approve and adopt an amendment to our Restated Articles of Incorporation, as amended, to increase the number of shares of common stock, par value \$.001 per share, that we are authorized to issue from 150,000,000 to 200,000,000 shares.
3. To approve an amendment to our Amended and Restated 2002 Stock Plan, as amended, to increase the number of shares of common stock reserved for issuance from 15,000,000 to 20,000,000;
4. To conduct an advisory vote to approve the compensation of our named executive officers;
5. To conduct an advisory vote on the frequency of future votes to approve the compensation of our named executive officers; and
6. To ratify the selection of BDO USA, LLP as our independent auditor for 2011.

Stockholders also will transact any other business that properly comes before the 2011 annual meeting of stockholders.

OUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE "FOR" PROPOSALS 1 THROUGH 4 AND 6, AND FOR "1 YEAR" FOR PROPOSAL 5.

Only stockholders of record as of the close of business on April 25, 2011 will be entitled to notice of and to vote at the 2011 annual meeting of stockholders and any adjournment thereof.

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to Be Held on June 23, 2011. This Proxy Statement and our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 are available at http://www.pvct.com/annual_reports.html.

By order of our Board of Directors,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Peter R. Culpepper". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, stylized "P" and "R".

Peter R. Culpepper
Secretary

May 2, 2011
Knoxville, Tennessee

[This page intentionally left blank.]

TABLE OF CONTENTS

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE 2011 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS	2
What is the purpose of the 2011 Annual Meeting?	2
Who is entitled to vote?	2
Am I entitled to vote if my shares are held in “street name”?	2
What constitutes a quorum?	2
What happens if a quorum is not present at the 2011 annual meeting?	2
How do I vote?	3
Can I change my vote after I return my proxy card?	3
What are the Board’s recommendations?	3
What happens if I do not specify how my shares are to be voted?	3
Will any other business be conducted at the 2011 annual meeting?	3
What vote is required to approve each item?	3
How will Abstentions and Broker Non-Votes be Treated?	4
STOCK OWNERSHIP	5
Directors, Executive Officers, and Other Stockholders	5
Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance	6
INFORMATION ABOUT OUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS	7
How does our Board of Directors operate?	7
How often did our Board of Directors meet in 2010?	7
Director Nominations	7
Report of our Board of Directors Acting as our Audit Committee	8
EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	9
Summary Compensation Table	9
Outstanding Equity Awards at 2010 Year-End	11
DIRECTOR COMPENSATION	12
Director Compensation Table for 2010	12
PROPOSAL 1 — ELECTION OF DIRECTORS	13
Director Nominees	13
Transactions with Director Nominees	14
PROPOSAL 2 — AMENDMENT TO RESTATED ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION	15
Description of the Amendment	15
Background	15
Reasons for the Proposed Amendment	15
Possible Anti-Takeover Effects of the Amendment	16
No Preemptive Rights	16
Vote Required	16
PROPOSAL 3 — AMENDMENT TO 2002 STOCK PLAN	17
Description of the Amendment	17
Background	17
Reasons for the Proposed Amendment	17
Summary of 2002 Stock Plan	17
Types of Equity-Based Awards	18
Adjustments upon Change of Capitalization, Dissolution, Merger, Asset Sale or Change of Control	19

Transferability	20
Amendment and Termination	20
Federal Income Tax Consequences	20
Award Grants	21
Equity Compensation Plan Information	22
PROPOSAL 4 — ADVISORY VOTE TO APPROVE THE COMPENSATION OF OUR NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS	23
PROPOSAL 5 — ADVISORY VOTE ON THE FREQUENCY OF FUTURE VOTES TO APPROVE THE COMPENSATION OF OUR NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS	24
PROPOSAL 6 — RATIFICATION OF SELECTION OF INDEPENDENT AUDITOR	25
General	25
Audit and Non-Audit Services	25
OTHER INFORMATION CONCERNING MANAGEMENT	26
Executive Officers	26
Code of Ethics	26
OTHER MATTERS	26
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	27
Solicitation of Proxies	27
Mailing Address of Principal Executive Office	27
Stockholder Proposals for Including in Proxy Statement for 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders	27
Other Stockholder Proposals for Presentation at 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders	27
APPENDIX A	A-1



7327 Oak Ridge Highway
Knoxville, TN 37931

phone 865/769-4011
fax 865/769-4013

**PROXY STATEMENT FOR
2011 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS
TO BE HELD ON JUNE 23, 2011**

We are delivering these proxy materials to solicit proxies on behalf of the Board of Directors of Provectus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., for the annual meeting of stockholders to be held on Thursday, June 23, 2011, beginning at 4:00 p.m. Eastern Time, at 265 Brookview Centre Way, Suite 600, Knoxville, Tennessee.

We are mailing this proxy statement, together with a form of proxy and our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, beginning on May 2, 2011.

At the meeting, our stockholders will vote on proposals to (1) elect five directors to serve on our Board of Directors for a one-year term; (2) approve and adopt an amendment to our Restated Articles of Incorporation, as amended (Restated Articles of Incorporation) to increase the number of shares of common stock, par value \$.001 per share, that we are authorized to issue from 150,000,000 to 200,000,000 shares; (3) approve an amendment to our Amended and Restated 2002 Stock Plan, as amended (2002 Stock Plan), to increase the number of shares of common stock reserved for issuance from 15,000,000 to 20,000,000; (4) conduct an advisory vote to approve the compensation of our named executive officers; (5) conduct an advisory vote on the frequency of future votes to approve the compensation of our named executive officers; and (6) ratify the selection of BDO USA, LLP as our independent auditor for 2011. The proposals are set forth in the accompanying Notice of 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and are described in more detail in this Proxy Statement. Stockholders also will transact any other business, not known or determined at the time of this proxy solicitation that properly comes before the 2011 annual meeting of stockholders, although our Board of Directors knows of no such other business to be presented.

OUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE “FOR” PROPOSALS 1 THROUGH 4 AND 6, AND FOR “1 YEAR” FOR PROPOSAL 5.

When you submit your proxy by executing and returning the enclosed proxy card, you will authorize the proxy holders — Peter R. Culpepper and Linda M. Crouch-McCreadie — to vote as proxy all your shares of common stock or 8% convertible preferred stock and otherwise to act on your behalf at the 2011 annual meeting of stockholders and any adjournment thereof, in accordance with the instructions set forth therein. These persons also will have discretionary authority to vote your shares on any other business that properly comes before the meeting. They also may vote your shares to adjourn the meeting and will be authorized to vote your shares at any adjournment of the meeting.

YOUR VOTE IS IMPORTANT

TO ENSURE THAT YOU ARE REPRESENTED AT THE 2011 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS, PLEASE COMPLETE, SIGN, DATE AND PROMPTLY RETURN THE ENCLOSED PROXY IN THE ACCOMPANYING ENVELOPE, REGARDLESS OF WHETHER YOU PLAN TO ATTEND THE 2011 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS IN PERSON. NO ADDITIONAL POSTAGE IS NECESSARY IF THE PROXY IS MAILED IN THE UNITED STATES. YOU MAY REVOKE YOUR PROXY AT ANY TIME BEFORE IT IS VOTED AT THE MEETING.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE 2011 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

What is the purpose of the 2011 Annual Meeting?

At the 2011 annual meeting, stockholders will act upon the following matters:

1. To elect five directors to serve on our Board of Directors for a one-year term;
2. To approve and adopt an amendment to our Restated Articles of Incorporation to increase the number of shares of common stock, par value \$.001 per share, that we are authorized to issue from 150,000,000 to 200,000,000 shares;
3. To approve an amendment to our 2002 Stock Plan to increase the number of shares of common stock reserved for issuance from 15,000,000 to 20,000,000;
4. To conduct an advisory vote to approve the compensation of our named executive officers;
5. To conduct an advisory vote on the frequency of future votes to approve the compensation of our named executive officers; and
6. To ratify the selection of BDO USA, LLP as our independent auditor for 2011.

Stockholders also will transact any other business, not known or determined at the time of this proxy solicitation that properly comes before the 2011 annual meeting of stockholders, although our Board of Directors knows of no such other business to be presented.

Who is entitled to vote?

Only stockholders of record at the close of business on April 25, 2011, the record date for the 2011 annual meeting, are entitled to receive notice of the 2011 annual meeting and to vote the shares of common stock and 8% convertible preferred stock that they held on that date at the 2011 annual meeting. Each outstanding share of common stock, par value \$.001 per share, and of 8% convertible preferred stock, par value \$.001 per share, entitles its holder to cast one vote on each matter to be voted on at the 2011 annual meeting. The shares of common stock and 8% convertible preferred stock will vote together as a single class.

Am I entitled to vote if my shares are held in “street name”?

If you are the beneficial owner of shares held in “street name” by a brokerage firm, bank, or other nominee, such entity, as the record holder of the shares, is required to vote the shares in accordance with your instructions. If you do not give instructions to your nominee, it will nevertheless be entitled to vote your shares on “discretionary” items but will not be permitted to do so on “non-discretionary” items. Proposals 1 and 3 through 5 are non-discretionary items for which a nominee will not have discretion to vote in the absence of voting instructions from you. However, Proposals 2 and 6 are discretionary items on which your nominee will be entitled to vote your shares even in the absence of instructions from you.

What constitutes a quorum?

The presence at the 2011 annual meeting, in person or by proxy, of the holders of a majority of the shares of common stock and 8% convertible preferred stock outstanding on the record date will constitute a quorum. As of the record date, there were 102,134,157 outstanding shares of common stock and 4,889,997 outstanding shares of 8% convertible preferred stock, for a total of 107,024,154 shares of stock outstanding. Shares held by stockholders present at the 2011 annual meeting in person or represented by proxy who elect to abstain from voting nonetheless will be included in the calculation of the number of shares considered present at the 2011 annual meeting.

What happens if a quorum is not present at the 2011 annual meeting?

If a quorum is not present at the scheduled time of the meeting, the holders of a majority of the shares of common stock and 8% convertible preferred stock present in person or represented by proxy at the meeting may adjourn the meeting to another place, date, or time until a quorum is present. The place, date, and time of the adjourned meeting will be announced when the adjournment is taken, and no other notice will be given unless the adjournment is for more than thirty days, or if after the adjournment a new record date is fixed for the adjourned meeting.

How do I vote?

If you complete and properly sign the accompanying proxy card and return it to us, the proxy holders named on the proxy card will vote your shares as you direct. If you are a registered stockholder and attend the 2011 annual meeting, you may deliver your completed proxy card or vote in person at the 2011 annual meeting. If you hold your shares in a brokerage account or in “street name” and you wish to vote at the 2011 annual meeting, you will need to obtain a proxy from the broker or other nominee who holds your shares.

Can I change my vote after I return my proxy card?

Yes. Even after you have submitted your proxy card, you may change your vote at any time before the proxy is exercised by filing with the Secretary either a notice of revocation or a duly executed proxy card bearing a later date. If you are a “street name” stockholder, you must contact your broker or other nominee and follow its instructions if you wish to change your vote. The powers of the proxy holders will be suspended if you attend the 2011 annual meeting in person and so request, although your attendance at the 2011 annual meeting will not by itself revoke a previously granted proxy.

What are the Board’s recommendations?

Our Board of Directors unanimously recommends that you vote:

1. “FOR” the election of five directors to serve on our Board of Directors for a one-year term;
2. “FOR” the approval and adoption of an amendment to our Restated Articles of Incorporation to increase the number of shares of common stock, par value \$.001 per share, that we are authorized to issue from 150,000,000 to 200,000,000 shares;
3. “FOR” the approval of an amendment to our 2002 Stock Plan to increase the number of shares of common stock reserved for issuance from 15,000,000 to 20,000,000;
4. “FOR” the advisory vote to approve the compensation of our named executive officers;
5. For “1 YEAR” on the advisory vote on the frequency of future votes to approve the compensation of our named executive officers; and
6. “FOR” ratification of the selection of BDO USA, LLP as our independent auditor for 2011.

What happens if I do not specify how my shares are to be voted?

If you submit a proxy but do not indicate any voting instructions, your shares will be voted “FOR” each of Proposals 1 through 4 and 6, and for “1 YEAR” for Proposal 5.

Will any other business be conducted at the 2011 annual meeting?

As of the date hereof, our Board of Directors knows of no business that will be presented at the meeting other than the proposals described in this Proxy Statement. If any other business is properly brought before the 2011 annual meeting, the proxy holders will vote your shares in accordance with their best judgment.

What vote is required to approve each item?

1. The director nominees will be elected to serve on our Board of Directors for a term of one year if they receive a plurality of the votes on the shares of common stock and 8% convertible preferred stock present in person or represented by proxy at the 2011 annual meeting and entitled to vote on the subject matter. This means that the director nominees will be elected if they receive more votes than any other person at the 2011 annual meeting. If you vote to “Withhold Authority” with respect to the election of one or more director nominees, your shares of common stock and 8% convertible preferred stock will not be voted with respect to the person or persons indicated, although they will be counted for the purpose of determining whether there is a quorum at the meeting.
2. The amendment to our Restated Articles of Incorporation to increase the number of shares of common stock, par value \$.001 per share, that we are authorized to issue from 150,000,000 to 200,000,000 will be approved if a majority of the outstanding shares of common stock and 8% convertible stock, voting together, are voted in favor of the amendment.

3. The amendment to our 2002 Stock Plan to increase the number of shares of common stock reserved for issuance from 15,000,000 to 20,000,000 will be approved if a majority of the shares of common stock and 8% convertible preferred stock present in person or represented by proxy at the 2011 annual meeting and entitled to vote on the subject matter are voted in favor of the proposal.
4. The advisory vote to approve the compensation of our named executive officers will be approved if a majority of the shares of common stock and 8% convertible preferred stock present in person or represented by proxy at the 2011 annual meeting and entitled to vote on the subject matter are voted in favor of the proposal.
5. With respect to the advisory vote on the frequency of future votes to approve the compensation of our named executive officers, you may vote “1 Year,” “2 Years,” “3 Years,” or “Abstain.” The choice of frequency that receives the highest number of votes will be deemed to be the choice of our stockholders.
6. The selection of BDO USA, LLP as our independent auditor for 2011 will be ratified if a majority of the shares of common stock and 8% convertible preferred stock present in person or represented by proxy at the meeting and entitled to vote on the subject matter are voted in favor of the proposal.

How will Abstentions and Broker Non-Votes be Treated?

You do not have the option of abstaining from voting on Proposal 1, but you may abstain from voting on Proposals 2 through 6. With respect to Proposal 1, because the directors are elected by a plurality vote, an abstention will have no effect on the outcome of the vote and, therefore, is not offered as a voting option on the proposal. In the case of an abstention on Proposals 2 through 6, your shares of common stock and 8% convertible preferred stock would be included in the number of shares of common stock and 8% convertible preferred stock considered present at the meeting for the purpose of determining whether there is a quorum. Because your shares of common stock and 8% convertible preferred stock would be voted but not in favor of Proposals 2 through 6, your abstention would have the same effect as a negative vote in determining the outcome of the vote on the proposal.

Broker non-votes occur when a brokerage firm, bank, or other nominee does not vote shares that it holds in “street name” on behalf of the beneficial owner because the beneficial owner has not provided voting instructions to the nominee with respect to a non-discretionary item. Proposals 1 and 3 through 5 are non-discretionary items for which a nominee will not have discretion to vote in the absence of voting instructions from you. However, Proposals 2 and 6 are discretionary items on which your nominee will be entitled to vote your shares of common stock and 8% convertible preferred stock even in the absence of instructions from you. Accordingly, it is possible for there to be broker non-votes with respect to Proposals 1 and 3 through 5, but there will not be broker non-votes with regard to Proposals 2 and 6. In the case of a broker non-vote, your shares of common stock and 8% convertible preferred stock would be included in the number of shares of common stock and 8% convertible preferred stock considered present at the meeting for the purpose of determining whether there is a quorum. A broker non-vote, being shares of common stock and 8% convertible preferred stock not entitled to vote, would not have any effect on the outcome of the vote on Proposals 1 and 3 through 5.

STOCK OWNERSHIP

Directors, Executive Officers, and Other Stockholders

The following table provides information about the beneficial ownership of common stock as of March 31, 2011, by each of our directors, each of our executive officers named in the “Summary Compensation Table” of this proxy statement, all of our directors and executive officers as a group, and each person whom we believe beneficially owns more than 5% of our outstanding common stock. Each outstanding share of common stock entitles its holder to cast one vote on each matter to be voted on at the 2011 annual meeting, and holders of shares of 8% convertible preferred stock are entitled to vote their shares of 8% convertible preferred stock on an as-converted basis with the holders of shares of common stock. Holders of 8% convertible preferred stock will be entitled to cast one vote on each matter to be voted at the 2011 annual meeting and will not vote as a separate class.

Name and Address ⁽¹⁾	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership ⁽²⁾	Percentage of Class ⁽³⁾
Directors and Executive Officers:		
H. Craig Dees	4,897,859 ⁽⁴⁾	4.7%
Timothy C. Scott	4,855,966 ⁽⁵⁾	4.6%
Eric A. Wachter	5,505,685 ⁽⁶⁾	5.4%
Stuart Fuchs	1,196,418 ⁽⁷⁾	1.2%
Kelly M. McMasters	150,000 ⁽⁸⁾	*
All directors and executive officers as a group (6 persons)	19,780,928 ⁽⁹⁾	17.5%
Other Stockholders:		
Osmium Special Situations Fund Ltd Canon’s Court, 22 Victoria Street, Hamilton HM 11, Bermuda	5,650,000 ⁽¹⁰⁾	5.5%

* Less than 1% of the outstanding shares of common stock.

- (1) If no address is given, the named individual is an officer or director of Provectus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., whose business address is 7327 Oak Ridge Highway, Knoxville, TN 37931.
- (2) Shares of common stock that a person has the right to acquire within 60 days of March 31, 2011 are deemed outstanding for computing the percentage ownership of the person having the right to acquire such shares, but are not deemed outstanding for computing the percentage ownership of any other person. Except as indicated by a note, each stockholder listed in the table has sole voting and investment power as to the shares owned by that person.
- (3) As of March 31, 2011, there were 101,141,166 shares of common stock issued and outstanding.
- (4) Dr. Dees’ beneficial ownership includes 3,443,750 shares of common stock subject to options which are exercisable within 60 days.
- (5) Dr. Scott’s beneficial ownership includes 55,996 shares of common stock held by Scott Family Investment Limited Partnership, a limited partnership established for the benefit of Dr. Scott’s family, and 3,500,000 shares of common stock subject to options which are exercisable within 60 days.
- (6) Dr. Wachter’s beneficial ownership includes 4,867 shares of common stock held by the Eric A. Wachter 1998 Charitable Remainder Unitrust and 1,724,248 shares of common stock subject to options which are exercisable within 60 days.
- (7) Mr. Fuchs’ beneficial ownership includes 325,000 shares of common stock subject to options which are exercisable within 60 days.
- (8) Dr. McMasters’ beneficial ownership includes 150,000 shares of common stock subject to options which are exercisable within 60 days.
- (9) Includes 11,774,289 shares of common stock subject to options and warrants which are exercisable within 60 days.
- (10) Based on a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC by Osmium Special Situations Fund Ltd (the “Fund”), Osmium Capital Management Ltd (the “Manager”), and Chris Kuchanny on February 11, 2011, to our knowledge, each of the foregoing entities and individual beneficially own 5,650,000 shares of common

stock, of which (i) 1,750,000 are shares issuable upon the conversion of 8% convertible preferred stock and (ii) 1,750,000 are shares issuable upon the exercise of warrants. The Fund and the Fund's investment manager, the Manager, share voting and dispositive power over the shares held directly by the Fund. Mr. Kuchanny, as a principal of the Manager, shares voting and dispositive power over the shares reported by it. Each of the Manager and Mr. Kuchanny disclaims beneficial ownership of these securities (except to the extent of any pecuniary interest therein).

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

The federal securities laws require our directors and executive officers and persons who beneficially own more than 10% of a registered class of our equity securities to file with the SEC initial reports of ownership and reports of changes in ownership of our securities. Based solely on our review of the copies of these forms received by us or representations from reporting persons, we believe that SEC beneficial ownership reporting requirements for 2010 were met.

INFORMATION ABOUT OUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS

How does our Board of Directors operate?

Our Board of Directors consists of five members, H. Craig Dees, Timothy C. Scott, Eric A. Wachter, Stuart Fuchs, and Kelly M. McMasters. Dr. Dees, who is our Chief Executive Officer, serves as chairman of our Board of Directors. Only one member of our Board of Directors, Dr. McMasters, is considered independent under the independence standards of the Nasdaq Stock Market.

Because our Board of Directors consists of only five members and our operations remain amenable to oversight by a limited number of directors, our Board of Directors has not delegated any of its functions to standing committees. Our entire Board of Directors acts as our audit committee, nominating committee, and compensation committee. Our Board of Directors has not adopted a nominating committee charter. Our audit committee charter is posted on our website at <http://www.pvct.com/AuditCommitteeCharter.html>, and our compensation committee charter is posted on our website at <http://www.pvct.com/CompensationCommitteeCharter.html>. The information on our website, however, is not a part of this Proxy Statement. Each member of our Board of Directors participates in the consideration of the compensation of our directors and executive officers. We believe that the leadership structure of our Board of Directors is appropriate given that we have only four employees. In addition, our entire Board of Directors is responsible for our risk oversight function due to the fact that we have only four employees, three of whom are members of our Board of Directors, and that our entire Board of Directors serves as our audit committee.

Only one member of our Board of Directors, Dr. McMasters, is considered independent for purposes of audit committee independence under the independence standards of the Nasdaq Stock Market and the SEC. We do not have an “audit committee financial expert,” as defined under the rules of the SEC. We believe that all members of our Board of Directors are qualified to serve as the committee and have the experience and knowledge to perform the duties required of the committee. We believe that it has been, and may continue to be, impractical to recruit a director who qualifies as an “audit committee financial expert” unless and until we are significantly larger.

How often did our Board of Directors meet in 2010?

Our Board of Directors met five times and took action by unanimous written consent twenty-four times during 2010. Each member of our Board of Directors attended more than 75% of the total number of meetings of our Board of Directors and its committees on which he served. Members of our Board of Directors are encouraged to attend the 2011 annual meeting of stockholders. A majority of the members of our Board of Directors attended the 2010 annual meeting of stockholders either in person or via telephone conference.

Director Nominations

Our entire Board of Directors acts as our nominating committee. Our Board of Directors has no set procedures or policy on the selection of nominees or evaluation of stockholder recommendations and will consider these issues on a case-by-case basis. Our Board of Directors will consider stockholder recommendations for director nominees that are properly received in accordance with our bylaws and the applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Our Board of Directors screens all potential candidates in the same manner. Our Board of Directors’ review will typically be based on all information provided with respect to the potential candidate. Our Board of Directors has not established specific minimum qualifications that must be met by a nominee for a position on our Board of Directors or specific qualities and skills for a director. Our Board of Directors may consider the diversity of qualities and skills of a nominee, but our Board of Directors has no formal policy in this regard. For more information, please see the section below entitled “ADDITIONAL INFORMATION.” Stockholders who wish to contact the members of our Board of Directors may do so by sending an e-mail addressed to them at info@pvct.com.

Each current director and candidate for reelection in Proposal 1 (election of directors) brings a strong and unique set of experience, qualifications, attributes and skills in a variety of areas. Set forth below are the specific experience, qualifications, attributes and skills of the nominees for reelection to our Board of Directors that led to the conclusion that the nominee should serve as a member of our Board of Directors.

H. Craig Dees is has extensive experience researching, developing, and testing potential pharmaceutical products, including our products. He holds a Ph.D. in Molecular Virology, which we believe provides us with specialized knowledge in that field.

Timothy C. Scott also has extensive experience researching, developing, and testing potential pharmaceutical products, including our products. He holds a Ph.D. in Chemical Engineering, which we believe provides us with specialized knowledge in that field.

Eric A. Wachter has extensive experience researching, developing, and testing potential pharmaceutical products, including our products. He holds a Ph.D. in Chemistry, which we believe provides us with specialized knowledge in that field.

Stuart Fuchs has significant experience with venture capital in the biotech space, including providing strategic and financial advice to companies in the technology sector. Mr. Fuchs also served for 19 years as an investment banker with Goldman, Sachs & Co. After receiving a J.D. from Harvard Law School, he practiced law in New York. We believe that Mr. Fuchs provides valuable insight into the strategic and financial issues that we have encountered and will encounter in the future.

Kelly M. McMasters, M.D., Ph.D., has clinical expertise in treating skin cancer, including melanoma, and surgical oncology. He has served as principal investigator, co-principal investigator or local investigator in over 30 clinical trials, including serving as principal investigator in a multi-institutional study involving 3,500 patients. We believe Dr. McMasters' expertise in treating skin cancer and melanoma and experience with clinical trials provide our Board of Directors valuable insight into the testing of our pharmaceutical products.

Report of our Board of Directors Acting as our Audit Committee

Our entire Board of Directors serves as our audit committee. Our Board of Directors in its capacity as our audit committee reviews our financial reporting process. In this context, our Board of Directors:

- has reviewed and discussed with management the audited financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2010;
- has discussed with BDO USA, LLP (BDO USA), our independent registered public accountants, the matters required to be discussed by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61, as amended (AICPA, *Professional Standards*, Vol. 1. AU Section 380), as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board in Rule 3200T; and
- has received the written disclosures and the letter from BDO USA required by PCAOB Rule 3526 (“Independence Discussions with Audit Committees”), as modified or supplemented, and has discussed with BDO USA the independent accountant’s independence.

Based on this review and the discussions referred to above, our Board of Directors determined that the audited financial statements be included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, for filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Our Board of Directors also appointed BDO USA as our independent registered public accountants for 2011.

This report is submitted on behalf of the members of our Board of Directors acting as the audit committee and shall not be deemed “soliciting material” or to be “filed” with the Securities and Exchange Commission, nor shall it be incorporated by any general statement incorporating by reference this proxy statement into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, except to the extent that we specifically incorporate this information by reference and shall not otherwise be deemed filed under these Acts:

H. Craig Dees
Timothy C. Scott
Eric A. Wachter
Stuart Fuchs
Kelly M. McMasters

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The table below shows the compensation for services in all capacities we paid during the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 to our Chief Executive Officer and our other two most highly compensated executive officers (which we refer to as named executive officers):

Summary Compensation Table

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary	Bonus	Option Awards ⁽¹⁾	All Other Compensation ⁽²⁾	Total
H. Craig Dees CEO	2010	\$500,000	\$1,600,000	\$927,205	\$57,692	\$3,084,897
	2009	500,000	518,519	46,187	43,269	1,107,975
Timothy C. Scott President	2010	500,000	1,600,000	927,205	57,692	3,084,897
	2009	500,000	518,519	46,187	43,269	1,107,975
Eric A. Wachter EVP – Pharmaceuticals	2010	500,000	1,600,000	927,205	57,692	3,084,897
	2009	500,000	518,519	46,187	43,269	1,107,975

- (1) The amounts in the Option Awards column represent grant date fair values computed in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718, *Stock Compensation* (FASB ASC Topic 718). The assumptions used in determining the values of option awards are provided in Note 5 to the Consolidated Financial Statements contained in our Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010. Each named officer is also a member of our Board of Directors. The fair value reflected in the Option Awards column for 2009 includes compensation for service in 2009 as a director of 50,000 stock options granted at an exercise price of \$1.04 on June 19, 2009, which was the fair market price on the date of issuance. The fair value reflected in the Option Awards column for 2010 includes compensation for service in 2010 as a director of 50,000 stock options granted at an exercise price of \$1.16 on June 18, 2010 and for service as an executive officer of 1,000,000 stock options granted at an exercise price of \$1.00 on July 22, 2010. All the options vested immediately on the date of grant and expire ten years from the date of grant. For purposes of estimating the fair value of each stock option on the date of grant, we utilized the Black-Scholes option-pricing model which totaled \$50,830 in 2010 and \$46,187 in 2009 for the 50,000 options and \$876,375 in 2010 for the 1,000,000 options.
- (2) Other compensation represents unused vacation that was paid out.

Base Salary & Employment Agreements

On July 1, 2010, we entered into executive employment agreements with each of H. Craig Dees, Ph.D., Timothy C. Scott, and Ph.D., Eric A. Wachter, Ph.D., to serve as our Chief Executive Officer, President, and Executive Vice President, respectively. Each agreement provides that such named executive officer will be employed for a one-year term with automatic one-year renewals unless previously terminated pursuant to the terms of the agreement or either party gives notice that the term will not be extended. Each named executive officer's initial base salary is \$500,000 per year and is subject to adjustment by our Board of Directors. Named executive officers are also entitled to participate in any incentive compensation plan or bonus plan adopted by us without diminution of any compensation or payment under the agreement. Named executive officers are entitled to reimbursement for all reasonable out-of-pocket expenses incurred during their performance of services under the agreements. Our named executive officers will be entitled to the payments upon termination of their employment, with or without a change of control, as described under the heading "Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control" below. Prior to July 1, 2010, each of our named executive officers was a party to executive employment agreement with substantially similar terms as the agreements entered on July 1, 2010.

During 2010 and 2009, we paid \$500,000 to each of named executive officers as annual base salary.

Bonus Awards

Our Board of Directors has adopted a longevity bonus policy to recognize service on our behalf when we reach significant milestones and to award year end bonuses at the discretion of our CEO. In 2010 and 2009, we awarded bonuses for services rendered culminating with continued clinical trial development progress, especially due to the progression of the oncology and dermatology drug product candidates and other development in the clinic.

Defined Benefit Plan and 401(K) Profit Sharing Plan

Our four employees, including our named executive officers, participate in the Provectus Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Cash Balance Defined Benefit Plan and Trust (the “Plan”), which was established in 2007. Employer contributions to the Plan were \$345,000 in 2009. In September 2010, we terminated the Plan for our employees. We transferred approximately \$1,353,000 in assets from the Plan to our 401(K) Profit Sharing Plan, which was formed in the three months ended September 30, 2010. Contributions to the 401(K) Profit Sharing Plan by us are discretionary. Contributions made by us through December 31, 2010 totaled approximately \$497,000 and include the amounts originally contributed to the Plan in 2010.

Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control

Each of the employment agreements with our named executive officers generally provides that if the named executive officer’s employment is terminated prior to a change in control (as defined in the agreement) (1) due to expiration or non-extension of the term by us; or (2) by us for any reason other than for cause (as defined in the agreement), then such named executive officer shall be entitled to receive payments under the agreement as if the agreement was still in effect through the end of the period in effect as of the date of such termination. If the named executive officer’s employment (1) is terminated by us at any time for cause, (2) is terminated by the named executive officer prior to, and not coincident with, a change in control or (3) is terminated by named executive officer’s death, disability or retirement prior to a change in control, the named executive officer (or his estate, as the case may be) shall be entitled to receive payments under the agreement through the last date of the month of such termination, a pro-rata portion of any incentive or bonus payment earned prior to such termination, any benefits to which he is entitled under the terms and conditions of the pertinent plans in effect at termination and any reasonable expenses incurred during the performance of services under the agreement.

Under each of the employment agreements with our named executive officers, in the event that coincident with or following a change in control, the named executive officer’s employment is terminated or the agreement is not extended (1) by action of the named executive officer including his death, disability or retirement or (2) by action of us not for cause, the named executive officer (or his estate, as the case may be) shall be entitled to receive payments under the agreement through the last day of the month of such termination, a pro-rata portion of any incentive or bonus payment earned prior to such termination, any benefits to which he is entitled under the terms and conditions of the pertinent plans in effect at termination and any reasonable expenses incurred during the performance of services under the agreement. In addition, we shall pay to the named executive officer (or his estate, as the case may be), within 30 days following the date of termination or on the effective date of the change in control (whichever occurs later), a lump sum payment in cash in an amount equal to 2.90 times the base salary paid in the preceding calendar year, or scheduled to be paid to such named executive officer during the year of such termination, whichever is greater, plus an additional amount sufficient to pay United States income tax on the lump sum amount paid.

The following table shows the base salary compensation the named executive officers would have received under their employment agreements had a change in control occurred as of December 31, 2010 and had the named executive officers been terminated or their employment agreements not been extended.

Name	Amount
H. Craig Dees, Ph.D.	\$1,450,000
Timothy C. Scott, Ph.D.	1,450,000
Eric A. Wachter, Ph.D.	1,450,000

Under the terms of our 2002 Stock Plan, prior to the occurrence of a change in control (as defined in the 2002 Stock Plan), and unless otherwise determined by our Board of Directors, any stock options outstanding on the date such change in control is determined to have occurred that are not yet exercisable and vested on such date shall become fully exercisable and vested. In addition, unless otherwise determined by our Board of Directors, prior to the occurrence of the change in control, all stock options that are vested and exercisable will be terminated in exchange for a cash payment equal to the change in control price reduced by the exercise price of such stock options. As of December 31, 2010, none of our named executive officers had outstanding unvested stock options.

Outstanding Equity Awards at 2010 Year-End

The following table shows the number of equity awards outstanding as of December 31, 2010 for our named executive officers. All the options were exercisable as of December 31, 2010.

Name	Option Awards		
	Number of Shares of Common Stock Underlying Unexercised Options Exercisable	Option Exercise Price	Option Expiration Date
H. Craig Dees	18,750	\$0.32	5/29/2013
	25,000	0.60	5/29/2013
	300,000	1.10	2/26/2014
	25,000	0.95	5/27/2014
	300,000	0.64	1/7/2015
	300,000	0.75	5/25/2015
	25,000	0.62	5/19/2015
	200,000	0.94	12/9/2015
	50,000	1.02	6/23/2016
	1,000,000	1.02	6/23/2016
	50,000	1.50	6/21/2017
	50,000	1.00	6/27/2018
	50,000	1.04	6/19/2019
	50,000	1.16	06/18/2020
	1,000,000	1.00	07/22/2020
Timothy C. Scott	75,000	\$0.32	5/29/2013
	25,000	0.60	5/29/2013
	300,000	1.10	2/26/2014
	25,000	0.95	5/27/2014
	300,000	0.64	1/7/2015
	300,000	0.75	5/25/2015
	25,000	0.62	5/19/2015
	200,000	0.94	12/9/2015
	50,000	1.02	6/23/2016
	1,000,000	1.02	6/23/2016
	50,000	1.50	6/21/2017
	50,000	1.00	6/27/2018
	50,000	1.04	6/19/2019
	50,000	1.16	06/18/2020
	1,000,000	1.00	07/22/2020
Eric A. Wachter	25,000	\$0.95	5/27/2014
	247,581	0.75	5/25/2015
	985,000	1.02	6/23/2016
	50,000	1.50	6/21/2017
	50,000	1.00	6/27/2018
	50,000	1.04	6/19/2019
	50,000	1.16	06/18/2020
	400,000	1.00	07/22/2020

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

Three of our five directors, Drs. Dees, Scott and Wachter, are also full-time employees. As discussed above under the heading “EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION,” they are compensated for their service in those roles. Other than the options described below, they are not separately compensated for their service as directors.

Neither Stuart Fuchs nor Kelly McMasters received cash compensation for his service as a member of our Board of Directors, although each is reimbursed for expenses incurred in fulfilling his duties as a director, including attending meetings.

On the date of each annual meeting of stockholders, each member of our Board of Directors receives options exercisable for shares of common stock. In 2010, each of our directors received 50,000 options.

Director Compensation Table for 2010

Name ⁽¹⁾	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash	Option Awards ⁽²⁾	All Other Compensation ⁽³⁾	Total
Stuart Fuchs.	\$—	\$50,830	\$135,000	\$185,830
Kelly M. McMasters	—	50,830	56,500	107,330

- (1) Our other three directors are also full-time employees whose compensation is discussed above under the heading “EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION.”
- (2) A total of 50,000 stock options were granted to each of the above-named directors at an exercise price of \$1.16, which was the fair market price on the date of issuance. The options vested immediately on the date of grant, June 18, 2010 and expire on June 18, 2020. The amounts in the Option Awards column represent grant date fair values computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. The assumptions used in determining the values of option awards are provided in Note 5 to the Consolidated Financial Statements contained in our Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010. For purposes of estimating the fair value of each stock option on the date of grant, we utilized the Black-Scholes option-pricing model.

As of December 31, 2010, Mr. Fuchs had a total of 325,000 stock options outstanding, and Mr. McMasters had a total of 150,000 stock options outstanding.

- (3) We paid Mr. Fuchs \$135,000 for consulting services performed in 2010. We paid Dr. McMasters \$56,500 for consulting services performed in 2010.

PROPOSAL 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Director Nominees

The persons listed below have been nominated by our Board of Directors to serve as directors for a one-year term expiring at the annual meeting of stockholders occurring in 2012. Each nominee has consented to serve on our Board of Directors. If any nominee were to become unavailable to serve as a director, our Board of Directors may designate a substitute nominee. In that case, the persons named as proxies on the accompanying proxy card will vote for the substitute nominee designated by our Board of Directors.

H. Craig Dees, Ph.D., 59, has served as our Chief Executive Officer and as a member of our Board of Directors since we acquired Provectus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., a privately held Tennessee Corporation, on April 23, 2002. Before joining us, from 1997 to 2002 he served as senior member of the management team of Photogen Technologies, Inc., including serving as a member of our Board of Directors of Photogen from 1997 to 2000. Prior to joining Photogen, Dr. Dees served as a Group Leader at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory, and as a senior member of the management teams of LipoGen Inc., a medical diagnostic company which used genetic engineering technologies to manufacture and distribute diagnostic assay kits for auto-immune diseases, and TechAmerica Group Inc., now a part of Boehringer Ingelheim Vetmedica, Inc., the U.S. animal health subsidiary of Boehringer Ingelheim GmbH, an international chemical and pharmaceutical company headquartered in Germany. He earned a Ph.D. in Molecular Virology from the University of Wisconsin – Madison in 1984.

Timothy C. Scott, Ph.D., 53, has served as our President and as a member of our Board of Directors since we acquired PPI on April 23, 2002. Prior to joining us, Dr. Scott was as a senior member of the Photogen management team from 1997 to 2002, including serving as Photogen's Chief Operating Officer from 1999 to 2002, as a director of Photogen from 1997 to 2000, and as interim CEO for a period in 2000. Before joining Photogen, he served as senior management of Genase LLC, a developer of enzymes for fabric treatment, and held senior research and management positions at Oak Ridge National Laboratory. Dr. Scott earned a Ph.D. in Chemical Engineering from the University of Wisconsin – Madison in 1985.

Eric A. Wachter, Ph.D., 48, has served as our Executive Vice President-Pharmaceuticals and as a member of our Board of Directors since we acquired PPI on April 23, 2002. Prior to joining us, from 1997 to 2002 he was a senior member of the management team of Photogen, including serving as Secretary and a director of Photogen since 1997 and as Vice President and Secretary and a director of Photogen since 1999. Prior to joining Photogen, Dr. Wachter served as a senior research staff member with Oak Ridge National Laboratory. He earned a Ph.D. in Chemistry from the University of Wisconsin – Madison in 1988.

Stuart Fuchs, 65, has served as a member of our Board of Directors since January 23, 2003. He is a co-founder and has served since January 2000 as a managing principal of Gryffindor Capital Partners, LLC, a Chicago-based venture capital firm. Before joining Gryffindor, he was a founding stockholder of several biotech companies, including Angiogen LLC which was in the business of developing combinations of drugs to stimulate *in vivo* production of factors that inhibit the growth of blood vessels in tumors, and Nace Pharma LLC, which was in the business of developing drugs that employ novel drug delivery technologies. Through Nace Resources Inc., a Delaware corporation formed to provide strategic and financial advice to companies in the technology sector, Mr. Fuchs has organized or participated in groups of investors on behalf of several companies, including Abiant Inc., Celsion Corp. and Photogen. Before founding Nace Resources Inc., he served for 19 years as an investment banker with Goldman, Sachs & Co., where he co-managed the firm's public finance activities for the Midwest region. He is currently Chairman & CEO of CognoSPECTi, Inc., a start-up venture founded to provide physicians who prescribe psychotropic drugs with cost-effective access to a range of device-based neuropsychiatric diagnostic and treatment modalities. Before joining Goldman, Sachs & Co., Mr. Fuchs was a lawyer in private practice with Barrett Smith Schapiro & Simon in New York. Mr. Fuchs holds an A.B. degree from Harvard College and a J.D. from Harvard Law School and is a retired member of the Association of the Bar of the City of New York.

Kelly M. McMasters, M.D., Ph.D., 50, has served as a member of our board of directors since June 9, 2008. Additionally, Dr. McMasters served as chairman of our scientific advisory board. Dr. McMasters received his undergraduate training at Colgate University prior to completing the MD/PhD program at the University of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey, Robert Wood Johnson Medical School and Rutgers University. He then completed the residency program in General Surgery at the University of Louisville, and a fellowship in Surgical Oncology at M.D. Anderson Cancer Center in Houston. He is currently the Sam and Lolita Weakley Professor of Surgical Oncology at the University of Louisville in Kentucky, a position he has held since 1996. Since 2005, he has chaired the Department of Surgery at the University of Louisville and also has been Chief of Surgery at University of Louisville Hospital. Since 2000, he has also been Director of the Multidisciplinary Melanoma Clinic of the James Graham Brown Cancer Center at the University of Louisville. His is an active member of the surgery staff at the University of Louisville Hospital, Norton Hospital and Jewish Hospital in Louisville. He is on the editorial boards of the Annals of Surgical Oncology, Cancer Therapy and the Journal of Clinical Oncology as well as an ad hoc reviewer for 9 other publications. He holds several honors, chief among them is “Physician of the Year” awarded by the Kentucky Chapter of the American Cancer Society. He is the author and principal investigator (PI) of the Sunbelt Melanoma Trial, a multi-institutional study involving 3500 patients from 79 institutions across North America and one of the largest prospective melanoma studies ever performed. He has been a PI, Co-PI or local PI in over thirty clinical trials ranging from Phase 1 to Phase 3. For the past 12 years he has also directed a basic and translational science laboratory studying adenovirus-mediated cancer gene therapy funded by the American Cancer Society and the National Institutes of Health (NIH).

Transactions with Director Nominees

We paid Mr. Fuchs \$135,000 for consulting services performed in 2010.

We paid Dr. McMasters \$56,500 for consulting services performed in 2010.

OUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS THAT THE STOCKHOLDERS VOTE “FOR” EACH OF THE NOMINEES FOR ELECTION TO OUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS NAMED ABOVE. Each proxy solicited on behalf of our Board of Directors will be voted FOR each of the nominees for election to our Board of Directors unless the stockholder instructs otherwise in the proxy.

PROPOSAL 2
AMENDMENT TO RESTATED ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION

Description of the Amendment

Our Board of Directors has unanimously adopted a resolution to amend our Restated Articles of Incorporation, as amended (Restated Articles of Incorporation) to increase the number of shares of common stock, par value \$.001 per share, that we are authorized to issue from 150,000,000 to 200,000,000 shares and has directed that the proposed amendment be submitted to our stockholders for their approval and adoption. The amendment will not change the number of shares of preferred stock that are authorized, and the total authorized shares will be increased from 175,000,000 to 225,000,000. The amendment will replace Section 5 of our current Restated Articles of Incorporation with the following language:

The total number of shares which the Corporation shall have authority to issue is Two Hundred Twenty-Five Million (225,000,000) shares of stock, of which Two Hundred Million (200,000,000) shares shall be designated as common shares, par value \$.001 per share (“Common Shares”), and Twenty-Five Million (25,000,000) shares shall be designated as preferred shares, par value \$.001 per share (“Preferred Shares”).

OUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS THAT STOCKHOLDERS VOTE “FOR” THE PROPOSAL TO APPROVE AND ADOPT AN AMENDMENT TO OUR RESTATED ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION. Each proxy solicited on behalf of our Board of Directors will be voted FOR the approval and adoption of the amendment to our Restated Articles of Incorporation unless the stockholder instructs otherwise in the proxy.

Background

We may issue shares of capital stock to the extent such shares have been authorized under our Restated Articles of Incorporation. Our Restated Articles of Incorporation currently authorize us to issue up to 150,000,000,000 shares of common stock, par value \$.001 per share, and 25,000,000 shares of preferred stock, par value \$.001 per share.

As of March 31, 2011 the total shares of common stock issued and outstanding and reserved for issuance pursuant to outstanding warrants, options, and preferred stock totaled 139,686,289. No shares of common stock are held in treasury. The aggregate amount of common stock issued and reserved for issuances consisted of the following amounts as of March 31, 2011:

- 101,141,166 shares of common stock issued and outstanding;
- 21,880,837 shares of common stock reserved for issuance pursuant to warrants to purchase common stock outstanding;
- 11,774,289 shares of common stock reserved for issuance pursuant to options to purchase common stock outstanding; and
- 4,889,997 shares of common stock reserved for issuance upon the conversion of shares of our outstanding 8% convertible preferred stock, par value \$.001 per share.

Reasons for the Proposed Amendment

The total of shares of common stock (i) issued and outstanding, (ii) reserved for issuance pursuant to warrants to purchase common stock, (iii) reserved for issuance pursuant to options to purchase common stock granted under our 2002 Stock Plan, and (iv) reserved for issuance upon the conversion of shares of our outstanding 8% convertible preferred stock is 139,686,289. As a result, as of March 31, 2011, we have only 10,313,711 unreserved shares of common stock available for issuance.

Our Board of Directors believes that this amount is insufficient for our future financing needs because it is likely that the sale of shares of common stock will be the principal means by which we will raise additional capital until such time as we are able to generate earnings sufficient to finance our operations. Shares of common stock may be used for various purposes without further stockholder approval. These purposes may include: raising capital, providing equity incentives to employees, directors and consultants, establishing

strategic relationships with other companies, the acquisition of any business, assets or technology, and other purposes. Although our Board of Directors has no current plan, arrangement or commitment to issue additional shares of common stock, our Board of Directors believes that it is in the best interest of us and our stockholders to have a sufficient number of authorized but unissued shares of common stock available for issuance in the future for such purposes.

The proposed amendment will not increase the number of shares of common stock available for future awards granted pursuant to our 2002 Stock Plan, which at March 31, 2011, was 850,000 shares of common stock. However, our Board of Directors is submitting for stockholder vote Proposal 3 to approve of an amendment to our 2002 Stock Plan. Please refer to the information set forth under Proposal 3 below for more information about our 2002 Stock Plan and the proposed amendment to the 2002 Stock Plan.

Possible Anti-Takeover Effects of the Amendment

The proposed amendment to our Restated Articles of Incorporation is not being recommended in response to any specific effort of which our Board of Directors is aware to obtain control of the Company, and our Board of Directors does not intend or view the proposed increase in authorized common stock as an anti-takeover measure. However, the ability of our Board of Directors to authorize the issuance of the additional shares of common stock that would be available if the proposed amendment is approved and adopted could have the effect of discouraging or preventing a hostile takeover.

No Preemptive Rights

Under Chapter 78 of the Nevada Revised Statutes and our Restated Articles of Incorporation, the holders of common stock do not have preemptive rights to acquire unissued shares of common stock.

Vote Required

The approval and adoption of the amendment to our Restated Articles of Incorporation requires the affirmative vote of stockholders who hold a majority of shares of common stock and 8% convertible preferred stock, voting together, entitled to vote thereon. If the amendment is approved and adopted, it will become effective upon filing a Certificate of Amendment with the Nevada Secretary of State. After filing the Certificate of Amendment, the additional shares of common stock may be issued from time to time by action of our Board of Directors on such terms and for such purposes as our Board of Directors may consider appropriate. In the event that the proposed amendment is not approved and adopted by our stockholders at the annual meeting, the current Restated Articles of Incorporation will remain in effect.

PROPOSAL 3 AMENDMENT TO 2002 STOCK PLAN

Description of the Amendment

Our Board of Directors is seeking our stockholders' approval of an amendment to our Amended and Restated 2002 Stock Plan, as amended (the "2002 Stock Plan") to increase the number of shares of common stock reserved for issuance thereunder from 15,000,000 to 20,000,000. The 2002 Stock Plan originally was adopted by our Board of Directors and approved by our stockholders on May 29, 2003. The 2002 Stock Plan subsequently was amended upon approval of the stockholders on April 29, 2004, May 19, 2005, June 22, 2006, and June 17, 2010, in each instance to increase the number of shares of common stock reserved for issuance thereunder.

Under the 2002 Stock Plan, we are authorized to grant equity-based awards in the form of options, stock appreciation rights, stock purchase rights and long-term performance awards to our and our subsidiaries' officers, directors, employees and consultants. The purpose of the 2002 Stock Plan is to enable us to provide an incentive to our officers, directors, employees and consultants whose present and potential contributions are important to our continued success, to afford these individuals the opportunity to acquire a proprietary interest in us, and to enable us to enlist and retain in our employment the best available talent for the successful conduct of our business.

OUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS THAT STOCKHOLDERS VOTE "FOR" THE APPROVAL OF PROPOSAL 3 TO AMEND OUR 2002 STOCK PLAN. Each proxy solicited on behalf of our Board of Directors will be voted FOR the approval of the amendment to the 2002 Stock Plan unless the stockholder instructs otherwise in the proxy.

Background

At present, we are authorized to grant equity-based awards under the 2002 Stock Plan for up to 15,000,000 shares of common stock. As of March 31, 2011, there were outstanding options to purchase 11,774,289 shares of common stock and 2,375,711 shares of common stock had been issued pursuant to exercises of options. We have not issued any stock appreciation rights, stock purchase rights or long-term performance awards. As a result, as of March 31, 2011, there were 850,000 remaining shares of common stock available for future awards under the 2002 Stock Plan.

Reasons for the Proposed Amendment

Based on our current compensation policies, our Board of Directors determined that an increase in the reserve of shares of common stock is necessary in light of the fact that as of March 31, 2011, only 850,000 shares of common stock are available for issuance under the 2002 Stock Plan. Our Board of Directors believes that we must offer a competitive equity compensation program if we are to continue to successfully attract and retain the most qualified candidates as officers, directors, employees and consultants. Our Board of Directors expects that the 2002 Stock Plan, as proposed to be amended, will be an important factor in attracting and retaining the personnel essential to our success. Our Board of Directors believes that giving our officers, directors, employees and consultants the opportunity to acquire an equity interest will align the economic interest of these individuals with the economic interest of our other stockholders, thereby benefiting all of our stockholders. Our Board of Directors believes the increased number of shares reserved for issuance under the 2002 Stock Plan will provide a sufficient number of shares for the foreseeable future. If the amendment to the 2002 Stock Plan is approved by our stockholders, the 2002 Stock Plan will remain unchanged in all other respects. The additional shares represent a 33% increase in the number of authorized shares of common stock under the 2002 Stock Plan, but constitute only 4.9% of the 101,141,166 shares of common stock outstanding on March 31, 2011.

Summary of 2002 Stock Plan

The following is a summary of the key provisions of the 2002 Stock Plan that is proposed to be amended and restated. The full text of the 2002 Stock Plan, as proposed to be amended and restated, is included as Appendix A to this proxy statement as it would read if Proposal 3 were to be approved by our stockholders. The summary below is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text of the 2002 Stock Plan.

General. The 2002 Stock Plan authorizes us to grant the following types of equity-based awards: options to purchase common stock that qualify as “incentive stock options” under Section 422 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the “Code”), options to purchase common stock that do not qualify as incentive stock options under Section 422 of the Code, which are referred to as “non-qualified stock options,” stock appreciation rights (“SARs”), stock purchase rights and long-term performance awards.

Purpose. The purpose of the 2002 Stock Plan is to enable us to provide an incentive to officers, directors, employees and consultants whose present and potential contributions are important to our continued success, to afford these individuals the opportunity to acquire a proprietary interest in us, and to enable us to enlist and retain the best available talent for the successful conduct of our business.

Eligible Person. Currently, our six officers, directors and employees are eligible to participate in the 2002 Stock Plan, as well as consultants to us and our subsidiaries. Under the terms of the 2002 Stock Plan, incentive stock options may be granted only to employees, including those who serve as officers and directors.

Shares Available for Issuance. At present, we are authorized to grant equity-based awards under the 2002 Stock Plan for up to 15,000,000 shares of common stock. Our Board of Directors is seeking our stockholders’ approval of an amendment to the 2002 Stock Plan to increase the number of shares of common stock reserved for issuance thereunder from 15,000,000 to 20,000,000 shares. If an award under the 2002 Stock Plan is forfeited or terminated for any reason, the shares of common stock that were subject to the award will again be available for future distribution under the 2002 Stock Plan. In addition, shares subject to SARs that are exercised for cash will again be available for distribution in connection with future grants of awards under the 2002 Stock Plan.

Administration. The 2002 Stock Plan may be administered by one or more administrators (the “Administrator”) if our Board of Directors deems division of administration necessary or desirable in order to comply with applicable law. Because our Board of Directors has not appointed any committees and because we have so few employees, at present our Board of Directors is acting as the Administrator of the 2002 Stock Plan. The Administrator has the authority to determine the employees, consultants and non-employee directors who may receive awards under the 2002 Stock Plan (the “Participants”) and to determine the type, size and terms of each award, to modify the terms of awards, to determine when awards will be granted and paid, and to make all other determinations which it deems necessary or desirable in the interpretation and administration of the Plan.

Types of Equity-Based Awards

Options. Options are rights to purchase a specified number of shares of common stock at a price fixed by the Administrator. Each option must be represented by an award agreement that identifies the option as either an “incentive stock option” within the meaning of Section 422 of the Code or “non-qualified option,” which does not satisfy the conditions of Section 422 of the Code. The award agreement also must specify the number of shares of common stock that may be issued upon exercise of the options and set forth the exercise price of the options. The exercise price for options that qualify as incentive stock options may not be less than 100% of the fair market value as of the date of grant. The option exercise price may be satisfied in cash, by check or a promissory note, by exchanging shares of common stock owned by the Participant, or a combination of these methods. Options have a maximum term of ten years from the date of grant. To date options that we have granted generally have a ten-year term and become exercisable in four equal, annual installments beginning on the date of grant. The Administrator has broad discretion to determine the terms and conditions upon which options may be exercised, and the Administrator may determine to include additional terms in the award agreements.

Stock Appreciation Rights. SARs may be granted in connection with a previously or contemporaneously granted stock option or independently. SARs are rights to receive cash or shares of common stock, or a combination thereof, as the Administrator may determine. The Administrator may provide in the SAR agreement circumstances under which SARs will become immediately exercisable and may accelerate the exercisability of any SAR at any time. To date, we have not issued any SARs under the 2002 Stock Plan.

SARs granted in connection with a stock option are exercisable only when and to the extent that the related stock option is exercisable and expire on the date on which the related stock option expires. If a SAR granted in connection with a stock option is exercised, the related stock option ceases to be exercisable. The amount of the payment for SARs granted in connection with stock options is equal to the excess of (i) the fair market value on the date of exercise of the common stock covered by the surrendered portion of the related stock option over (ii) the exercise price of the stock option covered by the surrendered portion of the related stock option.

SARs granted independently of stock options are exercisable as specified in the award agreement. The amount of the payment for SARs granted independently of stock options is equal to the excess of (i) the fair market value of the common stock covered by the exercised portion of the SAR as of the date of such exercise over (ii) the fair market value of the common stock covered by the exercised portion of the SAR as of the last market trading date prior to the date on which the SAR was granted.

Stock Purchase Rights. Stock purchase rights are rights to purchase a specified number of shares of common stock which may be restricted in accordance with the terms of the award agreement. The terms of stock purchase rights, including the restrictions and conditions of the offer, the number of shares of common stock that the offeree will be entitled to purchase, the price to be paid, and the time period in which the offeree must accept the offer, will be set forth in writing. Stock purchase rights must be exercised within thirty days from the date the stock purchase right is granted, unless the Administrator specifies a shorter period. After a Participant exercises a stock purchase right, he or she will have the rights equivalent to those of a stockholder, including the right to vote such shares and to receive dividends and other distributions thereon, subject to the restrictions set forth in the 2002 Stock Plan and in the award agreement. If shares of common stock are issued as “restricted stock,” they will be legended and may not be sold, transferred, or disposed of until the restrictions have lapsed. The Administrator has broad discretion as to the specific terms and conditions of each award, including applicable rights upon certain terminations of employment and restrictions on the transferability of stock purchased pursuant to stock purchase rights. To date, we have not issued any stock purchase rights under the 2002 Stock Plan.

Long-Term Performance Awards. Long-term performance awards entitle the grantee to future payments based upon the achievement of employment or pre-established long-term performance factors. The award agreement for a long-term performance award will establish maximum and minimum performance targets to be achieved and the period in which the targets must be achieved. Thereafter, the Participant will be entitled to a payment in cash or shares of common stock upon the achievement of the performance targets within the performance periods. The Administrator has discretion to determine the Participants to whom long-term performance awards are to be made, the times in which such awards are to be made, the size of such awards, and all other conditions of such awards, including any restriction, deferral periods, or performance requirements. To date, we have not issued any long-term performance awards under the 2002 Stock Plan.

Adjustments upon Change of Capitalization, Dissolution, Merger, Asset Sale or Change of Control

Changes in Capitalization. Subject to any required action by our stockholders, the number of shares of common stock covered by outstanding stock options, SARs, stock purchase rights or long-term performance awards, and the number of shares of common stock which have been authorized for issuance under the 2002 Stock Plan but as to which no award has been granted or which have been returned to the 2002 Stock Plan upon cancellation or expiration of an award, as well as the price per share of common stock covered by each such outstanding award, will be proportionately adjusted for any increase or decrease in the number of issued shares of common stock resulting from a stock split, reverse stock split, stock dividend, combination or reclassification of the common stock, or any other increase or decrease in the number of issued shares of common stock effected without receipt of consideration by us.

Dissolution or Liquidation. In the event of the proposed dissolution or liquidation of us, to the extent that stock options, SARs, stock purchase rights or long-term performance awards have not been previously exercised, they will terminate immediately prior to the consummation of such proposed action. Our Board of Directors may declare that any such award shall terminate as of a date fixed by our Board of Directors and give each Participant the right to exercise his or her award, including awards that would not otherwise be exercisable.

Merger or Asset Sale. Subject to the treatment of awards upon a change in control discussed below, in the event of a merger of us with or into another corporation, or the sale of substantially all of our assets, each outstanding award will be assumed or an equivalent award will be substituted by the successor corporation or a parent or subsidiary of the successor corporation. In the event that the successor corporation does not agree to assume the award or to substitute an equivalent award, the Administrator will, in lieu of such assumption or substitution, provide for the Participant to have the right to exercise the award, awards that would not otherwise be exercisable.

Change in Control. In the event of a change in control (as defined in the 2002 Stock Plan) of us, except as otherwise determined by our Board of Directors, (i) prior to the occurrence of the change in control, any outstanding awards on the date of such change in control that are not yet exercisable and vested on such date will become fully exercisable and vested, and (ii) prior to the occurrence of the change in control, all outstanding awards to the extent they are exercisable and vested, including accelerated awards will be terminated in exchange for a cash payment equal to the change in control price (as defined in the 2002 Stock Plan), reduced by the exercise price, if any, of such awards.

Transferability

Awards may not be sold, pledged, assigned, hypothecated, transferred or disposed of in any manner other than by will or by the laws of descent or distribution and may be exercised, during the lifetime of the Participant, only by the Participant.

Amendment and Termination

Our Board of Directors may amend, alter, suspend or terminate the 2002 Stock Plan at any time. Any amendment to the 2002 Stock Plan must be approved by our stockholders to the extent such approval is required by the terms of the 2002 Stock Plan, the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission, or the rules and regulations of any exchange upon which our stock is listed, if any. However, no amendment, alteration, suspension or termination of the 2002 Stock Plan may impair the rights of any Participant, unless mutually agreed in writing by the Participant and the Administrator.

Federal Income Tax Consequences

The following is a summary of the material anticipated United States federal income tax consequences of the 2002 Stock Plan to us and the Participants. The summary is based on current federal income tax law, which is subject to change, and does not address state, local, or foreign tax consequences or considerations.

Stock Options. The grant of a stock option that does not have a readily ascertainable value will not result in taxable income at the time of the grant for either us or the Participant. Upon exercising an incentive stock option, the Participant will have no taxable income (except that the alternative minimum tax may apply) and we will receive no deduction. Upon exercising a nonqualified stock option, the Participant will recognize ordinary income in the amount by which the fair market value of common stock at the time of exercise exceeds the option exercise price, and we will be entitled to a deduction for the same amount. The Participant's income is subject to withholding tax as wages.

The tax treatment of the Participant upon a disposition of shares of common stock acquired through the exercise of an option is dependent upon the length of time that the shares have been held and on whether such shares were acquired by exercising an incentive stock option or a nonqualified stock option. If an employee exercises an incentive stock option and holds the shares for two years from the date of grant and one year after exercise, then any gain or loss realized based on the exercise price of the option will be treated as long-term capital gain or loss. Shares obtained upon exercise of an incentive stock option that are sold without satisfying these holding periods will be treated as shares received from the exercise of a nonqualified stock option. Generally, upon the sale of shares obtained by exercising a nonqualified stock option, the Participant will treat the gain realized on the sale as a capital gain. Generally, there will be no tax consequence to us in connection with the disposition of shares of common stock acquired under a stock option, except that we may be entitled to a deduction in the case of a disposition of shares acquired upon exercise of an incentive stock option before the applicable holding periods have been satisfied.

Stock Appreciation Rights. The grant of a SAR will not result in taxable income to the Participant at the time of the award. Upon exercising the SAR, the Participant will recognize ordinary income in the amount by which the fair market value of the common stock or the amount of cash, as the case may be, exceeds the SAR exercise price, if any. We will be entitled to a deduction for the same amount. The Participant's income is subject to withholding tax as wages. Upon a disposition of shares of common stock acquired through the exercise of the SAR, the Participant may recognize capital gain or loss, the character of which is dependent upon the length of time that the shares have been held. Generally, there will be no tax consequences to us in connection with the disposition of shares of common stock acquired under a SAR.

Stock Purchase Rights. The federal income tax consequences of awards of stock purchase rights will depend on the facts and circumstances of each award, and in particular, the nature of the restrictions imposed with respect to the common stock which is the subject of the award. In general, if the common stock is subject to a substantial risk of forfeiture, i.e., limited in terms of transferability, a taxable event occurs only when the risk of forfeiture ceases. At that time, the Participant will realize ordinary income to the extent of the excess of the fair market value of the common stock on the date the risk ceases over the amount that the Participant paid for the shares, if any, and we will be entitled to a deduction in the same amount. Prior to the lapse of restrictions on the restricted stock, any dividends on such shares will be paid currently and will be treated as ordinary compensation income to the Participant, subject to withholding. Subsequent to the determination and satisfaction of the ordinary income tax consequences, any further gain or loss realized on the subsequent disposition of such stock will be a long- or short-term capital gain or loss depending upon the applicable holding period.

Alternatively, within thirty days after transfer of the restricted stock, a Participant may make an election under Section 83(b) of the Code, which would allow the Participant to include in income in the year that the restricted common stock is awarded an amount equal to the fair market value of the restricted stock on the date of such award determined as if the restricted common stock were not subject to restrictions. The employer is then entitled to a compensation-paid deduction in the same amount. The election is required to be written and delivered to the employer within that thirty-day period. The Participant is also required to confirm the election with the filing of the Participant's federal income tax return for the year in which the award is made. Failure to satisfy either of these requirements may invalidate the intended election. In the event of a valid Section 83(b) election, the Participant will not recognize income at the time that the restrictions actually lapse. In addition, any appreciation or depreciation in the value of the stock and any dividends paid on the stock after a valid Section 83(b) election are not deductible by the employer as compensation paid. For purposes of determining the period of time that the Participant holds the restricted stock, the holding period begins on the award date when a Participant makes a Section 83(b) election. Further, any dividends received after the Section 83(b) election is made will constitute ordinary dividend income to the Participant and will not be deductible by the employer. If the restricted stock subject to the Section 83(b) election is subsequently forfeited, however, the Participant is not entitled to a deduction or tax refund.

Long-Term Performance Awards. A Participant will realize ordinary compensation income upon receipt of a long-term performance award equaling the amount of cash or the current market value of the common stock received. Wage withholding rules will apply. We will be entitled to a deduction at the time of payment in an amount equal to such income. Upon subsequent disposition of any shares of common stock received, any gain or loss will be a long- or short-term gain or loss, depending upon the applicable holding period.

Award Grants

The grant of awards under the 2002 Stock Plan is at the discretion of the Administrator. The Administrator has not yet determined any additional awards that will be granted under the 2002 Stock Plan to Participants. See "EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION — Summary Compensation Table" and "DIRECTOR COMPENSATION — Director Compensation Table for 2010" for information regarding the stock options granted in 2010 to our named executive officers and directors.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The table below sets forth certain information regarding shares available as of December 31, 2010 for issuance under our equity compensation plans. As of March 31, 2011, the closing price of common stock on the OTCBB was \$1.09 per share.

Category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a))
	(a)	(b)	(c)
Equity compensation plans approved by stockholders	11,907,622	\$0.98	850,000
Equity compensation plans not approved by stockholders	—	\$ —	—
Total	11,907,622	\$0.98	850,000

PROPOSAL 4
ADVISORY VOTE TO APPROVE THE COMPENSATION OF OUR
NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

We are submitting for stockholder advisory vote a resolution to approve the compensation paid to our named executive officers, as disclosed pursuant to the compensation disclosure rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, including the compensation tables and related narrative disclosure contained in this Proxy Statement. Accordingly, the following resolution will be submitted for stockholder approval at the annual meeting:

“RESOLVED, that the compensation paid to the Company’s named executive officers, as disclosed as disclosed pursuant to the compensation disclosure rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, including the compensation tables and related narrative disclosure contained in this Proxy Statement, is hereby APPROVED”.

The advisory vote on the compensation of our named executive officers is non-binding. The approval or disapproval of the resolution approving our executive compensation by our stockholders will not require our Board of Directors to take any action regarding our executive compensation practices. The final decision on the compensation and benefits of our named executive officers and whether, and if so, how, to address stockholder disapproval remains with our Board of Directors.

Our Board of Directors believes that it is in the best position to consider the extensive information and factors necessary to make independent, objective, and competitive compensation recommendations and decisions that are in our best interest and the best interest of our stockholders.

Our Board of Directors values the opinions of our stockholders as expressed through their votes and other communications. Although the resolution is non-binding, our Board of Directors will carefully consider the outcome of the advisory vote to approve the compensation of our named executive officers and those opinions when making future compensation decisions.

OUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE *FOR* THE APPROVAL OF THE COMPENSATION OF OUR NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS. Each proxy solicited on behalf of our Board of Directors will be voted *FOR* the approval of the compensation of our named executive officers unless the stockholder instructs otherwise in the proxy.

PROPOSAL 5
ADVISORY VOTE ON THE FREQUENCY OF FUTURE VOTES TO APPROVE
THE COMPENSATION OF OUR NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

We are submitting for stockholder advisory vote a resolution to determine whether to hold the advisory stockholder vote on executive compensation (the type found in Proposal 4 above) every one, two, or three years. Accordingly, the following resolution will be submitted for stockholder approval at the annual meeting:

“RESOLVED, that the Company hold a stockholder advisory vote to approve the compensation of the Company’s named executive officers as disclosed pursuant to the compensation disclosure rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, including the compensation tables and related narrative disclosure with a frequency of once every one year, two years or three years, whichever receives the highest number of votes cast with respect to this resolution.”

After careful consideration of the various arguments supporting each frequency level, our Board of Directors believes that submitting the advisory vote on the compensation of our named executive officers to stockholders on an annual basis is appropriate for us and our stockholders.

The proxy card provides stockholders with four choices (every one, two, or three years, or abstain). Stockholders are not voting to approve or disapprove our Board of Directors’ recommendation.

The frequency vote is non-binding. Stockholder approval of a one, two, or three-year frequency vote will not require our Board of Directors to implement an advisory vote on the compensation of our named executive officers every one, two, or three years. The final decision on the frequency of the advisory vote on the compensation of our named executive officers remains with our Board of Directors.

Our Board of Directors values the opinions of our stockholders as expressed through their votes and other communications. Although the frequency vote is non-binding, our Board of Directors will carefully consider the outcome of the advisory vote on the frequency of future votes to approve the compensation of our named executive officers when making future decisions regarding the frequency of say-on-pay votes.

OUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE FOR “1 YEAR” FOR THE FREQUENCY OF FUTURE VOTES TO APPROVE THE COMPENSATION OF OUR NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS. Each proxy solicited on behalf of our Board of Directors will be voted for “1 YEAR” for the frequency of future votes to approve the compensation of our named executive officers unless the stockholder instructs otherwise in the proxy.

**PROPOSAL 6
RATIFICATION OF SELECTION OF INDEPENDENT AUDITOR**

General

Our Board of Directors has selected BDO USA as the independent auditor to perform the audit of our consolidated financial statements for 2011. BDO USA has audited our consolidated financial statements since 2002. BDO USA is a registered public accounting firm.

Our Board of Directors is asking the stockholders to ratify the selection of BDO USA as our independent auditor for 2011. Although not required by law or our bylaws, our Board of Directors is submitting the selection of BDO USA to the stockholders for ratification as a matter of good corporate practice. Even if the selection is ratified, our Board of Directors, in its discretion, may select a different registered public accounting firm at any time during the year if it determines that such a change would be in the best interests of us and our stockholders.

Representatives of BDO USA are expected to be present at the annual meeting. They will have an opportunity to make a statement if they desire and will be available to respond to appropriate questions from our stockholders.

OUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE FOR THE RATIFICATION OF THE SELECTION OF BDO USA, LLP AS OUR INDEPENDENT AUDITOR FOR 2011. Each proxy solicited on behalf of our Board of Directors will be voted *FOR* the ratification of the selection of BDO USA as our independent auditor for 2011 unless the stockholder instructs otherwise in the proxy. If the stockholders do not ratify the selection, the matter will be reconsidered by our Board of Directors.

Audit and Non-Audit Services

Our Board of Directors is directly responsible for the appointment, compensation, and oversight of our independent auditor. It is the policy of our Board of Directors to pre-approve all audit and non-audit services provided by our independent registered public accountants. Our Board of Directors has considered whether the provision by BDO USA of services of the varieties described below is compatible with maintaining the independence of BDO USA, LLP. In view of the fact that BDO USA provides no services to us other than audit services, our Board of Directors believes that such services do not jeopardize the independence of BDO USA.

The table below sets forth the aggregate fees we paid to BDO USA for audit and non-audit services provided to us in 2010 and 2009.

Fees	2010	2009
Audit Fees	\$208,000	\$124,000
Audit-Related Fees	—	—
Tax Fees:.	—	—
All Other Fees	—	—
Total	<u>\$208,000</u>	<u>\$124,000</u>

In the above table, in accordance with the SEC’s definitions and rules, “audit fees” are fees for professional services for the audit of a company’s financial statements included in the annual report on Form 10-K, for the review of a company’s financial statements included in the quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, and for services that are normally provided by the accountant in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements; “audit-related fees” are fees for assurance and related services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of a company’s financial statements; “tax fees” are fees for tax compliance, tax advice, and tax planning; and “all other fees” are fees for any services not included in the first three categories.

OTHER INFORMATION CONCERNING MANAGEMENT

Executive Officers

Drs. Dees, Scott, and Wachter serve as our Chief Executive Officer, President, and Executive Vice President-Pharmaceuticals, respectively. Information about their business experience is set forth above under the heading, "PROPOSAL 1 — ELECTION OF DIRECTORS — Director Nominees."

In addition, Peter R. Culpepper, 51, serves as our Chief Financial Officer and Chief Operating Officer and was appointed in February 2004. Previously, Mr. Culpepper served as Chief Financial Officer for Felix Culpepper International, Inc. from 2001 to 2004; was a Registered Representative with AXA Advisors, LLC from 2002 to 2003; has served as Chief Accounting Officer and Corporate Controller for Neptec, Inc. from 2000 to 2001; has served in various Senior Director positions with Metromedia Affiliated Companies from 1998 to 2000; has served in various Senior Director and other financial positions with Paging Network, Inc. from 1993 to 1998; and has served in a variety of financial roles in public accounting and industry from 1982 to 1993. He earned a Masters in Business Administration in Finance from the University of Maryland — College Park in 1992. He earned an AAS in Accounting from the Northern Virginia Community College — Annandale, Virginia in 1985. He earned a BA in Philosophy from the College of William and Mary — Williamsburg, Virginia in 1982. He is a licensed Certified Public Accountant in both Tennessee and Maryland.

Code of Ethics

Our Board of Directors has adopted a code of ethics that applies to our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, or persons performing similar functions. The code of ethics contains written standards that are reasonably designed to deter wrongdoing and to promote: (1) honest and ethical conduct, including the ethical handling of actual or apparent conflicts of interest between personal and professional relationships; (2) full, fair, accurate, timely, and understandable disclosure in reports and documents that we file with, or submit to, the SEC and in other public communications made by us; (3) compliance with applicable governmental laws, rules and regulations; (4) the prompt internal reporting of violations of the code to an appropriate person or persons identified in the code; and (5) accountability for adherence to the code. The code of ethics is available without charge upon request from our Secretary, Provectus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., 7327 Oak Ridge Highway, Knoxville, TN 37931.

OTHER MATTERS

As of the date hereof, our Board of Directors knows of no business that will be presented at the meeting other than the proposals described in this Proxy Statement. If any other proposal properly comes before the stockholders for a vote at the meeting, the proxy holders will vote the shares of common stock represented by proxies that are submitted to us in accordance with their best judgment.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Solicitation of Proxies

We will solicit proxies on behalf of our Board of Directors by mail, telephone, facsimile, or other electronic means or in person. We have not retained any third parties to assist in soliciting proxies. We will pay the proxy solicitation costs. We will supply copies of the proxy solicitation materials to brokerage firms, banks, and other nominees for the purpose of soliciting proxies from the beneficial owners of the shares of common stock held of record by such nominees. We request that such brokerage firms, banks, and other nominees forward the proxy solicitation materials to the beneficial owners, and we will reimburse them for their reasonable expenses.

Mailing Address of Principal Executive Office

The mailing address of our principal executive office is Provectus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., 7327 Oak Ridge Highway, Knoxville, Tennessee 37931.

Stockholder Proposals for Including in Proxy Statement for 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders

To be considered for inclusion in our proxy statement for the 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, a stockholder proposal must be received by us no later than the close of business on January 2, 2012. Stockholder proposals must be sent to Secretary, Provectus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., 7327 Oak Ridge Highway, Knoxville, Tennessee 37931. We will not be required to include in our proxy statement any stockholder proposal that does not meet all the requirements for such inclusion established by the SEC's proxy rules and Nevada corporate law.

Other Stockholder Proposals for Presentation at 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders

For any proposal that is not submitted for inclusion in our proxy statement for the 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, but is instead sought to be presented directly at the meeting, the SEC's rules permit management to vote proxies in its discretion if: (i) we receive notice of the proposal before the close of business on March 18, 2012, and advise stockholders in the proxy statement about the nature of the matter and how management intends to vote on such matter; or (ii) we do not receive notice of the proposal prior to the close of business on March 18, 2012. Notices of intention to present proposals at the 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders should be sent to Secretary, Provectus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., 7327 Oak Ridge Highway, Knoxville, Tennessee 37931.

By Order of our Board of Directors



PETER R. CULPEPPER
Secretary

Knoxville, Tennessee
May 2, 2011

[This page intentionally left blank.]

APPENDIX A

PROVECTUS PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

AMENDED AND RESTATED 2002 STOCK PLAN

1. Purpose of the Plan

The purpose of the Provectus Pharmaceuticals, Inc. 2002 Stock Plan is to enable the Corporation to provide an incentive to Officers, Directors, Employees, and Consultants whose present and potential contributions are important to the continued success of the Corporation, to afford these individuals the opportunity to acquire a proprietary interest in the Corporation, and to enable the Corporation to enlist and retain in its employment the best available talent for the successful conduct of its business. It is intended that this purpose will be effected through the granting of (a) Options, (b) Stock Appreciation Rights, (c) Stock Purchase Rights, and (d) Long-Term Performance Awards.

2. Definitions

As used herein, the following definitions shall apply:

(a) “Administrator” means the Board or such of its Committees as shall be administering the Plan, in accordance with Section 5 of the Plan.

(b) “Applicable Laws” means the legal requirements relating to the administration of stock option plans under applicable securities laws, Nevada corporate law and the Code.

(c) “Award Agreement” means a written agreement between the Corporation and a Participant that evidences the specific terms and conditions of an individual Option or Right, subject to the general terms and conditions of the Plan.

(d) “Board” means the Board of Directors of the Corporation.

(e) “Change in Control” means the occurrence of any of the following:

(i) When any “person,” as such term is used in Sections 13(d) and 14(d) of the Exchange Act (other than the Corporation, a Subsidiary or a Corporation employee benefit plan, including any trustee of such plan acting as trustee) is or becomes the “beneficial owner” (as defined in Rule 13d-3 under the Exchange Act), directly or indirectly, of securities of the Corporation representing fifty percent (50%) or more of the combined voting power of the Corporation’s then outstanding securities entitled to vote generally in the election of directors; or

(ii) The stockholders of the Corporation approve a merger or consolidation of the Corporation with any other corporation, other than a merger or consolidation which would result in the voting securities of the Corporation outstanding immediately prior thereto continuing to represent (either by remaining outstanding or by being converted into voting securities of the surviving entity) at least fifty percent (50%) of the total voting power represented by the voting securities of the Corporation or such surviving entity outstanding immediately after such merger or consolidation, or the stockholders of the Corporation approve an agreement for the sale or disposition by the Corporation of all or substantially all the Corporation’s assets; or

(iii) A change in the composition of the Board of Directors of the Corporation, as a result of which fewer than a majority of the directors are Incumbent Directors.

(f) “Change in Control Price” means any one of the following, as determined by the Board:

(i) the highest Fair Market Value of a Share within the 60-day period immediately preceding the date of determination of the Change in Control Price by the Board (the “60-Day Period”); or

(ii) the highest price paid or offered per Share, as determined by the Board, in any bona fide transaction or bona fide offer related to the Change in Control of the Corporation, at any time within the 60-Day Period or such lower price as the Board, in its discretion, determines to be a reasonable estimate of the fair market value of a Share.

- (g) “Code” means the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended.
- (h) “Committee” means a Committee appointed by the Board in accordance with Section 5 of the Plan.
- (i) “Common Stock” means the common shares, \$.001 par value, of the Corporation.
- (j) “Corporation” means Provectus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., a Nevada corporation.
- (k) “Consultant” means any person, including an advisor, engaged by the Corporation or a Parent or Subsidiary to render services and who is compensated for such services, and which services are in no way related to a “capital raising” transaction.
- (l) “Continuous Status as an Employee or Consultant” means that the employment or consulting relationship is not interrupted or terminated by the Corporation, any Parent or Subsidiary. Continuous Status as an Employee or Consultant shall not be considered interrupted in the case of: (i) any leave of absence approved by the Board, including sick leave, military leave, or any other personal leave; provided, however, that for purposes of Incentive Stock Options, any such leave may not exceed 90 days, unless reemployment upon the expiration of such leave is guaranteed by contract (including certain Corporation policies) or statute; or (ii) transfers between locations of the Corporation or between the Corporation, its Parent, its Subsidiaries or its successor.
- (m) “Director” means a member of the Board.
- (n) “Disability” means total and permanent disability as defined in Section 22(e)(3) of the Code.
- (o) “Employee” means any person, including Officers and Directors, employed by the Corporation or any Parent or Subsidiary of the Corporation. Neither service as a Director nor payment of a director’s fee by the Corporation shall be sufficient to constitute “employment” by the Corporation.
- (p) “Exchange Act” means the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended
- (q) “Fair Market Value” means, as of any date, the value of Common Stock determined as follows:
- (i) the average on the applicable date of the high and low prices of a share of Common Stock on the principal national securities exchange on which shares of Common Stock then are trading, or, if shares were not traded on such date, then on the next preceding date on which a trade occurred; or
 - (ii) if shares of Common Stock are not traded on a national securities exchange but are listed on the Nasdaq Stock Market (“Nasdaq”), the last reported sale price on such date as reported by Nasdaq; or
 - (iii) if shares of Common Stock are not traded on a national securities exchange and are not listed on Nasdaq, the closing bid price (or average bid prices) last quoted on such date by an established quotation service for over-the-counter securities; or
 - (iv) if shares of Common Stock are not traded on such date, the fair market value of a share of Common Stock as established by the Board acting in good faith and taking into consideration all factors which it deems appropriate, including recent sale or offer prices for Common Stock in private arm’s-length transactions.
- (r) “Incentive Stock Option” means an Option intended to qualify as an incentive stock option within the meaning of Section 422 of the Code and the regulations promulgated thereunder.
- (s) “Incumbent Directors” means Directors who either (i) are Directors as of the date the Plan is approved by the stockholders of the Corporation, or (ii) are elected, or nominated for election, to the Board of Directors of the Corporation with the affirmative votes of at least a majority of the Incumbent Directors at the time of such election or nomination (but shall not include an individual whose election or nomination is in connection with an actual or threatened proxy contest relating to the election of directors to the Corporation).

(t) “Long-Term Performance Award” means an award under Section 9 of the Plan, evidenced by an Award Agreement, that permits the recipient to receive a cash or stock bonus (as determined by the Administrator) upon satisfaction of such Corporation, Subsidiary and/or individual performance factors or other criteria as the Administrator may deem appropriate and set out in the individual Award Agreement.

(u) “Nonqualified Stock Option” means any Option that is not an Incentive Stock Option.

(v) “Officer” means a person who is an officer of the Corporation within the meaning of Section 16 of the Exchange Act and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder.

(w) “Option” means a stock option granted pursuant to the Plan.

(x) “Option Exchange Program” means a program whereby outstanding options are surrendered in exchange for options with a lower exercise price.

(y) “Optioned Stock” means the Common Stock subject to an Option or Right.

(z) “Parent” means a “parent corporation,” whether now or hereafter existing, as defined in Section 424(e) of the Code.

(aa) “Participant” means an Officer, Director, Employee or Consultant who holds an outstanding Option or Right.

(bb) “Plan” means the Provectus Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Amended and Restated 2002 Stock Plan, as amended from time to time.

(cc) “Restricted Stock” means shares of Common Stock acquired pursuant to a grant of Stock Purchase Rights under Section 8 of the Plan and subject to an Award Agreement.

(dd) “Right” means and includes SARs, Long-Term Performance awards and Stock Purchase Rights granted pursuant to the Plan.

(ee) “Rule 16b-3” means Rule 16b-3 of the Exchange Act or any successor rule thereto, as in effect when discretion is being exercised with respect to the Plan.

(ff) “SAR” means a stock appreciation right granted pursuant to Section 7.2 of the Plan.

(gg) “Share” means a share of the Common Stock, as adjusted in accordance with Section 11 of the Plan.

(hh) “Stock Purchase Right” means the right to purchase Common Stock pursuant to Section 8 of the Plan, as evidenced by an Award Agreement.

(ii) “Subsidiary” means a “subsidiary corporation,” whether now or hereafter existing, as defined in Section 424(f) of the Code.

3. Eligibility

Nonqualified Stock Options and Rights may be granted to Employees and Consultants, including Officers and Directors who are Employees or Consultants, and to Directors who are not Employees. Incentive Stock Options may be granted only to Employees. If otherwise eligible, an Employee, Consultant or Director who has been granted an Option or Right may be granted additional Options or Rights.

4. Stock Subject to the Plan

The total number of Shares reserved and available for issuance under the Plan is 20,000,000 Shares. If any Shares that have been optioned under an Option cease to be subject to such Option (other than through exercise of the Option), or if any Option or Right granted hereunder is forfeited, or any such award otherwise terminates prior to the issuance of Common Stock to the participant, the Shares that were subject to such Option or Right shall again be available for distribution in connection with future Option or right grants under the Plan. In addition, Shares that have been subject to SARs exercised for cash, whether granted in connection with or independently of options, shall again be available for distribution under the Plan. Shares that have actually been issued under the Plan, whether upon exercise of an Option or Right, shall not in any event be

returned to the Plan and shall not become available for future distribution under the Plan, except that if Shares of Restricted Stock were repurchased by the Corporation at their original purchase price, and the original purchaser of such Shares did not receive any benefits of ownership of such Shares, such Shares shall become available for future grant under the Plan. For purposes of the preceding sentence, voting rights shall not be considered a benefit of Share ownership.

5. Administration

5.1. Composition of Administrator

(a) *Multiple Administrative Bodies.* If required or permitted by Rule 16b-3 and Applicable Laws, the Plan may (but need not) be administered by different administrative bodies with respect to (i) Directors who are employees, (ii) Officers who are not Directors and (iii) Employees who are neither Directors nor Officers.

(b) *Administration with respect to Directors and Officers.* With respect to grants of Options and Rights to eligible participants who are Officers or Directors of the Corporation, the Plan shall be administered by (i) the Board, if the Board may administer the Plan in compliance with Rule 16b-3 as it applies to a plan intended to qualify thereunder as a discretionary grant or award plan, or (ii) a Committee designated by the Board to administer the Plan, which Committee shall be constituted (A) in such a manner as to permit the Plan to comply with Rule 16b-3 as it applies to a plan intended to qualify thereunder as a discretionary grant or award plan and (B) in such a manner as to satisfy the Applicable Laws.

(c) *Administration with respect to Other Persons.* With respect to grants of Options to eligible participants who are neither Directors nor Officers of the Corporation, the Plan shall be administered by (i) the Board or (ii) a Committee designated by the Board, which Committee shall be constituted in such a manner as to satisfy the Applicable Laws.

(d) *General.* Once a Committee has been appointed pursuant to Sections 5.1(b) and/or 5.1(c), such Committee shall continue to serve in its designated capacity until otherwise directed by the Board. From time to time the Board may increase the size of any Committee and appoint additional members thereof, remove members (with or without cause) and appoint new members in substitution therefor, fill vacancies (however caused) and remove all members of a Committee and thereafter directly administer the Plan, all to the extent permitted by the Applicable Laws and, in the case of a Committee appointed under Section 5.1(c), to the extent permitted by Rule 16b-3 as it applies to a plan intended to qualify thereunder as a discretionary grant or award plan.

(e) *Powers of the Administrator.* Subject to the provisions of the Plan, and in the case of a Committee, subject to the specific duties delegated by the Board to such Committee, the Administrator shall have the authority, in its discretion:

(i) to determine the Fair Market Value of the Common Stock, in accordance with Section 2(n) of the Plan;

(ii) to select the Consultants and Employees to whom Options and Rights may be granted hereunder;

(iii) to determine whether and to what extent Options and Rights or any combination thereof, are granted hereunder;

(iv) to determine the number of shares of Common Stock to be covered by each Option and Right granted hereunder;

(v) to approve forms of agreement for use under the Plan;

(vi) to determine the terms and conditions of any award granted hereunder, which shall not be inconsistent with the terms of the Plan and shall include, but not be limited to, the exercise price, the time or times when Options or Rights may be exercised (which may be based on performance criteria), any vesting acceleration or waiver of forfeiture restrictions, and any restriction or limitation

regarding any Option or Right or the shares of Common Stock relating thereto, based in each case on such factors as the Administrator, in its sole discretion, shall determine;

(vii) to construe and interpret the terms of the Plan;

(viii) to prescribe, amend and rescind rules and regulations relating to the Plan;

(ix) to determine whether and under what circumstances an Option or Right may be settled in cash instead of Common Stock or Common Stock instead of cash;

(x) to reduce the exercise price of any Option or Right;

(xi) to modify or amend each Option or Right (subject to Section 13 of the Plan);

(xii) to authorize any person to execute on behalf of the Corporation any instrument required to effect the grant of an Option or Right previously granted by the Administrator;

(xiii) to institute an Option Exchange Program;

(xiv) to determine the terms and restrictions applicable to Options and Rights and any Restricted Stock; and

(xv) to make all other determinations deemed necessary or advisable for administering the Plan.

(f) *Effect of Administrator's Decision.* The Administrator's decisions, determinations and interpretations shall be final and binding on all Participants and any other holders of Options or Rights.

6. Duration of the Plan

The Plan shall remain in effect until terminated by the Board under the terms of the Plan; provided, that in no event may Incentive Stock Options be granted under the Plan later than 10 years from the date the Plan was adopted by the Board.

7. Options and SARs

7.1. Options

The Administrator, in its discretion, may grant Options to eligible participants and shall determine whether such Options shall be Incentive Stock Options or Nonqualified Stock Options. Each Option shall be evidenced by an Award Agreement which shall expressly identify the Options as Incentive Stock Options or as Nonqualified Stock Options, and be in such form and contain such provisions as the Administrator shall from time to time deem appropriate.

Without limiting the foregoing, the Administrator may at any time authorize the Corporation, with the consent of the respective recipients, to issue new Options or Rights in exchange for the surrender and cancellation of outstanding Options or Rights. Option agreements shall contain the following terms and conditions:

(a) *Exercise Price; Number of Shares.* The per Share exercise price for the Shares issuable pursuant to an Option shall be such price as is determined by the Administrator; provided, however, that in the case of an Incentive Stock Option, the price shall be no less than 100% of the Fair Market Value of the Common Stock on the date the Option is granted, subject to any additional conditions set out in Section 7.1(d) of the Plan. The Award Agreement shall specify the number of Shares to which it pertains.

(b) *Waiting Period and Exercise Dates.* At the time an Option is granted, the Administrator will determine the terms and conditions to be satisfied before Shares may be purchased, including the dates on which Shares subject to the Option may first be purchased. The Administrator may specify that an Option may not be exercised until the completion of the service period specified at the time of grant. (Any such period is referred to herein as the "waiting period.") At the time an Option is granted, the Administrator shall fix the period within which the Option may be exercised, which shall not be earlier than the end of the waiting period, if any, nor, in the case of an Incentive Stock Option, later than 10 years from the date of grant.

(c) *Form of Payment.* The consideration to be paid for the Shares to be issued upon exercise of an Option, including the method of payment, shall be determined by the Administrator (and, in the case of an Incentive Stock Option, shall be determined at the time of grant) and may consist entirely of:

(i) cash;

(ii) check;

(iii) promissory note;

(iv) other Shares which (A) in the case of Shares acquired upon exercise of an option, have been owned by the Participant for more than six months on the date of surrender, and (B) have a Fair Market Value on the date of surrender not greater than the aggregate exercise price of the Shares as to which said Option shall be exercised;

(v) delivery of a properly executed exercise notice together with such other documentation as the Administrator and the broker, if applicable, shall require to effect an exercise of the Option and delivery to the Corporation of the sale or loan proceeds required to pay the exercise price;

(vi) any combination of the foregoing methods of payment; or

(vii) such other consideration and method of payment for the issuance of Shares to the extent permitted by Applicable Laws.

(d) *Special Incentive Stock Option Provisions.* In addition to the foregoing, Options granted under the Plan which are intended to be Incentive Stock Options under Section 422 of the Code shall be subject to the following terms and conditions:

(i) *Dollar Limitation.* To the extent that the aggregate Fair Market Value of (A) the Shares with respect to which Options designated as Incentive Stock Options plus (B) the shares of stock of the Corporation, Parent and any Subsidiary with respect to which other incentive stock options are exercisable for the first time by a Participant during any calendar year under all plans of the Corporation and any Parent and Subsidiary exceeds \$100,000, such Options shall be treated as Nonqualified Stock Options. For purposes of the preceding sentence, (1) Options shall be taken into account in the order in which they were granted, and (2) the Fair Market Value of the Shares shall be determined as of the time the Option or other incentive stock option is granted.

(ii) *10% Stockholder.* If any Participant to whom an Incentive Stock Option is to be granted pursuant to the provisions of the Plan is, on the date of grant, the owner of Common Stock (as determined under Section 424(d) of the Code) possessing more than 10% of the total combined voting power of all classes of stock of the Corporation or any Parent or Subsidiary of the Corporation, then the following special provisions shall be applicable to the Option granted to such individual:

(A) The per Share Option price of Shares subject to such Incentive Stock Option shall not be less than 110% of the Fair Market Value of Common Stock on the date of grant; and

(B) The Option shall not have a term in excess of 10 years from the date of grant. Except as modified by the preceding provisions of this Section 7.1(d) and except as otherwise limited by Section 422 of the Code, all of the provisions of the Plan shall be applicable to the Incentive Stock Options granted hereunder.

(e) *Other Provisions.* Each Option granted under the Plan may contain such other terms, provisions, and conditions not inconsistent with the Plan as may be determined by the Administrator,

(f) *Buyout Provisions.* The Administrator may at any time offer to buy out for a payment in cash or Shares, an Option previously granted, based on such terms and conditions as the Administrator shall establish and communicate to the Participant at the time that such offer is made.

7.2. Stock Appreciation Rights

(a) *In Connection with Options.* At the sole discretion of the Administrator, SARs may be granted in connection with all or any part of an Option, either concurrently with the grant of the Option or at any time thereafter during the term of the Option. The following provisions apply to SARs that are granted in connection with Options:

(i) The SAR shall entitle the Participant to exercise the SAR by surrendering to the Corporation unexercised a portion of the related Option. The Participant shall receive in Exchange from the Corporation an amount equal to the excess of (A) the Fair Market Value on the date of exercise of the SAR of the Common Stock covered by the surrendered portion of the related Option over (B) the exercise price of the Common Stock covered by the surrendered portion of the related Option. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Administrator may place limits on the amount that may be paid upon exercise of an SAR; provided, however, that such limit shall not restrict the exercisability of the related Option.

(ii) When an SAR is exercised, the related Option, to the extent surrendered, shall cease to be exercisable.

(iii) An SAR shall be exercisable only when and to the extent that the related Option is exercisable and shall expire no later than the date on which the related Option expires.

(iv) An SAR may only be exercised at a time when the Fair Market Value of the Common Stock covered by the related Option exceeds the exercise price of the Common Stock covered by the related Option.

(b) *Independent of Options.* At the sole discretion of the Administrator, SARs may be granted without related Options. The following provisions apply to SARs that are not granted in connection with Options:

(i) The SAR shall entitle the Participant, by exercising the SAR, to receive from the Corporation an amount equal to the excess of (A) the Fair Market Value of the Common Stock covered by the exercised portion of the SAR, as of the date of such exercise, over (B) the Fair Market Value of the Common Stock covered by the exercised portion of the SAR, as of the last market trading date prior to the date on which the SAR was granted; provided, however, that the Administrator may place limits on the aggregate amount that may be paid upon exercise of an SAR.

(ii) SARs shall be exercisable, in whole or in part, at such times as the Administrator shall specify in the Participant's SAR agreement.

(c) *Form of Payment.* The Corporation's obligation arising upon the exercise of an SAR may be paid in Common Stock or in cash, or in any combination of Common Stock and cash, as the Administrator, in its sole discretion, may determine. Shares issued upon the exercise of an SAR shall be valued at their Fair Market Value as of the date of exercise.

(d) *Performance-Based Compensation Limitations.* No Employee shall be granted, in any fiscal year of the Corporation, Options or SARs to receive more than 100,000 Shares of Common Stock, provided that the Corporation may make an additional one-time grant of up to 100,000 Shares to newly-hired Employees. The foregoing limitations shall adjust proportionately in connection with any change in the Corporation's recapitalization as described in Section 11.1.

7.3. Method of Exercise

(a) *Procedure for Exercise; Rights as a Stockholder.* Any Option or SAR granted hereunder shall be exercisable at such times and under such conditions as determined by the Administrator and as shall be permissible under the terms of the Plan.

An Option may not be exercised for a fraction of a Share.

An Option or SAR shall be deemed to be exercised when written notice of such exercise has been given to the Corporation in accordance with the terms of the Option or SAR by the person entitled to exercise the Option or SAR and full payment for the Shares with respect to which the Option is exercised has been received by the Corporation. Full payment may, as authorized by the Administrator (and, in the case of an Incentive Stock Option, determined at the time of grant) and permitted by the Award Agreement consist of any consideration and method of payment allowable under Section 7.1(c) of the Plan. Until the issuance (as evidenced by the appropriate entry on the books of the Corporation or of a duly authorized transfer agent of the Corporation) of the stock certificate evidencing such Shares, no right to vote or receive dividends or any other rights as a stockholder shall exist with respect to the Optioned Stock, notwithstanding the exercise of the Option. No adjustment will be made for a dividend or other right for which the record date is prior to the date the stock certificate is issued, except as provided in Section 11 of the Plan.

Exercise of an Option in any manner shall result in a decrease in the number of Shares which thereafter shall be available, both for purposes of the Plan and for sale under the Option, by the number of Shares as to which the Option is exercised. Exercise of an SAR in any manner shall, to the extent the SAR is exercised, result in a decrease in the number of Shares which thereafter shall be available for purposes of the Plan, and the SAR shall cease to be exercisable to the extent it has been exercised.

(b) *Rule 16b-3.* Options and SARs granted to individuals subject to Section 16 of the Exchange Act (“Insiders”) must comply with the applicable provisions of Rule 16b-3 and shall contain such additional conditions or restrictions as may be required thereunder to qualify for the maximum exemption from Section 16 of the Exchange Act with respect to Plan transactions.

(c) *Termination of Employment or Consulting Relationship.* In the event a Participant’s Continuous Status as an Employee or Consultant terminates (other than upon the Participant’s death or Disability), the Participant may exercise his or her Option or SAR, but only within such period of time as is determined by the Administrator at the time of grant, not to exceed six months (three months in the case of an Incentive Stock Option) from the date of such termination, and only to the extent that the Participant was entitled to exercise it at the date of such termination (but in no event later than the expiration of the term of such Option or SAR as set forth in the Option or Award Agreement). To the extent that Participant was not entitled to exercise an Option or SAR at the date of such termination, and to the extent that the Participant does not exercise such Option or SAR (to the extent otherwise so entitled) within the time specified herein, the Option or SAR shall terminate.

(d) *Disability of Participant.* In the event a Participant’s Continuous Status as an Employee or Consultant terminates as a result of the Participant’s Disability, the Participant may exercise his or her Option or SAR, but only within 12 months from the date of such termination, and only to the extent that the Participant was entitled to exercise it at the date of such termination (but in no event later than the expiration of the term of such Option or SAR as set forth in the Option or Award Agreement). To the extent that Participant was not entitled to exercise an Option or SAR at the date of such termination, and to the extent that the Participant does not exercise such Option or SAR (to the extent otherwise so entitled) within the time specified herein, the Option or SAR shall terminate.

(e) *Death of Participant.* In the event of a Participant’s death, the Participant’s estate or a person who acquired the right to exercise the deceased Participant’s Option or SAR by bequest or inheritance may exercise the Option or SAR, but only within 12 months following the date of death, and only to the extent that the Participant was entitled to exercise it at the date of death (but in no event later than the expiration of the term of such Option or SAR as set forth in the Option or Award Agreement). To the extent that Participant was not entitled to exercise an Option or SAR at the date of death, and to the extent that the Participant’s estate or a person who acquired the right to exercise such Option does not exercise such Option or SAR (to the extent otherwise so entitled) within the time specified herein, the Option or SAR shall terminate.

8. Stock Purchase Rights

8.1. Rights to Purchase

Stock Purchase Rights may be issued either alone, in addition to, or in tandem with other awards granted under the Plan and/or cash awards made outside of the Plan. After the Administrator determines that it will offer Stock Purchase Rights under the Plan, it shall advise the offeree in writing of the terms, conditions and restrictions related to the offer, including the number of Shares that the offeree shall be entitled to purchase, the price to be paid, and the time within which the offeree must accept such offer, which shall in no event exceed 30 days from the date upon which the Administrator made the determination to grant the Stock Purchase Right. The offer shall be accepted by execution of an Award Agreement in the form determined by the Administrator.

8.2. Repurchase Option

Unless the Administrator determines otherwise, the Award Agreement shall grant the Corporation a repurchase option exercisable upon the voluntary or involuntary termination of the purchaser's employment with the Corporation for any reason (including death or Disability). The purchase price for Shares repurchased pursuant to the Restricted Stock purchase agreement shall be the original price paid by the purchaser and may be paid by cancellation of any indebtedness of the purchaser to the Corporation. The repurchase option shall lapse at such rate as the Administrator may determine.

8.3. Other Provisions

The Award Agreement shall contain such other terms, provisions and conditions not inconsistent with the Plan as may be determined by the Administrator in its sole discretion. In addition, the provisions of Award Agreements need not be the same with respect to each purchaser.

8.4. Rule 16b-3

Stock Purchase Rights granted to Insiders, and Shares purchased by Insiders in connection with Stock Purchase Rights, shall be subject to any restrictions applicable thereto in compliance with Rule 16b-3. An Insider may only purchase Shares pursuant to the grant of a Stock Purchase Right, and may only sell Shares purchased pursuant to the grant of a Stock Purchase Right, during such time or times as are permitted by Rule 16b-3.

8.5. Rights as a Stockholder

Once the Stock Purchase Right is exercised, the purchaser shall have the rights equivalent to those of a stockholder, and shall be a stockholder when his or her purchase is entered upon the records of the duly authorized transfer agent of the Corporation. No adjustment will be made for a dividend or other right for which the record date is prior to the date the Stock Purchase Right is exercised, except as provided in Section 11 of the Plan.

8.6. Withholding Taxes

In accordance with any applicable administrative guidelines it establishes, the Committee may allow a purchaser to pay the amount of taxes required by law to be withheld as a result of a purchase of Shares or a lapse of restrictions in connection with Shares purchased pursuant to a Stock Purchase Right, by withholding from any payment of Common Stock due as a result of such purchase or lapse of restrictions, or by permitting the purchaser to deliver to the Corporation, Shares having a Fair Market Value, as determined by the Committee, equal to the amount of such required withholding taxes.

9. Long-Term Performance Awards

9.1. Administration

Long-Term Performance Awards are cash or stock bonus awards that may be granted either alone or in addition to other awards granted under the Plan. Such awards shall be granted for no cash consideration. The Administrator shall determine the nature, length and starting date of any performance period (the "Performance Period") for each Long-Term Performance Award, and shall determine the performance or

employment factors, if any, to be used in the determination of Long-Term Performance Awards and the extent to which such Long-Term Performance Awards are valued or have been earned. Long-Term Performance Awards may vary from participant to participant and between groups of participants and shall be based upon the achievement of Corporation, Subsidiary, Parent and/or individual performance factors or upon such other criteria as the Administrator may deem appropriate. Performance Periods may overlap and participants may participate simultaneously with respect to Long-Term Performance Awards that are subject to different Performance Periods and different performance factors and criteria. Long-Term Performance Awards shall be confirmed by, and be subject to the terms of, an Award Agreement. The terms of such awards need not be the same with respect to each participant.

At the beginning of each Performance Period, the Administrator may determine for each Long-Term Performance Award subject to such Performance Period the range of dollar values or number of shares of Common Stock to be awarded to the participant at the end of the Performance Period if and to the extent that the relevant measures of performance for such Long-Term Performance Award are met. Such dollar values or number of shares of Common Stock may be fixed or may vary in accordance with such performance or other criteria as may be determined by the Administrator.

9.2. Adjustment of Awards

The Administrator may adjust the performance factors applicable to the Long-Term Performance Awards to take into account changes in legal, accounting and tax rules and to make such adjustments as the Administrator deems necessary or appropriate to reflect the inclusion or exclusion of the impact of extraordinary or unusual items, events or circumstances in order to avoid windfalls or hardships.

10. Non-Transferability of Options

Options and Rights may not be sold, pledged, assigned, hypothecated, transferred or disposed of in any manner other than by will or by the laws of descent or distribution and may be exercised, during the lifetime of the Participant, only by the Participant.

11. Adjustments Upon Changes in Capitalization, Dissolution, Merger, Asset Sale or Change of Control

11.1. Changes in Capitalization

Subject to any required action by the stockholders of the Corporation, the number of shares of Common Stock covered by each outstanding Option and Right, and the number of shares of Common Stock which have been authorized for issuance under the Plan but as to which no Options or Rights have yet been granted or which have been returned to the Plan upon cancellation or expiration of an Option or Right, as well as the price per share of Common Stock covered by each such outstanding Option or Right, shall be proportionately adjusted for any increase or decrease in the number of issued shares of Common Stock resulting from a stock split, reverse stock split, stock dividend, combination or reclassification of the Common Stock, or any other increase or decrease in the number of issued shares of Common Stock effected without receipt of consideration by the Corporation; provided, however, that conversion of any convertible securities of the Corporation shall not be deemed to have been "effected without receipt of consideration." Such adjustment shall be made by the Board, whose determination in that respect shall be final, binding and conclusive. Except as expressly provided herein, no issuance by the Corporation of shares of stock of any class, or securities convertible into shares of stock of any class, shall affect, and no adjustment by reason thereof shall be made with respect to, the number or price of shares of Common Stock subject to an Option or Right.

11.2. Dissolution or Liquidation

In the event of the proposed dissolution or liquidation of the Corporation, to the extent that an Option or Right has not been previously exercised, it will terminate immediately prior to the consummation of such proposed action. The Board may, in the exercise of its sole discretion in such instances, declare that any Option or Right shall terminate as of a date fixed by the Board and give each Participant the right to exercise his or her Option or Right as to all or any part of the Optioned Stock, including Shares as to which the Option or Right would not otherwise be exercisable.

11.3. *Merger or Asset Sale*

Subject to the provisions of Section 11.4, in the event of a merger of the Corporation with or into another corporation, or the sale of substantially all of the assets of the Corporation, each outstanding Option and Right shall be assumed or an equivalent Option or Right substituted by the successor corporation or a Parent or Subsidiary of the successor corporation. In the event that the successor corporation does not agree to assume the Option or to substitute an equivalent option, the Administrator shall, in lieu of such assumption or substitution, provide for the Participant to have the right to exercise the Option or Right as to all or a portion of the Optioned Stock, including Shares as to which it would not otherwise be exercisable. If the Administrator makes an Option or Right exercisable in lieu of assumption or substitution in the event of a merger or sale of assets, the Administrator shall notify the Participant that the Option or Right shall be exercisable for a period of 15 days from the date of such notice, and the Option or Right will terminate upon the expiration of such period. For the purposes of this paragraph, the Option or Right shall be considered assumed if, immediately following the merger or sale of assets, the Option or Right confers the right to purchase, for each Share of Optioned Stock subject to the Option or Right immediately prior to the merger or sale of assets, the consideration (whether stock, cash, or other securities or property) received in the merger or sale of assets by holders of Common Stock for each Share held on the effective date of the transaction (and if holders were offered a choice of consideration, the type of consideration chosen by the holders of a majority of the outstanding Shares); provided, however, that if such consideration received in the merger or sale of assets was not solely common stock of the successor corporation or its Parent, the Administrator may, with the consent of the successor corporation and the participant, provide for the consideration to be received upon the exercise of the Option or Right, for each Share of Optioned Stock subject to the Option or Right, to be solely common stock of the successor corporation or its Parent equal in Fair Market Value to the per share consideration received by holders of Common Stock in the merger or sale of assets.

11.4. *Change in Control*

In the event of a “Change in Control” of the Corporation, then the following acceleration and valuation provisions shall apply:

(a) Except as otherwise determined by the Board, in its discretion, prior to the occurrence of a Change in Control, any Options and Rights outstanding on the date such Change in Control is determined to have occurred that are not yet exercisable and vested on such date shall become fully exercisable and vested;

(b) Except as otherwise determined by the Board, in its discretion, prior to the occurrence of a Change in Control, all outstanding Options and Rights, to the extent they are exercisable and vested (including Options and Rights that shall become exercisable and vested pursuant to subparagraph (i) above), shall be terminated in exchange for a cash payment equal to the Change in Control Price, (reduced by the exercise price, if any, applicable to such Options or Rights). These cash proceeds shall be paid to the Participant or, in the event of death of a Participant prior to payment, to the estate of the Participant or to a person who acquired the right to exercise the Option or Right by bequest or inheritance.

12. Date of Grant

The date of grant of an Option or Right shall be, for all purposes, the date on which the Administrator makes the determination granting such Option or Right, or such other later date as is determined by the Administrator. Notice of the determination shall be provided to each Participant within a reasonable time after the date of such grant.

13. Amendment and Termination of the Plan

13.1. *Amendment and Termination*

The Board may amend, alter, suspend or terminate the Plan at any time.

13.2. Effect of Amendment or Termination

No amendment, alteration, suspension or termination of the Plan shall impair the rights of any Participant, unless mutually agreed otherwise between the Participant and the Administrator, which agreement must be in writing and signed by the Participant and the Corporation.

14. Conditions Upon Issuance of Shares

14.1. Legal Compliance

Shares shall not be issued pursuant to the exercise of an Option or Right unless the exercise of such Option or Right and the issuance and delivery of such Shares shall comply with all relevant provisions of law, including, without limitation, the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, the Exchange Act, the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder, Applicable Laws, and the requirements of any stock exchange or quotation system upon which the Shares may then be listed or quoted, and shall be further subject to the approval of counsel for the Corporation with respect to such compliance.

14.2. Investment Representations

As a condition to the exercise of an Option or Right, the Corporation may require the person exercising such Option or Right to represent and warrant at the time of any such exercise that the Shares are being purchased only for investment and without any present intention to sell or distribute such Shares if, in the opinion of counsel for the Corporation, such a representation is required.

15. Liability of Corporation

15.1. Inability to Obtain Authority

The inability of the Corporation to obtain authority from any regulatory body having jurisdiction, which authority is deemed by the Corporation's counsel to be necessary to the lawful issuance and sale of any Shares hereunder, shall relieve the Corporation of any liability in respect of the failure to issue or sell such Shares as to which such requisite authority shall not have been obtained.

15.2. Grants Exceeding Allotted Shares

If the Optioned Stock covered by an Option or Right exceeds, as of the date of grant, the number of Shares which may be issued under the Plan without additional stockholder approval, such Option or Right shall be void with respect to such excess Optioned Stock, unless stockholder approval of an amendment sufficiently increasing the number of Shares subject to the Plan is timely obtained in accordance with Section 17(a) of the Plan.

16. Reservation of Shares

The Corporation, during the term of this Plan, will at all times reserve and keep available such number of Shares as shall be sufficient to satisfy the requirements of the Plan.

17. Stockholder Approval

(a) The Corporation shall obtain stockholder approval of any Plan amendment to the extent necessary and desirable to comply with Rule 16b-3 or with Section 422 of the Code (or any successor rule or statute or other applicable law, rule or regulation, including the requirements of any exchange or quotation system on which the Common Stock is listed or quoted). Such stockholder approval, if required, shall be obtained in such a manner and to such a degree as is required by the applicable law, rule or regulation.

(b) Continuance of the Plan shall be subject to approval by the stockholders of the Corporation within twelve (12) months before or after the date the Plan is adopted. Such stockholder approval shall be obtained in the manner and to the degree required under applicable federal and state law.

18. Effect on Plan

The Plan amends and restates in its entirety the Provectus Pharmaceuticals, Inc. 2002 Stock Plan (the “Prior Plan”), approved by the Board of Directors of the Corporation on April 22, 2002. Any Options or Rights granted under the Prior Plan shall remain outstanding and hereafter shall be governed by the terms of the Plan.

[This page intentionally left blank.]

[This page intentionally left blank.]

[This page intentionally left blank.]

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number 000-09410

PROVECTUS PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Nevada
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

90-0031917
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

7327 Oak Ridge Highway, Suite A
Knoxville, Tennessee 37931
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

866-594-5999
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

None

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

Common Stock, par value \$.001 per share
(Title of class)

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates computed by reference to the price at which the common equity was last sold as of June 30, 2010, was \$79,391,581.80 (computed on the basis of \$1.10 per share).

The number of shares outstanding of the registrant's common stock, par value \$.001 per share, as of March 7, 2011 was 99,800,071.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

The information required by Part III is incorporated by reference to portions of the definitive proxy statement to be filed within 120 days after December 31, 2010, pursuant to Regulation 14A under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 in connection with the annual meeting of stockholders to be held on June 23, 2011.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I

Item 1.	Business.	1
Item 1A.	Risk Factors.	17
Item 1B.	Unresolved Staff Comments.	24
Item 2.	Properties.	24
Item 3.	Legal Proceedings.	24
Item 4.	[Removed and Reserved].	24

PART II

Item 5.	Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	25
Item 6.	Selected Financial Data.	25
Item 7.	Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.	26
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.	29
Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	29
Item 9.	Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.	29
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures.	30
Item 9B.	Other Information.	33

PART III

Item 10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance.	34
Item 11.	Executive Compensation.	34
Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.	34
Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence.	34
Item 14.	Principal Accountant Fees and Services.	34

PART IV

Item 15.	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules.	35
----------	---	----

SIGNATURES	36
-----------------------------	----

INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	37
--	----

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	F-1
---------------------------------------	-----

EXHIBIT INDEX	X-1
--------------------------------	-----

CAUTIONARY NOTE REGARDING FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains forward-looking statements regarding, among other things, our anticipated financial and operating results. Forward-looking statements reflect our management's current assumptions, beliefs, and expectations. Words such as "anticipate," "believe," "estimate," "expect," "intend," "plan," and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. While we believe that the expectations reflected in our forward-looking statements are reasonable, we can give no assurance that such expectations will prove correct. Forward-looking statements are subject to risks and uncertainties that could cause our actual results to differ materially from the future results, performance, or achievements expressed in or implied by any forward-looking statement we make. Some of the relevant risks and uncertainties that could cause our actual performance to differ materially from the forward-looking statements contained in this report are discussed below under the heading "Risk Factors" and elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. We caution investors that these discussions of important risks and uncertainties are not exclusive, and our business may be subject to other risks and uncertainties which are not detailed there. Investors are cautioned not to place undue reliance on our forward-looking statements. We make forward-looking statements as of the date on which this Annual Report on Form 10-K is filed with the SEC, and we assume no obligation to update the forward-looking statements after the date hereof whether as a result of new information or events, changed circumstances, or otherwise, except as required by law.

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS.

General

Provectus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., a Nevada corporation, together with its seven wholly owned subsidiaries managed on a consolidated basis, referred to herein as “we,” “us,” and “our,” is a development-stage pharmaceutical company that is primarily engaged in developing ethical pharmaceuticals for oncology and dermatology indications. Our goal is to develop alternative treatments that are safer, more effective, less invasive and more economical than conventional therapies. We develop and intend to license or market and sell our two prescription drug candidates, PV-10 and PH-10. We also hold patents and other intellectual property which we believe may be used in over-the-counter products, which we refer to as OTC products, and medical device technologies. We have transferred all our intellectual property related to OTC products and medical device technologies to our subsidiaries and have designated such subsidiaries as non-core to our primary business of developing our oncology and dermatology prescription drug candidates.

Prescription Drugs

We focus on developing our prescription drug candidates PV-10 and PH-10. We are developing PV-10 for treatment of several life threatening cancers including metastatic melanoma, liver cancer, and breast cancer. We are developing PH-10 to provide minimally invasive treatment of chronic severe skin afflictions such as psoriasis and atopic dermatitis, a type of eczema. We believe that our prescription drug candidates will be safer and more specific than currently existing products. All of our prescription drug candidates are in either the pre-clinical or clinical trial stage.

The table below sets forth our two prescription drug candidates and our progress in developing those candidates for the indications shown:

PV-10 Melanoma	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Phase 2 study completed May 2010• End-of-Phase 2 FDA meeting April 2010• Phase 2 treatments completed September 2009• Phase 2 recruitment completed May 2009• Phase 2 study initiated Sep 2007• Orphan drug status Jan 2007
PH-10 Psoriasis	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Phase 2c randomized study initiated Dec 2010• Phase 2 study completed Apr 2010• Phase 2 recruitment completed Oct 2009• Replacement Phase 2 initiated Jul 2009 due to dose regimen change• Phase 2 study initiated Nov 2007
PH-10 Atopic Dermatitis	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Phase 2 study completed Sep 2009• Phase 2 recruitment completed Jun 2009• Phase 2 study initiated Jun 2008
PV-10 Breast Cancer	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Phase 1 study completed Jul 2008• Phase 1 initial cohort treatment completed April 2006• Phase 1 study initiated October 2005
PV-10 Liver Metastasis	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Phase 1 patient accrual and treatment completed Jan 2011• Phase 1 study initiated Oct 2009

In addition to clinical trials, patients enrolled in the compassionate use program for PV-10 are also receiving PV-10 treatments.

Oncology (PV-10)

We believe our prescription drug candidate PV-10 may afford competitive advantage compared to currently available options for the treatment of certain types of cancer. We are developing PV-10, a sterile injectible form of rose bengal disodium (Rose Bengal), for direct injection into tumors. Because PV-10 is retained in diseased or damaged tissue but quickly dissipates from healthy tissue, we believe we can develop

therapies that confine treatment to cancerous tissue and reduce collateral impact on healthy tissue. We have conducted Phase 1 and Phase 2 studies of PV-10 for the treatment of metastatic melanoma, and Phase 1 studies of PV-10 for the treatment of liver and breast cancers, each of which are described in more detail below.

Metastatic Melanoma

The American Cancer Society reports that in 2010 there will have been approximately 68,000 new cases of invasive melanoma diagnosed in the U.S., leading to more than 8,700 deaths, while the World Health Organization projected that 48,000 patients died from melanoma in 2008. The National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc.'s Practice Guidelines in Oncology for Melanoma state "Metastatic melanoma is associated with a poor prognosis. Several chemotherapeutic agents have shown activity in patients with metastatic melanoma including dacarbazine and temozolomide as single agents as well as combination chemotherapy regimens. However, little consensus currently exists regarding standard therapy for those approximately 8,000 patients diagnosed yearly in United States with metastatic melanoma, which most likely reflects the low level of activity of all available agents."

We completed a Phase 1 study of PV-10 to assess the safety and tolerability of injection of PV-10 in the treatment of metastatic melanoma in 2007. In the study, twenty patients received injections of PV-10. The study's primary outcome measure was to determine the product's safety. The secondary outcome measure was to determine an objective response rate (ORR) of target lesions and untreated non-target lesions. A total of 114 tumors were injected and 39 bystander tumors were observed in the study. Subjects were followed for four to 27 weeks. Study treatments were well tolerated and elicited minimal side effects, the most common being mild to moderate pain at the injection site. Using the RECIST (Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors) approach, after injection with a single dose of PV-10, the following results were obtained: 20% of subjects achieved complete response (CR) of their injected tumors, 20% achieved partial response (PR), 35% achieved stable disease (SD) and 25% achieved progressive disease (PD), corresponding to an objective response (CR+PR) in 40% of subjects and local disease control (CR+PR+SD) in 75% of subjects. Among those subjects achieving an objective response of their treated tumors, 25% achieved an objective response of their untreated bystander tumors, and 100% exhibited disease control in their bystander tumors. In contrast, for those subjects failing to achieve an objective response of their treated tumors, only 8% achieved an objective response of their bystander tumors, and 92% exhibited progressive disease in their bystander tumors. These differences in response of bystander lesions as a function of response of target lesions were statistically significant and support the occurrence of a bystander effect in subjects whose target lesions have been responsive to PV-10 chemoablation.

We completed a Phase 2 study of PV-10 for intralesional injection of PV-10 in the treatment of metastatic melanoma in May, 2010. The primary outcome measure was ORR of PV-10 treated lesions for a 52 week period. The secondary outcome measures were (i) ORR of untreated bystander lesions; (ii) progression free survival (PFS) of treated lesions, (iii) duration of objective response of treated lesions, (iv) survival, and (v) assessment of systemic and locoregional adverse events during a 52-week period.

We have had our second meeting with the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in 2011 to discuss the design of a pivotal Phase 3 randomized controlled trial suitable for SPA. During the first end of Phase 2 meeting with FDA in April 2010, we received guidance for the design of this trial.

We also met with the Australian Therapeutic Goods Administration (TGA) to review regulatory approval of PV-10 in Australia. TGA agreed to the same primary endpoint of progression free survival as was proposed to FDA during our April meeting. Use of interim data from the first half of Phase 3 study subjects, in conjunction with safety data collected in earlier studies of PV-10 for melanoma, was discussed to allow early evaluation for marketing approval for metastatic melanoma, and TGA agreed that these data should be sufficient for this review if the analysis confirmed efficacy.

Phase 2 data on visceral metastases were presented at the annual meeting of the American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO) in June 2010 by Dr. Sanjiv Agarwala, Chief of Medical Oncology and Hematology at St. Luke's Hospital and Health Network in Bethlehem, PA and Principal Investigator for our Phase 2 trial site at St. Luke's. Positive improvement that was observed in these remote, untreated lesions, including

metastases to the lungs, liver and brain, illustrated a potential systemic effect in visceral organs to which melanoma has spread. Key conclusions included a majority of subjects exhibited a robust response in their injected lesions and response appeared to be unrelated to neither disease state nor to prior treatment history; locoregional treatment with PV-10 may elicit systemic benefit in untested visceral lesions and the overall safety and efficacy profile of PV-10 compares favorably with available and emerging options for metastatic melanoma patients. These findings are very encouraging to us as we continue on our regulatory approval path.

Dr. Agarwala later presented full Phase 2 Study data from the entire study population of 80 subjects at the Melanoma 2010 Congress in Sydney, Australia in November. The bystander effect, which appears to result from an immunologic response stimulated by PV-10 chemoablation, was noted by Dr. Agarwala, and was closely correlated with successful ablation of injected lesions. A Phase 2B clinical trial is planned to study the immunologic processes whereby PV-10 produces this systemic response. Importantly, the initial full study results for all 80 subjects enrolled in the Phase 2 study were statistically equivalent to those presented at ASCO despite the more advanced state of the second group of subjects.

We also reported progress with our Compassionate Use Program for PV-10 for non-visceral cancers. With more than 40 patients enrolled in six centers across the U.S. and Australia, the protocol enables subjects to undergo more frequent and extensive treatments of PV-10 over a longer period of time than was allowed under the protocol used for the Phase 2 trials. Its dosage is expected to serve as the blueprint for a potential Phase 3 study for metastatic melanoma. We are very thankful that these patients are benefitting from the use of PV-10 through expanded access.

We are continuing to assess whether we should conduct the Phase 3 study ourselves, partner with a larger company to co-develop PV-10 in Phase 3, and potential paths to accelerated approval in the USA and abroad.

Liver Cancer

In 2010, approximately 24,120 new cases of liver cancer were diagnosed in the U.S. and about 18,910 will die from this disease. Early detection is difficult and as a result, most cases reach an advanced metastatic stage and are unresectable. If the cancer cannot be completely removed, the disease is usually deadly within three to six months. Malignant lesions in the liver arising from primary hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) or metastases from a wide range of cancers represent an ongoing treatment challenge for oncologists. HCC is one of the most common malignancies worldwide, and its incidence is rapidly increasing in the United States. The liver is a common site of metastases from solid tumors, particularly those arising in the gastrointestinal tract. Other tumors, such as lung and breast cancer and melanoma, also readily spread to the liver.

In 2009, we began a Phase 1 study of PV-10 to assess the safety, tolerability and pharmacokinetics of single intralesional injections of PV-10 with subjects with either recurrent hepatocellular carcinoma or cancer metastatic to the liver. In January 2011, we completed patient accrual of all subjects in the Phase 1 study. The primary outcome measure was safety, including systemic and locoregional adverse events. The secondary outcome measures were (i) lesion distribution and retention of PV-10 following injection, (ii) ORR of target and measurable bystander lesions (if present) by modified RECIST, (iii) changes in markers of hepatic function, including ALP, ALT, AST, total bilirubin and GGT, and pharmacokinetics of PV-10 in the bloodstream following intralesional injection.

Final results for PV-10 as a treatment for liver cancer are very encouraging as they show the treatment was generally well-tolerated, with substantial evidence of efficacy. We believe PV-10's ability to selectively target and destroy cancer cells without harming surrounding healthy tissue make it a potentially attractive therapy for cancers of the liver, which can be very serious and difficult to treat if they cannot be fully removed through surgery. Based upon the initial results of our PV-10 Phase 1 trial for liver cancer, and the growing confidence we have in PV-10 as a viable treatment for non-resectable liver cancer, we are currently designing a Phase 2 study.

Breast Cancer

About 207,090 new cases of invasive breast cancer were diagnosed in women in 2010 in the U.S. Breast cancer is the second leading cause of cancer death in women, exceeded only by lung cancer. Early detection of breast cancer is key, and as a result, the latest figures for five year survival rates with stage II breast cancer are 88% for stage IIA and 76% for stage IIB. Treatment for this stage breast cancer is typically surgery and chemotherapy. We plan to pursue development of PV-10 as a neo-adjuvant to surgery on the basis of a tissue sparing endpoint.

In 2005, we began a Phase 1 study of PV-10 to assess the safety and tolerability of injections of PV-10 into recurrent breast carcinoma. We completed the Phase 1 study in 2008. The primary outcome measure was systemic and locoregional adverse experience. The secondary outcome measures were (i) histopathologic response of PV-10 injected lesions and (ii) wound healing of PV-10 injected lesions.

We are very pleased with the results of this Phase 1 clinical trial, a classic ascending dose study. Its goals were to determine the safety of the treatment and the appropriate dosage. We have also wanted to show that PV-10 has multi-indication potential. We succeeded in meeting these goals. We are now in a position for a Phase 2 study in recurrent breast carcinoma with our lead oncology drug product candidate PV-10.

Other Indications

The compassionate use program for PV-10 is only available for cancer indications that do not involve treatment of visceral organs and are not subject to enrollment in ongoing clinical trials. These indications include certain breast cancers, basal cell carcinoma, squamous cell carcinoma, certain head and neck cancers and melanoma. Compassionate use programs provide patients with access to experimental therapeutics prior to FDA approval.

The protocol for the compassionate use program enables subjects to undergo more frequent and extensive treatments of PV-10 over a longer period of time than was allowed under the protocol used for the Phase 2 trial of PV-10. Based on the success of the compassionate use program, its dose regimen is expected to serve as the blueprint for a potential Phase 3 study for metastatic melanoma. The majority of patients enrolled in the program have been treated for melanoma, with one patient treated for both melanoma and recurrent squamous cell carcinoma

Dermatology (PH-10)

Our prescription drug candidate PH-10 is an aqueous hydrogel formulation of Rose Bengal for topical administration to the skin. We are developing PH-10 for the treatment of cutaneous skin disorders, specifically psoriasis and atopic dermatitis, and we believe that PH-10 may be successful in treating other skin diseases. We believe that PH-10 offers a superior treatment for psoriasis and atopic dermatitis because it selectively treats diseased tissue with negligible potential for side effects in healthy tissue.

We have been actively discussing licensing transactions with a number of potential outlicensing partners for PH-10. We believe that our Phase 2c trial of PH-10 for psoriasis will further solidify the commercial viability of PH-10 in these discussions. In July 2010, we agreed to license Numoda Capital Innovations LLC's TruPoints strategic partnering platform. TruPoints can be used to facilitate transactions with potential licensing partners for PH-10 for either psoriasis or atopic dermatitis, or both.

Psoriasis

Psoriasis is a common chronic disorder of the skin characterized by dry scaling patches, called "plaques," for which current treatments are few and those that are available have potentially serious side effects. There is no known cure for the disease at this time. According to the National Institutes of Health, as many as 7.5 million Americans, or approximately 2.2 percent of the U.S. population, have psoriasis. The National Psoriasis Foundation reports that approximately 125 million people worldwide, 2 to 3 percent of the total population, have psoriasis. It also reports that total direct and indirect health care costs of psoriasis for patients exceed \$11 billion annually.

According to the National Psoriasis Foundation, the majority of psoriasis sufferers, those with mild to moderate cases, are treated with topical steroids that can have unpleasant side effects. None of the other treatments for moderate cases of psoriasis have proven completely effective. The 25 – 30% of psoriasis patients who suffer from more severe cases generally are treated with more intensive drug therapies or PUVA, a light-based therapy that combines the drug Psoralen with exposure to ultraviolet A light. While PUVA is one of the more effective treatments, it increases a patient's risk of skin cancer.

Our Phase 1 study for PH-10 was initiated in April 2001 to evaluate the safety of three different doses of PH-10 in separate patient segment groups. Subjects in the study each received a single dose of PH-10 followed by administration of green light on psoriatic plaques. Subjects were examined post-treatment, with a final follow-up examination at 90 days.

Our Phase 2 study of PH-10 for treatment of psoriasis was initiated in 2009 and completed in April 2010. There were 30 subjects treated in the completed Phase 2 study, and an additional six subjects were treated in an earlier study that was terminated in favor of an increased dosing frequency. Consistent with the preliminary data that we announced in December 2009, 70% of the 30 subjects enrolled in the Phase 2 clinical trial of PH-10 for psoriasis demonstrated improvement in their Psoriasis Severity Index (PSI) scores at the end of four weeks of daily treatment with PH-10. In addition, 86% of subjects reported no or only mild pruritus (itching) by week four of the trial, and no significant safety issues were noted. At the four week interval substantial improvement was observed across all standard disease assessment scores.

During 2010, we initiated a Phase 2c clinical trial of PH-10 for psoriasis. This multicenter, randomized controlled Phase 2c study is expected to enroll up to 90 subjects at four different sites, which began in December 2010. The subjects will be randomized sequentially by center to one of four treatment cohorts, and will assess efficacy and safety of topical PH-10 applied once daily to areas of mild to moderate plaque psoriasis. The primary efficacy endpoint is "treatment success," a static endpoint assessed at day 29 after initial PH-10 treatment and defined as 0 or 1 on all Psoriasis Severity Index (PSI) components and 0 or 1 on the Plaque Response scale. The primary safety endpoint is incidence of adverse experiences, including pain and dermatologic/skin toxicity (incidence, severity, frequency, duration and causality). The secondary outcome measures are (i) Psoriasis Severity Index (PSI) score changes at each visit from day 1 pre-treatment, (ii) Plaque Response score changes at each visit from day 1 pre-treatment, and (iii) Pruritus Self-Assessment score changes at each visit from day 1 pre-treatment.

The Phase 2c trial will be conducted at four sites in the U.S. including the Mount Sinai School of Medicine in New York City, Wake Research Associates in Raleigh, NC, Dermatology Specialists in Oceanside, CA and International Dermatology Research in Miami, FL. With 90 subjects, this trial is the largest dermatological trial that we have conducted to date.

The results of this study are expected to define the parameters necessary for the design of a pivotal Phase 3 trial, and will be an important milestone on the regulatory pathway leading towards commercialization. In addition, we've held discussions with a number of potential outlicensing partners, and we believe this Phase 2c trial will further solidify the commercial viability of PH-10 in these discussions.

Atopic Dermatitis

Atopic Dermatitis, the most severe and common type of eczema, is a long-term skin disease that causes dry and itchy skin, rashes on the face, inside the elbows, behind the knees, and on the hands and feet. Scratching of the afflicted skin can cause redness, swelling, cracking, weeping clear fluid, crusting, thick skin, and scaling. According to the National Eczema Association, physicians estimate that 65% of eczema patients are diagnosed in the first year of life and 90% of patients experience it before age five. Often the symptoms fade during childhood, though most will have atopic dermatitis for life. The National Eczema Association estimates that atopic dermatitis affects over 30 million Americans.

In 2008, we initiated a Phase 2 study of PH-10 for the treatment of atopic dermatitis. This Phase 2 study assessed whether topical PH-10 applied once daily to mild, moderate or severe atopic dermatitis may ameliorate inflammation of the skin when activated by ambient light. The subjects applied PH-10 daily for 28 days to skin areas affected by atopic dermatitis. The subjects were assessed weekly during the treatment period and for four weeks following the treatment period. The primary outcome measures were (i) treatment

success, defined as a score of 0 to 1 at day 28, the end of the study treatment period, by the Investigator's Global Assessment (IGA) scoring system for atopic dermatitis status, and (ii) adverse experience, including pain and dermatologic/skin toxicity (incidence, severity, frequency, duration and causality) during the eight weeks following treatment.

Data from the subjects indicated that a substantial majority of subjects had improvement in the Eczema Area Severity Index (EASI) during four weeks of treatment. The treatments were generally well tolerated with no significant safety issues identified. At the four week interval substantial improvement was observed across all standard disease assessment scores.

Other Indications

We have investigated the use of PH-10 for treatment of actinic keratosis (also called solar keratosis or senile keratosis), which is the most common pre-cancerous skin lesion among fair-skinned people and is estimated to occur in over 50% of elderly fair-skinned persons living in sunny climates. We have previously conducted a Phase I clinical trial of PH-10 for actinic keratosis to examine the safety profile of a single treatment using topical PH-10 with green light photoactivation. No significant safety concerns were identified in the study. We have decided to prioritize further clinical development of PH-10 for treatment of psoriasis and atopic dermatitis rather than actinic keratosis at this time since the market is much larger for psoriasis and atopic dermatitis.

We have also conducted pre-clinical studies of PH-10 for use in treating severe acne vulgaris. Moderate to severe forms of the disease have proven responsive to several photodynamic regimens, and we anticipate that PH-10 can be used as an advanced treatment for this disease. Our pre-clinical studies show that the active ingredient in PH-10 readily kills bacteria associated with acne. This finding, coupled with our clinical experience in psoriasis, atopic dermatitis, and actinic keratosis, suggests that therapy with PH-10 will exhibit no significant side effects and will afford improved performance relative to other therapeutic alternatives. If correct, this would be a major advance over currently available products for severe acne.

The active ingredient in PH-10 is photoactive in that it reacts to light of certain wavelengths thereby potentially increasing its therapeutic effects. We believe that photodynamic treatment regimens can deliver a higher therapeutic effect at lower dosages of active ingredient, thus minimizing potential side effects including damage to nearby healthy tissues. PH-10 is especially responsive to green light, which is strongly absorbed by the skin and thus only penetrates the body to a depth of about three to five millimeters. For this reason, in the past we have investigated PH-10 combined with green-light activation, for topical use in surface applications where serious damage could result if medicinal effects were to occur in deeper tissues.

Over-the-Counter Pharmaceuticals

We have designated our subsidiary that holds our OTC products, GloveAid and Pure-ific, Pure-Stick, Pure N Clear as non-core. The potential further development and licensure of our OTC products would likely be facilitated by selling a majority stake of the underlying assets of the non-core subsidiary holding the OTC products. This transaction would likely be accomplished through a non-core spin-out process, which would enable the non-core subsidiary to become a separate publicly held company. The new public entity could then raise funds without diluting the ownership of the then current shareholders of the Company.

GloveAid

Personnel in many occupations and industries now use disposable gloves daily in the performance of their jobs, including airport security personnel, food handling and preparation personnel, health care workers such as hospital and blood bank personnel, laboratory researchers, police, fire and emergency response personnel, postal and package delivery handlers and sorters, and sanitation workers.

Accompanying the increased use of disposable gloves is a mounting incidence of chronic skin irritation. To address this market, we have developed GloveAid, a hand cream with both antiperspirant and antibacterial properties, to increase the comfort of users' hands during and after the wearing of disposable gloves. During 2003, we ran a pilot scale run at the manufacturer of GloveAid.

Pure-ific

Our Pure-ific line of products includes two quick-drying sprays, Pure-ific and Pure-ific Kids, that immediately kill up to 99.9% of germs on skin and prevent regrowth for six hours. We have determined the effectiveness of Pure-ific based on our internal testing and testing performed by Paratus Laboratories H.B., an independent research lab. Pure-ific products help prevent the spread of germs and thus complement our other OTC products designed to treat irritated skin or skin conditions such as acne, eczema, dandruff and fungal infections. Our Pure-ific sprays have been designed with convenience in mind and are targeted towards mothers, travelers, and anyone concerned about the spread of sickness-causing germs. During 2003 and 2004, we identified and engaged sales and brokerage forces for Pure-ific. We emphasized getting sales in independent pharmacies and mass (chain stores) markets. The supply chain for Pure-ific was established with the ability to support large-scale sales and a starting inventory was manufactured and stored in a contract warehouse/fulfillment center. In addition, a website for Pure-ific was developed with the ability for supporting online sales of the antibacterial hand spray. During 2005 and 2006, most of our sales were generated from customers accessing our website for Pure-ific and making purchases online. We discontinued our proof-of-concept program in November 2006 and have, therefore, ceased selling our OTC products. The Company now intends to license the Pure-ific product. The Company has been discussing this strategy with interested groups. Additionally, the Company also intends to sell a majority stake in the underlying assets via a non-core spin-out transaction.

Acne

Our acne products Pure-Stick and Pure N Clear work by decreasing the production of fats, oils and sweat that create an environment conducive to unchecked growth of bacteria. Secondly, the products also act to reduce the number bacteria already present. Pure-Stick and Pure N Clear represent new formulations of proven, safe ingredients that achieve both steps required to successfully treat acne. Since Pure-Stick and Pure N Clear are applied topically to affected areas there are no safety concerns with healthy skin. The unique combinations have allowed the Company to secure patent protection for these products.

Medical Devices

We have medical device technologies that we believe may address two major markets:

- cosmetic treatments, such as reduction of wrinkles and elimination of spider veins and other cosmetic blemishes; and
- therapeutic uses, including photoactivation of PH-10 other prescription drugs and non-surgical destruction of certain skin cancers.

We expect to further develop medical devices through partnerships with, or selling our assets to, third-party device manufacturers or, if appropriate opportunities arise, through acquisition of one or more device manufacturers. Additionally, the Company also intends to sell a majority stake in the underlying assets via a non-core spin-out transaction.

Photoactivation

Our clinical tests of PH-10 for dermatology have in the past utilized a number of commercially available lasers for activation of the drug. This approach has several advantages, including the leveraging of an extensive base of installed devices present throughout the pool of potential physician-adopters for PH-10. Access to such a base could play an integral role in early market capture. However, since the use of such lasers, which were designed for occasional use in other types of dermatological treatment, is potentially too cumbersome and costly for routine treatment of the large population of patients with psoriasis, we have begun investigating potential use of other types of photoactivation hardware, such as light booths. The use of such booths is consistent with current care standards in the dermatology field, and may provide a cost-effective means for addressing the needs of patients and physicians alike. We anticipate that such photoactivation hardware would be developed, manufactured, and supported in conjunction with one or more third-party device manufacturer.

Laser-Based Treatment of Melanoma

We have conducted extensive research on ocular melanoma at the Massachusetts Eye and Ear Infirmary (a teaching affiliate of Harvard Medical School) using a new laser treatment that may offer significant advantage over current treatment options. A single quick non-invasive treatment of ocular melanoma tumors in a rabbit model resulted in elimination of over 90% of tumors, and may afford significant advantage over invasive alternatives, such as surgical excision, enucleation, or radiotherapy implantation. Ocular melanoma is rare, with approximately 2,000 new cases annually in the U.S. However, we believe that our extremely successful results could be extrapolated to treatment of primary melanomas of the skin, which have an incidence of over 60,000 new cases annually in the U.S. and a 6% five-year survival rate after metastasis of the tumor. We have performed similar laser treatments on large (averaging approximately 3 millimeters thick) cutaneous melanoma tumors implanted in mice, and have been able to eradicate over 90% of these pigmented skin tumors with a single treatment. Moreover, we have shown that this treatment stimulates an anti-tumor immune response that may lead to improved outcome at both the treatment site and at sites of distant metastasis. From these results, we believe that a device for laser treatment of primary melanomas of the skin and eye is nearly ready for human studies. We anticipate partnering with, or selling our assets to, a medical device manufacturer to bring it to market in reliance on a 510(k) notification. For more information about the 510(k) notification process, see “Federal Regulation of Therapeutic Products” below.

Research and Development

We continue to actively develop projects that are product-directed and are attempting to conserve available capital and achieve full capitalization of our company through equity and convertible debt offerings, generation of product revenues, and other means. All ongoing research and development activities are directed toward maximizing shareholder value and advancing our corporate objectives in conjunction with our OTC product licensure, our current product development and maintaining our intellectual property portfolio.

Research and development costs totaling \$8,417,303 for 2010 included payroll of \$6,618,532, consulting and contract labor of \$1,095,793, lab supplies and pharmaceutical preparations of \$235,153, legal of \$300,964, insurance of \$90,314, rent and utilities of \$67,692, and depreciation expense of \$8,855. Research and development costs totaling \$4,909,414 for 2009 included payroll of \$2,860,116, consulting and contract labor of \$1,367,422, lab supplies and pharmaceutical preparations of \$281,833, legal of \$209,709, insurance of \$125,295, rent and utilities of \$55,685, and depreciation expense of \$9,354.

Production

We have determined that the most efficient use of our capital in further developing our OTC products is to license the products. The Company has been discussing this strategy with interested groups. Additionally, the Company also intends to sell a majority stake in the underlying assets via a non-core spin-out transaction.

Sales

We have not had any significant sales of any of our OTC products, though we commenced limited sales of Pure-ific, our antibacterial hand spray in 2004 through 2006 in a proof-of-concept program. We discontinued our proof-of-concept program in 2006 and have, therefore, ceased selling our OTC products. We will continue to seek additional markets for our products through existing distributorships that market and distribute medical products, ethical pharmaceuticals, and OTC products for the professional and consumer marketplaces through licensure, partnership and asset sale arrangements, and through potential merger and acquisition candidates.

In addition to developing and selling products ourselves on a limited basis, we are negotiating actively with a number of potential licensees for several of our intellectual properties, including patents and related technologies. To date, we have not yet entered into any licensing agreements; however, we anticipate consummating one or more such licenses in the future.

Intellectual Property

Patents

We hold a number of U.S. patents covering the technologies we have developed and are continuing to develop for the production of prescription drugs, medical devices and OTC pharmaceuticals. All patents material to an understanding of the Company are included and a cross reference to a discussion that explains the patent technologies and products is identified for each patent in the following table:

<u>U.S. Patent No</u>	<u>Title and Cross Reference</u>	<u>Issue Date</u>	<u>Expiration Date</u>
5,829,448	Method for improved selectivity in activation of molecular agents; see discussion under Medical Devices in Description of Business	November 3, 1998	October 30, 2016
5,832,931	Method for improved selectivity in photo-activation and detection of diagnostic agents; see discussion under Medical Devices in Description of Business	November 10, 1998	October 30, 2016
5,998,597	Method for improved selectivity in activation of molecular agents; see discussion under Medical Devices in Description of Business	December 7, 1999	October 30, 2016
6,042,603	Method for improved selectivity in photo-activation of molecular agents; see discussion under Medical Devices in Description of Business	March 28, 2000	October 30, 2016
6,331,286	Methods for high energy phototherapeutics; see discussion under Oncology in Description of Business	December 18, 2001	December 21, 2018
6,451,597	Method for enhanced protein stabilization and for production of cell lines useful production of such stabilized proteins; see discussion under Material Transfer Agreement in Description of Intellectual Property	September 17, 2002	April 6, 2020
6,468,777	Method for enhanced protein stabilization and for production of cell lines useful production of such stabilized proteins; see discussion under Material Transfer Agreement in Description of Intellectual Property	October 22, 2002	April 6, 2020
6,493,570	Method for improved imaging and photodynamic therapy; see discussion under Oncology in Description of Business	December 10, 2002	December 10, 2019
6,495,360	Method for enhanced protein stabilization for production of cell lines useful production of such stabilized proteins; see discussion under Material Transfer Agreement in Description of Intellectual Property	December 17, 2002	April 6, 2020
6,519,076	Methods and apparatus for optical imaging; see discussion under Medical Devices in Description of Business	February 11, 2003	October 30, 2016
6,525,862	Methods and apparatus for optical imaging; see discussion under Medical Devices in Description of Business	February 25, 2003	October 30, 2016

U.S. Patent No	Title and Cross Reference	Issue Date	Expiration Date
6,541,223	Method for enhanced protein stabilization and for production of cell lines useful production of such stabilized proteins; see discussion under Material Transfer Agreement in Description of Intellectual Property	April 1, 2003	April 6, 2020
6,986,740	Ultrasound contrast using halogenated xanthenes; see discussion under Oncology in Description of Business	January 17, 2006	September 9, 2023
6,991,776	Improved intracorporeal medicaments for high energy phototherapeutic treatment of disease; see discussion under Oncology in Description of Business	January 31, 2006	May 5, 2023
7,036,516	Treatment of pigmented tissues using optical energy; see discussion under Medical Devices in Description of Business	May 2, 2006	January 28, 2020
7,201,914	Combination antiperspirant and antimicrobial compositions; see discussion under Over-the-Counter Pharmaceuticals in Description of Business	April 10, 2007	May 15, 2024
7,338,652	Diagnostic Agents for Positron Emission Imaging; see discussion under Oncology in Description of Business	March 4, 2008	September 25, 2025
7,346,387	Improved Selectivity in Photo-Activation and Detection of Molecular Diagnostic Agents; see discussion under Medical Devices in Description of Business	March 18, 2008	October 30, 2016
7,353,829	Improved Methods and Apparatus For Multi-Photon Photo-Activation of Therapeutic Agents; see discussion under Medical Devices in Description of Business	April 8, 2008	April 23, 2020
7,384,623	A Radiosensitizer Agent comprising Tetrabromoerythrosin; see discussion under Oncology in Description of Business	June 10, 2008	August 25, 2019
7,390,668	Intracorporeal photodynamic medicaments for photodynamic treatment containing a halogenated xanthene or derivative; see discussion under Dermatology in Description of Business	June 24, 2008	March 6, 2021
7,402,299	Intracorporeal photodynamic medicaments for photodynamic treatment containing a halogenated xanthene or derivative; see discussion under Dermatology in Description of Business	July 22, 2008	October 2, 2025
7,427,389	Diagnostic Agents for Positron Emission Imaging; see discussion under Oncology in Description of Business	September 23, 2008	July 7, 2026
7,648,695	Improved Medicaments for chemotherapeutic treatment of disease; see discussion under Oncology in Description of Business	January 19, 2010	July 6, 2021
7,864,047	Improved intracorporeal medicaments for photodynamic treatment of disease; see discussion under Dermatology in Description of Business	January 4, 2011	October 30, 2016

We continue to pursue patent applications on numerous other developments we believe to be patentable. We consider our issued patents, our pending patent applications and any patentable inventions which we may develop to be extremely valuable assets of our business.

Trademarks

We own the following trademarks used in this document: GloveAid™ and Pure-ific™ (including Pure-ific™ and Pure-ific™ Kids). We also own the registered trademark PulseView®. Trademark rights are perpetual provided that we continue to keep the mark in use. We consider these marks, and the associated name recognition, to be valuable to our business.

Material Transfer Agreement

We have entered into a “Material Transfer Agreement” dated as of July 31, 2003 with Schering-Plough Animal Health Corporation, which we refer to as “SPAH”, the animal-health subsidiary of Schering-Plough Corporation, a major international pharmaceutical company which is still in effect. Under the Material Transfer Agreement, we will provide SPAH with access to some of our patented technologies to permit SPAH to evaluate those technologies for use in animal-health applications. If SPAH determines that it can commercialize our technologies, then the Material Transfer Agreement obligates us and SPAH to enter into a license agreement providing for us to license those technologies to SPAH in exchange for progress payments upon the achievement of goals.

The Material Transfer Agreement covers four U.S. patents that cover biological material manufacturing technologies (i.e., biotech related). The Material Transfer Agreement continues indefinitely, unless SPAH terminates it by giving us notice or determines that it does not wish to secure from us a license for our technologies. The Material Transfer Agreement can also be terminated by either of us in the event the other party breaches the agreement and does not cure the breach within 30 days of notice from the other party. We cannot assure you that SPAH will determine that it can commercialize our technologies or that the goals required for us to obtain progress payments from SPAH will be achieved.

The Company has received no “progress payments” in relation to its Material Transfer Agreement with SPAH. Progress payments could potentially total \$50,000 for the first cell line for which SPAH uses our technology and \$25,000 for each use of the same technology thereafter. We do not know how many cell lines SPAH may have and we currently have no indication from SPAH that it intends to use any of our technologies in the foreseeable future.

Additionally, the Company also intends to sell a majority stake in these underlying assets via a non-core spin-out transaction.

Competition

In general, the pharmaceutical and biotechnology industries are intensely competitive, characterized by rapid advances in products and technology. A number of companies have developed and continue to develop products that address the areas we have targeted. Some of these companies are major pharmaceutical companies and biotechnology companies that are international in scope and very large in size, while others are niche players that may be less familiar but have been successful in one or more areas we are targeting. Existing or future pharmaceutical, device, or other competitors may develop products that accomplish similar functions to our technologies in ways that are less expensive, receive faster regulatory approval, or receive greater market acceptance than our products. Many of our competitors have been in existence for considerably longer than we have, have greater capital resources, broader internal structure for research, development, manufacturing and marketing, and are in many ways further along in their respective product cycles.

While it is possible that eventually we may compete directly with major pharmaceutical companies, we believe it is more likely that we will enter into joint development, marketing, or other licensure arrangements with such competitors. Eventually, we believe that we will be acquired.

We also have a number of market areas in common with traditional skincare cosmetics companies, but in contrast to these companies, our products are based on unique, proprietary formulations and approaches. For example, we are unaware of any products in our targeted OTC skincare markets that are similar to our Pure-ific product. Further, proprietary protection of our products may help limit or prevent market erosion until our patents expire.

Federal Regulation of Therapeutic Products

All of the prescription drugs we currently contemplate developing will require approval by the FDA prior to sales within the United States and by comparable foreign agencies prior to sales outside the United States. The FDA and comparable regulatory agencies impose substantial requirements on the manufacturing and marketing of pharmaceutical products and medical devices. These agencies and other entities extensively regulate, among other things, research and development activities and the testing, manufacturing, quality control, safety, effectiveness, labeling, storage, record keeping, approval, advertising and promotion of our proposed products. While we attempt to minimize and avoid significant regulatory bars when formulating our products, some degree of regulation from these regulatory agencies is unavoidable. Some of the things we do to attempt to minimize and avoid significant regulatory bars include the following:

- Using chemicals and combinations already allowed by the FDA;
- Using drugs that have been previously approved by the FDA and that have a long history of safe use; and
- Using chemical compounds with known safety profiles

The regulatory process required by the FDA, through which our drug or device products must pass successfully before they may be marketed in the U.S., generally involves the following:

- Preclinical laboratory and animal testing;
- Submission of an application that must become effective before clinical trials may begin;
- Adequate and well-controlled human clinical trials to establish the safety and efficacy of the product for its intended indication; and
- FDA approval to market a given product for a given indication after the appropriate application has been filed

For pharmaceutical products, preclinical tests include laboratory evaluation of the product, its chemistry, formulation and stability, as well as animal studies to assess the potential safety and efficacy of the product. Where appropriate (for example, for human disease indications for which there exist inadequate animal models), we will attempt to obtain preliminary data concerning safety and efficacy of proposed products using carefully designed human pilot studies. We will require sponsored work to be conducted in compliance with pertinent local and international regulatory requirements, including those providing for Institutional Review Board approval, national governing agency approval and patient informed consent, using protocols consistent with ethical principles stated in the Declaration of Helsinki and other internationally recognized standards. We expect any pilot studies to be conducted outside the United States; but if any are conducted in the United States, they will comply with applicable FDA regulations. Data obtained through pilot studies will allow us to make more informed decisions concerning possible expansion into traditional FDA-regulated clinical trials.

If the FDA is satisfied with the results and data from preclinical tests, it will authorize human clinical trials. Human clinical trials typically are conducted in three sequential phases which may overlap. Each of the three phases involves testing and study of specific aspects of the effects of the pharmaceutical on human subjects, including testing for safety, dosage tolerance, side effects, absorption, metabolism, distribution, excretion and clinical efficacy.

Phase 1 clinical trials include the initial introduction of an investigational new drug into humans. These studies are closely monitored and may be conducted in patients, but are usually conducted in healthy volunteer subjects. These studies are designed to determine the metabolic and pharmacologic actions of the drug in humans, the side effects associated with increasing doses, and, if possible, to gain early evidence on effectiveness. While the FDA can cause us to end clinical trials at any phase due to safety concerns, Phase 1 clinical trials are primarily concerned with safety issues. We also attempt to obtain sufficient information about the drug's pharmacokinetics and pharmacological effects during Phase 1 clinical trial to permit the design of well-controlled, scientifically valid, Phase 2 studies.

Phase 1 studies also evaluate drug metabolism, structure-activity relationships, and the mechanism of action in humans. These studies also determine which investigational drugs are used as research tools to explore biological phenomena or disease processes. The total number of subjects included in Phase 1 studies varies with the drug, but is generally in the range of twenty to eighty.

Phase 2 clinical trials include the early controlled clinical studies conducted to obtain some preliminary data on the effectiveness of the drug for a particular indication or indications in patients with the disease or condition. This phase of testing also helps determine the common short-term side effects and risks associated with the drug. Phase 2 studies are typically well-controlled, closely monitored, and conducted in a relatively small number of patients, usually involving several hundred people.

Phase 3 studies are expanded controlled and uncontrolled trials. They are performed after preliminary evidence suggesting effectiveness of the drug has been obtained in Phase 2, and are intended to gather the additional information about effectiveness and safety that is needed to evaluate the overall benefit-risk relationship of the drug. Phase 3 studies also provide an adequate basis for extrapolating the results to the general population and transmitting that information in the physician labeling. Phase 3 studies usually include several hundred to several thousand people.

Applicable medical devices can be cleared for commercial distribution through a notification to the FDA under Section 510(k) of the applicable statute. The 510(k) notification must demonstrate to the FDA that the device is as safe and effective and substantially equivalent to a legally marketed or classified device that is currently in interstate commerce. Such devices may not require detailed testing. Certain high-risk devices that sustain human life, are of substantial importance in preventing impairment of human health, or that present a potential unreasonable risk of illness or injury, are subject to a more comprehensive FDA approval process initiated by filing a premarket approval, also known as a “PMA,” application (for devices) or accelerated approval (for drugs).

We have established a core clinical development team and have been working with outside FDA consultants to assist us in developing product-specific development and approval strategies, preparing the required submittals, guiding us through the regulatory process, and providing input to the design and site selection of human clinical studies. Historically, obtaining FDA approval for photodynamic therapies has been a challenge. Wherever possible, we intend to utilize lasers or other activating systems that have been previously approved by the FDA to mitigate the risk that our therapies will not be approved by the FDA. The FDA has considerable experience with lasers by virtue of having reviewed and acted upon many 510(k) and premarket approval filings submitted to it for various photodynamic and non-photodynamic therapy laser applications, including a large number of cosmetic laser treatment systems used by dermatologists.

The testing and approval process requires substantial time, effort, and financial resources, and we may not obtain FDA approval on a timely basis, if at all. Success in preclinical or early-stage clinical trials does not assure success in later-stage clinical trials. The FDA or the research institution sponsoring the trials may suspend clinical trials or may not permit trials to advance from one phase to another at any time on various grounds, including a finding that the subjects or patients are being exposed to an unacceptable health risk. Once issued, the FDA may withdraw a product approval if we do not comply with pertinent regulatory requirements and standards or if problems occur after the product reaches the market. If the FDA grants approval of a product, the approval may impose limitations, including limits on the indicated uses for which we may market a product. In addition, the FDA may require additional testing and surveillance programs to monitor the safety and/or effectiveness of approved products that have been commercialized, and the agency has the power to prevent or limit further marketing of a product based on the results of these post-marketing programs. Further, later discovery of previously unknown problems with a product may result in restrictions on the product, including its withdrawal from the market.

Marketing our products abroad will require similar regulatory approvals by equivalent national authorities and is subject to similar risks. To expedite development, we may pursue some or all of our initial clinical testing and approval activities outside the United States, and in particular in those nations where our products may have substantial medical and commercial relevance. In some such cases, any resulting products may be

brought to the U.S. after substantial offshore experience is gained. Accordingly, we intend to pursue any such development in a manner consistent with U.S. standards so that the resultant development data is maximally applicable for potential FDA approval.

OTC products are subject to regulation by the FDA and similar regulatory agencies but the regulations relating to these products are much less stringent than those relating to prescription drugs and medical devices. The types of OTC products developed and previously sold by us only require that we follow cosmetic rules relating to labeling and the claims that we make about our product. The process for obtaining approval of prescription drugs with the FDA does not apply to the OTC products, which we have sold. The FDA can, however, require us to stop selling our product if we fail to comply with the rules applicable to our OTC products.

Employees

We currently employ four persons, all of whom are full-time employees. We currently engage four full-time consultants, including a regulatory affairs consultant, a contract research associate, an analytical chemist, and an information technology consultant.

Our executive officers and directors are:

H. Craig Dees, Ph.D., 59, has served as our Chief Executive Officer and as a member of our board of directors since we acquired PPI, a privately held Tennessee corporation on April 23, 2002. Before joining us, from 1997 to 2002 he served as senior member of the management team of Photogen Technologies, Inc., including serving as a member of the board of directors of Photogen from 1997 to 2000. Prior to joining Photogen, Dr. Dees served as a Group Leader at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory and as a senior member of the management teams of LipoGen Inc., a medical diagnostic company which used genetic engineering technologies to manufacture and distribute diagnostic assay kits for auto-immune diseases, and TechAmerica Group Inc., now a part of Boehringer Ingelheim Vetmedica, Inc., the U.S. animal health subsidiary of Boehringer Ingelheim GmbH, an international chemical and pharmaceutical company headquartered in Germany. He earned a Ph.D. in Molecular Virology from the University of Wisconsin – Madison in 1984.

Timothy C. Scott, Ph.D., 52, has served as our President and as a member of our board of directors since we acquired PPI on April 23, 2002. Prior to joining us, Dr. Scott was a senior member of the Photogen management team from 1997 to 2002, including serving as Photogen's Chief Operating Officer from 1999 to 2002, as a director of Photogen from 1997 to 2000, and as interim CEO for a period in 2000. Before joining Photogen, he served as senior management of Genase LLC, a developer of enzymes for fabric treatment and held senior research and management positions at Oak Ridge National Laboratory. Dr. Scott earned a Ph.D. in Chemical Engineering from the University of Wisconsin – Madison in 1985.

Eric A. Wachter, Ph.D., 48, has served as our Executive Vice President – Pharmaceuticals and as a member of our board of directors since we acquired PPI on April 23, 2002. Prior to joining us, from 1997 to 2002 he was a senior member of the management team of Photogen, including serving as Secretary and a director of Photogen since 1997 and as Vice President and Secretary and a director of Photogen since 1999. Prior to joining Photogen, Dr. Wachter served as a senior research staff member with Oak Ridge National Laboratory. He earned a Ph.D. in Chemistry from the University of Wisconsin – Madison in 1988.

Peter R. Culpepper, 51, was appointed to serve as our Chief Financial Officer in February 2004 and is also our Chief Operating Officer. Previously, Mr. Culpepper served as Chief Financial Officer for Felix Culpepper International, Inc. from 2001 to 2004; was a Registered Representative with AXA Advisors, LLC from 2002 to 2003; has served as Chief Accounting Officer and Corporate Controller for Neptec, Inc. from 2000 to 2001; has served in various Senior Director positions with Metromedia Affiliated Companies from 1998 to 2000; has served in various Senior Director and other financial positions with Paging Network, Inc. from 1993 to 1998; and has served in a variety of financial roles in public accounting and industry from 1982 to 1993. He earned a Masters in Business Administration in Finance from the University of Maryland – College Park in 1992. He earned an AAS in Accounting from the Northern Virginia Community College – Annandale, Virginia in 1985. He earned a B.A. in Philosophy from the College of William and Mary – Williamsburg, Virginia in 1982. He is a licensed Certified Public Accountant in both Tennessee and Maryland.

Stuart Fuchs, 64, has served as a member of our board of directors since January 23, 2003. He is a co-founder and has served as a managing principal of Gryffindor Capital Partners, LLC, a Chicago-based venture capital firm, since January 2000. Before joining Gryffindor, he was a founding stockholder of several biotech companies, including Angiogen LLC (since 1998), which develops combinations of drugs to stimulate *in vivo* production of factors that inhibit the growth of blood vessels in tumors, and Nace Pharma LLC (since 1996), which develops drugs that employ novel drug delivery technologies. Through Nace Resources Inc., a Delaware corporation providing strategic and financial advice to companies in the technology sector, Mr. Fuchs has formed or participated in groups of investors on behalf of several companies, including Abiant Inc., Celsion Corp. and Photogen. Before founding Nace Resources Inc., he served for 19 years as an investment banker with Goldman, Sachs & Co., where he co-managed the firm's public finance activities for the Midwest region. Before joining Goldman, Sachs & Co., Mr. Fuchs was a lawyer in private practice with Barrett Smith Schapiro & Simon in New York. Mr. Fuchs holds an A.B. degree from Harvard College and a J.D. from Harvard Law School and is a member of the Association of the Bar of the City of New York.

Kelly M. McMasters M.D., Ph.D., 50, has served as a member of our board of directors since June 9, 2008. Additionally, Dr. McMasters serves as chairman of our scientific advisory board. Dr. McMasters received his undergraduate training at Colgate University prior to completing the MD/PhD program at the University of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey, Robert Wood Johnson Medical School and Rutgers University. He then completed the residency program in General Surgery at the University of Louisville, and a fellowship in Surgical Oncology at M.D. Anderson Cancer Center in Houston. He is currently the Sam and Lolita Weakley Professor of Surgical Oncology at the University of Louisville in Kentucky, a position he has held since 1996. Since 2005, he has chaired the Department of Surgery at the University of Louisville and also has been Chief of Surgery at University of Louisville Hospital. Since 2000, he has also been Director of the Multidisciplinary Melanoma Clinic of the James Graham Brown Cancer Center at the University of Louisville. He is an active member of the surgery staff at the University of Louisville Hospital, Norton Hospital and Jewish Hospital in Louisville. He is on the editorial boards of the *Annals of Surgical Oncology*, *Cancer Therapy* and the *Journal of Clinical Oncology* as well as an ad hoc reviewer for 9 other publications. He holds several honors, chief among them is "Physician of the Year" awarded by the Kentucky Chapter of the American Cancer Society. He is the author and principal investigator (PI) of the Sunbelt Melanoma Trial, a multi-institutional study involving 3500 patients from 79 institutions across North America and one of the largest prospective melanoma studies ever performed. He has been a PI, Co-PI or local PI in over thirty clinical trials ranging from Phase 1 to Phase 3. For the past 12 years he has also directed a basic and translational science laboratory studying adenovirus-mediated cancer gene therapy funded by the American Cancer Society and the National Institutes of Health (NIH).

Equity Financing During 2010

During 2010, we completed several equity financings, which together with exercises of existing warrants and stock options reasonably assured us that our capital resources will be sufficient to fund our current and planned operations until 2013. During 2010, we received net proceeds of \$18,580,350 through equity offerings and exercises of existing warrants to purchase our common stock as described below.

In March 2010 and April 2010, we completed private offerings pursuant to which we sold a total of 13,283,324 units, at a purchase price of \$0.75 per unit, each unit consisting of one share of 8% convertible preferred stock, par value \$.001 per share and a warrant to purchase one-half share of common stock, par value \$.001 per share, totaling 6,641,654 warrants with an exercise price of \$1.00 per share of common stock. Our net proceeds in the March and April 2010 unit offerings were \$8,908,131.

During 2010, we completed private offerings under Regulation D and Regulation S pursuant to which we sold a total of 10,727,067 shares of common stock and 600,000 warrants to purchase common stock for aggregate net proceeds of \$6,766,239.

In December 2010, we completed a registered direct offering with Lincoln Park Capital Fund, LLC, pursuant to which Lincoln Park purchased 1,000,000 shares of our common stock together with a warrant to purchase an additional 500,000 shares of our common stock for an aggregate purchase price of \$1,000,000. In addition to the foregoing investment, under the purchase agreement we may, in our sole discretion, direct Lincoln Park to purchase up to an additional \$30,000,000 of our common stock over the term of the purchase

agreement. However, under a securities purchase agreement that we entered into in January 2011, which is described in the subsequent event note to our financial statements, we have agreed not to draw down on the Lincoln Park purchase agreement until on or after November 16, 2011.

During 2010, we received proceeds of \$2,905,980 from the exercise of warrants and stock options.

Available Information

Our website is located at *www.pvct.com*. We make available free of charge through this website our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports filed with or furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as soon as reasonably practicable after they are electronically filed with or furnished to the SEC.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS.

Our business and its future performance may be affected by various factors, the most significant of which are discussed below.

We are a development stage company, have no prescription drug products approved for commercial sale, have incurred substantial losses, and expect to incur substantial losses and negative operating cash flow for the foreseeable future.

Our company is a development stage company that has no prescription drug products approved for commercial sale. We have never generated any substantial revenues and may never achieve substantial revenues or profitability. As of December 31, 2010, we have incurred net losses of \$86.4 million in the aggregate since inception in January 2002. We expect to incur substantial losses and negative operating cash flow for the foreseeable future. We may never achieve or maintain profitability, even if we succeed in developing and commercializing one or more of our prescription drug candidates, OTC products, or medical device technologies. We also expect to continue to incur significant operating expenditures and anticipate that our operating and capital expenses may increase substantially in the foreseeable future as we:

- continue to develop and seek regulatory approval for our prescription drug candidates PV-10 and PH-10;
- seek licensure of PV-10, PH-10, our OTC products, and our medical device technologies;
- further develop our medical device technologies;
- implement additional internal systems and infrastructure; and
- hire additional personnel.

We also expect to experience negative operating cash flow for the foreseeable future as we fund our operating losses and any future capital expenditures. As a result, we will need to generate significant revenues in order to achieve and maintain profitability. We may not be able to generate these revenues or achieve profitability in the future. Our failure to achieve or maintain profitability could negatively impact the value of our common stock.

All of our existing prescription drug candidates are in early stages of development. It may be several years, if ever, until we have a prescription drug product available for commercial resale. If we do not successfully develop and license or commercialize our prescription drug candidates, or sell or license our OTC products or medical device technologies, we will not achieve revenues or profitability in the foreseeable future, if at all. If we are unable to generate revenues or achieve profitability, we may be unable to continue our operations.

We may need additional capital to conduct our operations and commercialize and/or further develop our prescription drug candidates in 2013 and beyond, and our ability to obtain the necessary funding is uncertain.

We estimate that our existing capital resources will be sufficient to fund our current and planned operations until 2013. However, we may need additional capital in 2013 and beyond as we continue to develop and seek commercialization of our prescription drug candidates. We intend to proceed as rapidly as possible with licensure of PH-10 on the basis of our Phase 2 atopic dermatitis and psoriasis results, which were completed in 2010. We potentially may license PV-10 depending on the timing for the optimal deal structure for our stockholders. We intend to also proceed as rapidly as possible with the sale or licensure of our OTC products and medical device technologies. Although we believe that there is a reasonable basis for our expectation that we will become profitable due to both the licensure of PH-10 and the sale or licensure of our OTC products and medical device technologies, we cannot assure you that we will be able to achieve, or maintain, a level of profitability sufficient to meet our operating expenses.

We have based our estimate of capital needs on assumptions that may prove to be wrong, and we cannot assure that estimates and assumptions will remain unchanged. For example, we are currently assuming that we will continue to operate without any significant staff or other resources expansion. We intend to acquire additional funding through public or private equity or debt financings or other financing sources that may be

available. Additional financing may not be available on acceptable terms, or at all. As discussed in more detail below, additional equity financing could result in significant dilution to stockholders. Further, in the event that additional funds are obtained through licensing or other arrangements, these arrangements may require us to relinquish rights to some of our products, product candidates, and technologies that we would otherwise seek to develop and commercialize ourselves. If sufficient capital is not available, we may be required to delay, reduce the scope of, or eliminate one or more of our programs, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our business and may impair the value of our patents and other intangible assets.

Our prescription drug candidates are at an early stage of development and may never obtain U.S. or international regulatory approvals required for us to commercialize our prescription drug candidates.

We will need approval of the United States Food and Drug Administration, which we refer to as the “FDA,” to commercialize our prescription drug candidates in the U.S. and approvals from the FDA equivalent regulatory authorities in foreign jurisdictions to commercialize our prescription drug candidates in those jurisdictions.

We are continuing to pursue clinical development of our most advanced prescription drug candidates, PV-10 and PH-10, for use as treatments for specific conditions. The continued and further development of these prescription drug candidates will require significant additional research, formulation and manufacture development, and pre-clinical and extensive clinical testing prior to their regulatory approval and commercialization. Pre-clinical and clinical studies of our prescription drug candidates may not demonstrate the safety and efficacy necessary to obtain regulatory approvals. Pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies have suffered significant setbacks in advanced clinical trials, even after experiencing promising results in earlier trials. Pharmaceutical drug and medical device products that appear to be promising at early stages of development may not reach the market or be marketed successfully for a number of reasons, including the following:

- a product may be found to be ineffective or have harmful side effects during subsequent pre-clinical testing or clinical trials,
- a product may fail to receive necessary regulatory clearance,
- a product may be too difficult to manufacture on a large scale,
- a product may be too expensive to manufacture or market,
- a product may not achieve broad market acceptance,
- others may hold proprietary rights that will prevent a product from being marketed, and
- others may market equivalent or superior products.

Satisfaction of the FDA’s regulatory requirements typically takes many years, depends upon the type, complexity and novelty of the product candidate and requires substantial resources for research, development and testing. We cannot predict whether our research and clinical approaches will result in drugs that the FDA considers safe for humans and effective for indicated uses. The FDA has substantial discretion in the drug approval process and may require us to conduct additional nonclinical and clinical testing or to perform post-marketing studies. The approval process may also be delayed by changes in government regulation, future legislation or administrative action or changes in FDA policy that occur prior to or during our regulatory review. Delays in obtaining regulatory approvals may:

- delay commercialization of, and our ability to derive product revenues from, our product candidates;
- impose costly procedures on us; and
- diminish any competitive advantages that we may otherwise enjoy.

We do not expect any prescription drug and medical device candidates that we are developing to be commercially available for several years, if at all. Our research and product development efforts may not be successfully completed and may not result in any successfully commercialized products. Further, after

commercial introduction of a new product, discovery of problems through adverse event reporting could result in restrictions on the product, including withdrawal from the market and, in certain cases, civil or criminal penalties.

Even if we comply with all FDA requests, we cannot be sure that we will ever obtain regulatory clearance for any of our prescription drug or medical device product candidates. Failure to obtain FDA approval of any of our product candidates will severely undermine our business by reducing our number of salable products and, therefore, corresponding product revenues.

In foreign jurisdictions, we must receive approval from the appropriate regulatory authorities before we can commercialize our drugs. Foreign regulatory approval processes generally include all of the risks associated with the FDA approval procedures described above.

Clinical trials are very expensive, time consuming and difficult to design and implement.

Human clinical trials are very expensive and difficult to design and implement, in part because they are subject to rigorous regulatory requirements. The clinical trial process is also time consuming. We estimate that current or future clinical trials of our prescription drug candidates will take at least several years to complete. Furthermore, failure can occur at any stage of the trials, and we could encounter problems that cause us to abandon or repeat clinical trials. The commencement and completion of clinical trials may be delayed by several factors, including:

- unforeseen safety issues;
- determination of dosing issues;
- lack of effectiveness during clinical trials;
- slower than expected rates of patient recruitment;
- inability to monitor patients adequately during or after treatment; and
- inability or unwillingness of medical investigators to follow our clinical protocols.

In addition, we or the FDA may suspend our clinical trials at any time if it appears that we are exposing participants to unacceptable health risks or if the FDA finds deficiencies in our submissions or the conduct of these trials.

The results of our clinical trials may not support our claims concerning our prescription drug candidates.

Even if our clinical trials are completed as planned, we cannot be certain that their results will support our claims concerning our prescription drug candidates. Success in nonclinical testing and early clinical trials does not ensure that later clinical trials will be successful, and we cannot be sure that the results of later clinical trials will replicate the results of prior clinical trials and nonclinical testing. The clinical trial process may fail to demonstrate that our product candidates are safe for humans or effective for indicated uses. This failure would cause us to abandon a product candidate and may delay development of other product candidates. Any delay in, or termination of, our clinical trials will delay our ability to commercialize our product candidates and generate product revenues. In addition, we anticipate that our clinical trials will involve only a small patient population. Accordingly, the results of such trials may not be indicative of future results over a larger patient population.

Physicians and patients may not accept and use our prescription drug candidates.

Even if the FDA approves our prescription drug candidates, physicians and patients may not accept and use them. Acceptance and use of our prescription drug products will depend upon a number of factors including:

- perceptions by members of the health care community, including physicians, about the safety and effectiveness of our prescription drug products;
- cost-effectiveness of our prescription drug products relative to competing products;

- availability of reimbursement for our prescription drug products from government or other healthcare payers; and
- effectiveness of marketing and distribution efforts by us and our licensees and distributors, if any.

Because we expect sales or licensure of our prescription drug candidates, if approved, to generate substantially all of our revenues for the foreseeable future, the failure of any of these drugs to find market acceptance would harm our business and could require us to seek additional financing.

We have no sales, marketing or distribution capabilities for our prescription drug candidates or our OTC products and medical device technologies.

We currently have no sales, marketing or distribution capabilities. We do not anticipate having the resources in the foreseeable future to allocate to the sales and marketing of our prescription drug candidates or our OTC products and medical device technologies. Our future success depends, in part, on our ability to enter into and maintain such collaborative relationships, the collaborator's strategic interest in the products under development and such collaborator's ability to successfully market and sell any such products. We intend to proceed as rapidly as possible with licensure of PH-10 on the basis of our Phase 2 atopic dermatitis and psoriasis results, which are in process of being completed. We have determined that the most efficient use of our capital in further developing our OTC products is to license the products. There can be no assurance that we will be able to establish or maintain relationships with third party collaborators or develop in-house sales and distribution capabilities. To the extent that we depend on third parties for marketing and distribution, any revenues we receive will depend upon the efforts of such third parties, and there can be no assurance that such efforts will be successful. In addition, there can also be no assurance that we will be able to market and sell our product in the United States or overseas.

We cannot be sure that our OTC products or medical device technologies will be licensed or sold in the marketplace.

In order for our OTC products to become commercially successful and our medical device technologies to be further developed, we must license or sell those products and technologies. We have been discussing this strategy with interested groups, though we cannot be sure that we will be successful in licensing or selling such products or technologies.

Competition in the prescription pharmaceutical and biotechnology industries is intense, and we may be unable to succeed if our competitors have more funding or better marketing.

The pharmaceutical and biotechnology industries are intensely competitive. Other pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies and research organizations currently engage in or have in the past engaged in research efforts related to treatment of dermatological conditions or cancers of the skin, liver and breast, which could lead to the development of products or therapies that could compete directly with the prescription drug and medical device candidates and OTC products that we are seeking to develop and market.

Many companies are also developing alternative therapies to treat cancer and dermatological conditions and, in this regard, are our competitors. Many of the pharmaceutical companies developing and marketing these competing products have significantly greater financial resources and expertise than we do in:

- research and development;
- manufacturing;
- preclinical and clinical testing;
- obtaining regulatory approvals; and
- marketing.

Smaller companies may also prove to be significant competitors, particularly through collaborative arrangements with large and established companies. Academic institutions, government agencies, and other public and private research organizations may also conduct research, seek patent protection, and establish collaborative arrangements for research, clinical development, and marketing of products similar to ours.

These companies and institutions compete with us in recruiting and retaining qualified scientific and management personnel as well as in acquiring technologies complementary to our programs.

In addition to the above factors, we expect to face competition in the following areas:

- product efficacy and safety;
- the timing and scope of regulatory consents;
- availability of resources;
- reimbursement coverage;
- price; and
- patent position, including potentially dominant patent positions of others.

Since our prescription candidates PV-10 and PH-10 have not yet been approved by the FDA or introduced to the marketplace, we cannot estimate what competition these products might face when they are finally introduced, if at all. We cannot assure you that these products will not face significant competition for other prescription drugs and generic equivalents.

If we are unable to secure or enforce patent rights, trademarks, trade secrets or other intellectual property our business could be harmed.

We may not be successful in securing or maintaining proprietary patent protection for our products and technologies we develop or license. In addition, our competitors may develop products similar to ours using methods and technologies that are beyond the scope of our intellectual property protection, which could reduce our anticipated sales. While some of our products have proprietary patent protection, a challenge to these patents can subject us to expensive litigation. Litigation concerning patents, other forms of intellectual property, and proprietary technology is becoming more widespread and can be protracted and expensive and can distract management and other personnel from performing their duties.

We also rely upon trade secrets, unpatented proprietary know-how, and continuing technological innovation to develop a competitive position. We cannot assure you that others will not independently develop substantially equivalent proprietary technology and techniques or otherwise gain access to our trade secrets and technology, or that we can adequately protect our trade secrets and technology.

If we are unable to secure or enforce patent rights, trademarks, trade secrets, or other intellectual property, our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows could be materially adversely affected. If we infringe on the intellectual property of others, our business could be harmed.

We could be sued for infringing patents or other intellectual property that purportedly cover products and/or methods of using such products held by persons other than us. Litigation arising from an alleged infringement could result in removal from the market, or a substantial delay in, or prevention of, the introduction of our products, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations, and cash flows.

If we do not update and enhance our technologies, they will become obsolete.

The pharmaceutical market is characterized by rapid technological change, and our future success will depend on our ability to conduct successful research in our fields of expertise, to discover new technologies as a result of that research, to develop products based on our technologies, and to commercialize those products. While we believe that our current technology is adequate for our present needs, if we fail to stay at the forefront of technological development, we will be unable to compete effectively. Our competitors are using substantial resources to develop new pharmaceutical technologies and to commercialize products based on those technologies. Accordingly, our technologies may be rendered obsolete by advances in existing technologies or the development of different technologies by one or more of our current or future competitors.

If we lose any of our key personnel, we may be unable to successfully execute our business plan.

Our business is presently managed by four key employees:

- H. Craig Dees, Ph.D., our Chief Executive Officer;
- Timothy C. Scott, Ph.D., our President;
- Eric A. Wachter, Ph.D. our Executive Vice President-Pharmaceuticals; and
- Peter R. Culpepper, CPA, our Chief Financial Officer and Chief Operating Officer.

In addition to their responsibilities for management of our overall business strategy, Drs. Dees, Scott and Wachter are our chief researchers in the fields in which we are developing and planning to develop our prescription drug and medical device candidates and our OTC products. The loss of any of these key employees could have a material adverse effect on our operations, and our ability to execute our business plan might be negatively impacted. Any of these key employees may leave their employment with us if they choose to do so, and we cannot assure you that we would be able to hire similarly qualified employees if any of our key employees should choose to leave.

Because we have only four employees in total, our management may be unable to successfully manage our business.

In order to successfully execute our business plan, our management must succeed in all of the following critical areas:

- Researching diseases and possible therapies in the areas of dermatology and skin care, oncology, and biotechnology;
- Developing our prescription drug and medical device candidates and OTC products based on our research;
- Marketing and selling developed products;
- Obtaining additional capital to finance research, development, production, and marketing of our products; and
- Managing our business as it grows.

As discussed above, we currently have only four employees, all of whom are full-time employees. The greatest burden of succeeding in the above areas, therefore, falls on Drs. Dees, Scott, Wachter, and Mr. Culpepper. Focusing on any one of these areas may divert their attention from our other areas of concern and could affect our ability to manage other aspects of our business. We cannot assure you that our management will be able to succeed in all of these areas or, even if we do so succeed, that our business will be successful as a result. We anticipate adding an additional regulatory affairs officer on a consulting basis within several months. While we have not historically had difficulty in attracting employees, our small size and limited operating history may make it difficult for us to attract and retain employees in the future, which could further divert management's attention from the operation of our business.

The market price of our common stock has been highly volatile due to several factors that will continue to affect the price of our common stock.

Our common stock has traded as low as \$0.68 per share and as high as \$1.76 per share during the period beginning on January 1, 2009 and ending on December 31, 2010. We believe that our common stock is subject to wide price fluctuations because of several factors, including:

- absence of meaningful earnings and ongoing need for external financing;
- a relatively thin trading market for our common stock, which causes trades of small blocks of stock to have a significant impact on our stock price;
- general volatility of the stock market and the market prices of other publicly-traded companies; and

- investor sentiment regarding equity markets generally, including public perception of corporate ethics and governance and the accuracy and transparency of financial reporting.

Financings that may be available to us under current market conditions frequently involve sales at prices below the prices at which our common stock trades on the OTC Bulletin Board, as well as the issuance of warrants or convertible equity or debt that require exercise or conversion prices that are calculated in the future at a discount to the then market price of our common stock. The current economic downturn has made the financings available to development-stage companies like us more dilutive in nature than they would otherwise be.

Any agreement to sell, or convert debt or equity securities into, our common stock at a future date and at a price based on the then current market price will provide an incentive to the investor or third parties to sell our common stock short to decrease the price and increase the number of shares they may receive in a future purchase, whether directly from us or in the market.

Our stock price is below \$5.00 per share and is treated as a “penny stock”, which places restrictions on broker-dealers recommending the stock for purchase.

Our common stock is defined as “penny stock” under the Exchange Act and its rules. The SEC has adopted regulations that define “penny stock” to include common stock that has a market price of less than \$5.00 per share, subject to certain exceptions. These rules include the following requirements:

- broker-dealers must deliver, prior to the transaction a disclosure schedule prepared by the SEC relating to the penny stock market;
- broker-dealers must disclose the commissions payable to the broker-dealer and its registered representative;
- broker-dealers must disclose current quotations for the securities;
- if a broker-dealer is the sole market-maker, the broker-dealer must disclose this fact and the broker-dealers presumed control over the market; and
- a broker-dealer must furnish its customers with monthly statements disclosing recent price information for all penny stocks held in the customer’s account and information on the limited market in penny stocks.

Additional sales practice requirements are imposed on broker-dealers who sell penny stocks to persons other than established customers and accredited investors. For these types of transactions, the broker-dealer must make a special suitability determination for the purchaser and must have received the purchaser’s written consent to the transaction prior to sale. If our common stock remains subject to these penny stock rules these disclosure requirements may have the effect of reducing the level of trading activity in the secondary market for our common stock. As a result, fewer broker-dealers may be willing to make a market in our stock, which could affect a shareholder’s ability to sell their shares.

Future sales by our stockholders may adversely affect our stock price and our ability to raise funds in new stock offerings.

Sales of our common stock in the public market following any prospective offering could lower the market price of our common stock. Sales may also make it more difficult for us to sell equity securities or equity-related securities in the future at a time and price that our management deems acceptable. The current economic downturn has made the financings available to development-stage companies like us more dilutive in nature than they would otherwise be.

We currently intend to retain all of our future earnings rather than pay a cash dividend.

We have never declared or paid cash dividends on our common stock. We currently intend to retain all of our future earnings, if any, for use in our business and therefore do not anticipate paying any cash dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS.

Not required.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES.

We currently lease approximately 6,000 square feet of space outside of Knoxville, Tennessee for our corporate office and operations. Our monthly rental charge for these offices is approximately \$4,500 per month, and the lease is on a month-to-month basis. We believe that these offices generally are adequate for our needs currently and in the immediate future.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.

We are not involved in any legal proceedings nor are we party to any pending claims that we believe could reasonably be expected to have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, or results of operations.

ITEM 4. [REMOVED AND RESERVED].

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES.

Market Information and Holders

Quotations for our common stock are reported on the OTC Bulletin Board under the symbol "PVCT." The following table sets forth the range of high and low sale prices of our common stock for the periods indicated since January 1, 2009:

	<u>High</u>	<u>Low</u>
2009		
First Quarter (January 1 to March 31)	\$1.04	\$0.80
Second Quarter (April 1 to June 30)	\$1.33	\$0.81
Third Quarter (July 1 to September 30)	\$1.14	\$0.85
Fourth Quarter (October 1 to December 31)	\$1.14	\$0.68
2010		
First Quarter (January 1 to March 31)	\$1.76	\$0.80
Second Quarter (April 1 to June 30)	\$1.60	\$1.08
Third Quarter (July 1 to September 30)	\$1.19	\$0.89
Fourth Quarter (October 1 to December 31)	\$1.32	\$0.88

The closing price for our common stock on March 7, 2011 was \$0.96. High and low sale price information was obtained from data provided by Yahoo! Inc.

As of March 7, 2011, we had 1,891 shareholders of record of our common stock.

Dividend Policy

We have never declared or paid any cash dividends on our capital stock. We currently plan to retain future earnings, if any, to finance the growth and development of our business and do not anticipate paying any cash dividends in the foreseeable future. We may incur indebtedness in the future which may prohibit or effectively restrict the payment of dividends, although we have no current plans to do so. Any future determination to pay cash dividends will be at the discretion of our board of directors.

In March and April 2010, we issued 13,283,324 shares of our 8% convertible preferred stock, par value \$.001 per share, of which 4,929,997 shares were outstanding on March 7, 2011. The holders of shares of the 8% convertible preferred stock are entitled to dividends of 8% per year, payable quarterly in cash or, at our discretion, shares of our common stock based on the volume-weighted average price of our stock for the fifteen trading days prior to the dividend payment date. During 2010, we made all dividend payments on the 8% convertible preferred stock in shares of our common stock, and we expect to continue to pay such dividends in our common stock rather than cash.

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

None.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA.

Not required.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.

The following discussion is intended to assist in the understanding and assessment of significant changes and trends related to our results of operations and our financial condition together with our consolidated subsidiaries. This discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in this report. Historical results and percentage relationships set forth in the statement of operations, including trends which might appear, are not necessarily indicative of future operations.

Critical Accounting Policies

Long-Lived Assets

We review the carrying values of our long-lived assets for possible impairment whenever an event or change in circumstances indicates that the carrying amount of the assets may not be recoverable. Any long-lived assets held for disposal are reported at the lower of their carrying amounts or fair value less cost to sell. Management has determined there to be no impairment.

Patent Costs

Internal patent costs are expensed in the period incurred. Patents purchased are capitalized and amortized over their remaining lives, which range from 6 – 11 years. Annual amortization of the patents is expected to approximate \$671,000 for each of the next five years.

Stock-Based Compensation

The compensation cost relating to share-based payment transactions is measured based on the fair value of the equity or liability instruments issued and is expensed on a straight-line basis. For purposes of estimating the fair value of each stock option, on the date of grant, we utilize the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. The Black-Scholes option valuation model was developed for use in estimating the fair value of traded options which have no vesting restrictions and are fully transferable. In addition, option valuation models require the input of highly subjective assumptions including the expected volatility factor of the market price of the company's common stock (as determined by reviewing its historical public market closing prices). Because our employee stock options have characteristics significantly different from those of traded options and because changes in the subjective input assumptions can materially affect the fair value estimate, in management's opinion, the existing models do not necessarily provide a reliable single measure of the fair value of its employee stock options.

Warrants to non-employees are generally vested and nonforfeitable upon the date of the grant. Accordingly fair value is determined on the grant date.

Research and Development

Research and development costs are charged to expense when incurred. An allocation of payroll expenses to research and development is made based on a percentage estimate of time spent. The research and development costs include the following: payroll, consulting and contract labor, lab supplies and pharmaceutical preparations, legal, insurance, rent and utilities, and depreciation.

Derivative Instruments

The warrants issued in conjunction with convertible preferred stock in March and April 2010 private placements include a reset provision if the Company issues additional warrants, in certain circumstances as defined in the agreement, below the exercise price of \$1.00. Effective January 1, 2009, the reset provision of these warrants preclude equity accounting treatment under ASC 815 (formerly EITF 07-5). Accordingly the Company is required to record the warrants as liabilities at their fair value upon issuance and remeasure the fair value at each period end with the change in fair value recorded in the statement of operations. When the warrants are exercised or cancelled, they are reclassified to equity. The Company used the Monte-Carlo Simulation model to estimate the fair value of the warrants. Significant assumptions used at December 31, 2010 include a weighted average term of 4.23 years, a 5% probability that the warrant exercise price would be reset, a volatility range between 69.7% and 71.9% and a risk free interest rate range between 1.3% and 2.6%.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The fair value of derivative instruments is determined by management with the assistance of an independent third party valuation specialist. Certain derivatives with limited market activity are valued using externally developed models that consider unobservable market parameters.

Contractual Obligations — Leases

We lease office and laboratory space in Knoxville, Tennessee, on a month-to-month basis.

Capital Structure

Our ability to continue as a going concern is reasonably assured due to our financing completed during 2010 and thus far in 2011 and warrants and stock options exercised during 2010. Given our current rate of expenditures, we do not need to raise additional capital unless we commercialize PV-10 on our own to treat metastatic melanoma. Additionally, our existing funds are sufficient to meet minimal necessary expenses until 2013.

We have implemented our integrated business plan, including execution of the current and next phases in clinical development of our pharmaceutical products and continued execution of research programs for new research initiatives.

We intend to proceed as rapidly as possible with a licensure of our dermatology drug product candidate (PH-10) on the basis of our Phase 2 atopic dermatitis and psoriasis results, which are in process of being completed. We intend to also proceed as rapidly as possible with a majority stake asset sale and subsequent licensure of our OTC products that can be sold with a minimum of regulatory compliance and with the further development of revenue sources through a majority stake asset sale and subsequent licensing of our existing medical device, imaging, and biotech intellectual property portfolio. Although we believe that there is a reasonable basis for our expectation that we will become profitable due to both the licensure of PH-10 and the asset sale of a majority stake via a spin-out transaction of the wholly-owned subsidiaries that contain the non-core assets and subsequent licensure of our non-core products, we cannot assure you that we will be able to achieve, or maintain, a level of profitability sufficient to meet our operating expenses.

Our current plans include continuing to operate with our four employees during the immediate future, but we have added two additional consultants to the two we already had, and anticipate adding two more consultants in the next 12 months. Our current plans also include minimal purchases of new property, plant and equipment, and increased research and development for additional clinical trials.

Plan of Operation

We believe that our prescription drug candidates PV-10 and PH-10 provide us with two products in multiple indications, which have been shown in clinical trials to be safe to treat serious cancers and diseases of the skin. We continue to develop clinical trials for these products to show their safety and efficacy, which we believe will be shown based on data in previous studies. Together with our OTC products, medical device, biotech and other non-core technologies, which we intend to sell or license in the future, we believe this combination represents the foundation for maximizing shareholder value this year and next.

Comparison of the Years Ended December 31, 2010 and 2009

Revenues

We had no revenue during the years ended 2010 and 2009.

Research and development

Research and development costs totaling \$8,417,303 for 2010 included payroll of \$6,618,532, consulting and contract labor of \$1,095,793, lab supplies and pharmaceutical preparations of \$235,153, legal of \$300,964, insurance of \$90,314, rent and utilities of \$67,692, and depreciation expense of \$8,855. Research and development costs totaling \$4,909,414 for 2009 included payroll of \$2,860,116, consulting and contract labor of \$1,367,422, lab supplies and pharmaceutical preparations of \$281,833, legal of \$209,709, insurance of \$125,295, rent and utilities of \$55,685, and depreciation expense of \$9,354.

The increase in payroll in 2010 over 2009 is primarily due to increased bonuses of approximately \$2,000,000 and increased stock option expense of approximately \$1,800,000, both of which increased due to the significant progress made in the clinical development program for both our oncology and dermatology drug product candidates. The decrease in consulting and contract labor in 2010 versus 2009 is primarily due to receipt of a grant for approximately \$244,000 under the Qualifying Therapeutic Discovery Project Program.

The table below summarizes our projects, the actual costs for each period shown, and the total costs incurred to date.

Projects	Actual Cost for 2010	Actual Cost for 2009	Total Costs Incurred To Date
Melanoma	\$ -0-	\$ 593,000	\$3,018,000
Breast/Other	\$ -0-	\$ -0-	\$ 675,000
Liver	\$ 110,000	\$ 6,000	\$ 616,000
Psoriasis/Atopic Dermatitis	\$ -0-	\$ 178,000	\$1,678,000
Payroll	\$6,619,000	\$2,860,000	
Indirect costs	\$1,688,000	\$1,272,000	
Totals	\$8,417,000	\$4,909,000	

General and administrative

General and administrative expenses increased by \$4,858,929 for 2010 to \$11,604,526 from \$6,745,597 in 2009. The increase is primarily due to increased bonuses and 401K expenses of approximately \$2,100,000 and increased stock option expense of approximately \$2,000,000, both of which increased due to the significant progress made in the clinical development program for both our oncology and dermatology drug product candidates, as well as increased investor relations expense of approximately \$700,000 which increased due to the expanded programs to improve investor awareness and visibility of the Company's clinical progress.

Investment income

Investment income decreased by \$2,615 in 2010 to \$1,202 from \$3,817 in 2009.

Cash Flow

Our cash and cash equivalents were \$8,086,200 at December 31, 2010, compared with \$3,237,178 at December 31, 2009. The increase of approximately \$4,849,000 was due primarily to cash of \$18,580,350 provided from sales of equity securities and the exercises of warrants and stock options during the year ended December 31, 2010, which was exceeded cash used in operating activities.

At our current cash expenditure rate, our cash and cash equivalents will be sufficient to meet our current and planned needs until 2013 without additional cash inflows from the exercise of existing warrants, stock options, or sales of equity securities. We have enough cash on hand to fund operations until 2013 with the cash on hand at December 31, 2010 as well as through financing completed thus far in 2011.

We are seeking to improve our cash flow through both the licensure of PH-10 on the basis of our Phase 2 atopic dermatitis and psoriasis results, and the majority stake asset sale and licensure of our OTC products as well as other non-core assets. However, we cannot assure you that we will be successful in either licensing PH-10 or selling a majority stake of the OTC and other non-core assets via a spin-out transaction and licensing our existing non-core products. Moreover, even if we are successful in improving our current cash flow position, we nonetheless plan to seek additional funds to meet our long-term requirements in 2013 and beyond. We anticipate that these funds will otherwise come from the proceeds of private placements, the exercise of existing warrants outstanding, or public offerings of debt or equity securities.

Capital Resources

As noted above, our present cash and cash equivalents is currently sufficient to meet our short-term operating needs. Excess cash will be used to finance any additional phases in clinical development of our pharmaceutical products that we may decide to undertake ourselves versus with a partner. We anticipate that any required funds for our operating and development needs in 2013 and beyond will come from the proceeds

of public or private sales of equity or debt securities or the exercise of existing warrants and stock options outstanding. While we believe that we have a reasonable basis for our expectation that we will be able to raise additional funds, we cannot assure you that we will be able to complete additional financing in a timely manner. In addition, any such financing may result in significant dilution to shareholders.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In January 2010, the FASB issued FASB ASU 2010-06, which amends the disclosure requirements relating to recurring and nonrecurring fair value measurements. New disclosures are required about transfers into and out of the Levels 1 and 2 fair value hierarchy and separate disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements relating to Level 3 measurements. This ASU also requires an entity to present information about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements for significant unobservable inputs on a gross basis rather than as a net number. This ASU was effective for us with the reporting period beginning January 1, 2010, except for the disclosures on the roll-forward activities for Level 3 fair value measurements, which will become effective for us with the reporting period beginning January 1, 2011. The adoption of this ASU had no impact on our financial position and results of operations, as it only requires additional disclosures.

In April 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-17, *Revenue Recognition — Milestone Method* (ASU 2010-017). ASU 2010-017 provides guidance in applying the milestone method of revenue recognition to research or development arrangements. Under this guidance, management may recognize revenue, contingent upon the achievement of a milestone in its entirety, in the period in which the milestone is achieved only if the milestone meets all the criteria within the guidance to be considered substantive. This ASU is effective on a prospective basis for research and development milestones achieved in fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010, which for us means fiscal 2011. Early adoption is permitted; however, we have elected to implement ASU 2010-17 prospectively and, as a result, the effect of this guidance will be limited to future transactions. We do not expect adoption of this standard to have a material impact on our financial position or results of operations as we have no material research and development arrangements which will be accounted for under the milestone method.

In December 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-027, *Fees Paid to the Federal Government by Pharmaceutical Manufacturers* (ASU 2010-027). ASU 2010-027 provides guidance concerning the recognition and classification of the new annual fee payable by branded prescription drug manufacturers and importers on branded prescription drugs, which was mandated under the health care reform legislation enacted in the U.S. in March 2010. Under this new accounting standard, the annual fee would be presented as a component of operating expenses and recognized over the calendar year such fees are payable using a straight-line method of allocation unless another method better allocates the fee over the calendar year. This ASU is effective for calendar years beginning on or after December 31, 2010, when the fee initially becomes effective, which for us is fiscal 2011. As this standard relates only to classification, the adoption of this accounting standard will not have an impact on our financial position or results of operations.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK.

Not required.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA.

The financial statements required by this Item are included as a separate section of this report commencing on page F-1.

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE.

Not applicable.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management, with the participation of our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, has concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Act)) were not effective as of December 31, 2010, based on the evaluation of these controls and procedures required by Rule 13a-15(b) or 15d-15(b) of the Act.

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Our internal control system was designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the preparation and fair presentation of published financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. All internal control systems, no matter how well designed, have inherent limitations. Therefore, even those systems determined to be effective can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and presentation in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Based on its assessment, management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting at December 31, 2010 was not effective.

Management assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, using the criteria set forth in *Internal Control — Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). Based on that assessment, we identified a material weakness in our internal controls. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the company's annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. A material weakness regarding management's lack of expertise in accounting for complex financial instruments has been identified by management. Specifically, the Company did not properly account for the issuance of certain warrants in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification 815-40-15 "Determining Whether an Instrument (or Embedded Feature) Is Indexed to an Entity's Own Stock" in its Quarterly filings in 2010. Accordingly, we have restated the previously issued 2010 Quarterly financial statements. See Note 12 to the 2010 consolidated financial statements for a full discussion of the effects of this restatement. Subsequent to December 31, 2010, to remediate the material weakness, management hired a consultant to help them analyze and account for complex financial instruments.

Our independent registered public accounting firm has issued an audit report on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting. That report is included herein.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the fourth quarter of 2010 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Board of Directors and Stockholders
Provectus Pharmaceuticals, Inc.
Knoxville, Tennessee

We have audited Provectus Pharmaceuticals, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on criteria established in *Internal Control — Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). Provectus Pharmaceuticals, Inc.'s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying "Item 9A, Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting". Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audit also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the company's annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. A material weakness regarding management's failure to design and maintain controls over accounting for complex financial instruments has been identified and described in management's assessment. Specifically, the Company did not properly account for the issuance of certain warrants in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification 815-40-15 "Determining Whether an Instrument (or Embedded Feature) Is Indexed to an Entity's Own Stock". This material weakness was considered in determining the nature, timing, and extent of audit tests applied in our audit of the 2010 consolidated financial statements, and this report does not affect our report dated March 16, 2011 on those consolidated financial statements.

In our opinion, Provectus Pharmaceuticals, Inc. did not maintain, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on the COSO criteria.

We do not express an opinion or any other form of assurance on management's statements referring to any corrective actions taken by the Company after the date of management's assessment.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Provectus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., a development stage company, as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for the period from January 17, 2002 (inception) to December 31, 2010 and for each of the two years in the period ended December 31, 2010 and our report dated March 16, 2011 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/S/ BDO USA, LLP

Chicago, Illinois
March 16, 2011

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION.

None.

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE.

The information called for by this item is incorporated herein by reference to the definitive Proxy Statement for our Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on June 23, 2011, which will be filed with the SEC pursuant to Regulation 14A under the Exchange Act.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION.

The information called for by this item is incorporated herein by reference to the definitive Proxy Statement for our Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on June 23, 2011, which will be filed with the SEC pursuant to Regulation 14A under the Exchange Act.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS.

The information called for by this item is incorporated herein by reference to the definitive Proxy Statement for our Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on June 23, 2011, which will be filed with the SEC pursuant to Regulation 14A under the Exchange Act.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE.

The information called for by this item is incorporated herein by reference to the definitive Proxy Statement for our Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on June 23, 2011, which will be filed with the SEC pursuant to Regulation 14A under the Exchange Act.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES.

The information called for by this item is incorporated herein by reference to the definitive Proxy Statement for our Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on June 23, 2011, which will be filed with the SEC pursuant to Regulation 14A under the Exchange Act.

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES.

Financial Statements

See Index to Consolidated Financial Statements in “Financial and Supplementary Data.”

Financial Statement Schedules

None

Exhibits

Exhibits required by Item 601 of Regulation S-K are incorporated herein by reference and are listed on the attached Exhibit Index, which begins on page X-1 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

March 16, 2011

PROVECTUS PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

By: /s/ H. Craig Dees

H. Craig Dees, Ph.D.

Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacity and on the dates indicated.

<u>Signature</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Date</u>
<u>/s/ H. Craig Dees</u> H. Craig Dees, Ph.D.	Chief Executive Officer (principal executive officer) and Chairman of the Board	March 15, 2011
<u>/s/ Peter R. Culpepper</u> Peter R. Culpepper	Chief Financial Officer (principal financial officer) and Chief Operating Officer	March 15, 2011
<u>/s/ Timothy C. Scott</u> Timothy C. Scott	President and Director	March 15, 2011
<u>/s/ Eric A. Wachter</u> Eric A. Wachter, Ph.D.	Executive Vice President-Pharmaceuticals and Director	March 15, 2011
<u>/s/ Stuart Fuchs</u> Stuart Fuchs	Director	March 15, 2011
<u>/s/ Kelly M. McMasters</u> Kelly M. McMasters, M.D., Ph.D.	Director	March 15, 2011

INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following financial statements are included in Part II, Item 8:

	<u>Page</u>
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F-1
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009	F-2
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years December 31, 2010 and 2009, and cumulative amounts from January 17, 2002 (Inception) through December 31, 2010	F-3
Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, and cumulative amounts from January 17, 2002 (Inception) through December 31, 2010	F-4
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, cumulative amounts from January 17, 2002 (Inception) through December 31, 2010	F-7
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-8

[This page intentionally left blank.]

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Board of Directors and Stockholders
Provectus Pharmaceuticals, Inc.
Knoxville, Tennessee

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Provectus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., a development stage company, as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for the period from January 17, 2002 (inception) to December 31, 2010 and for each of the two years in the period ended December 31, 2010. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Provectus Pharmaceuticals, Inc. at December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the period from January 17, 2002 (inception) to December 31, 2010 and for each of the two years in the period ended December 31, 2010, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Provectus Pharmaceuticals, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on criteria established in *Internal Control — Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) and our report dated March 16, 2011 expressed an adverse opinion thereon.

/S/ BDO USA, LLP

Chicago, Illinois
March 16, 2011

PROVECTUS PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.
(A Development-Stage Company)

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	<u>December 31, 2010</u>	<u>December 31, 2009</u>
Assets		
Current Assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 8,086,200	\$ 3,237,178
Total Current Assets	8,086,200	3,237,178
Equipment and furnishings, less accumulated depreciation of \$409,442 and \$400,587	21,320	30,175
Patents, net of amortization of \$5,447,257 and \$4,776,137, respectively	6,268,188	6,939,308
Other assets	27,000	27,000
	<u>\$ 14,402,708</u>	<u>\$ 10,233,661</u>
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity		
Current Liabilities		
Accounts payable – trade	\$ 418,477	\$ 220,251
Accrued compensation and payroll taxes	781,262	149,836
Accrued consulting expense	110,000	42,260
Pension liability	—	345,000
Other accrued expenses	40,000	69,804
Total Current Liabilities	1,349,739	827,151
Long-Term Liability		
Warrant liability	2,353,396	—
Total Liabilities	3,703,135	827,151
Redeemable preferred stock; par value \$.001 per share; 25,000,000 shares authorized; 5,389,998 and no shares issued and outstanding, respectively;	4,122,245	—
Stockholders' Equity		
Common stock; par value \$.001 per share; 150,000,000 and 100,000,000 shares authorized, respectively; 91,297,883 and 67,410,226 shares issued and outstanding, respectively	91,298	67,410
Paid-in capital	92,836,053	77,137,021
Deficit accumulated during the development stage	(86,350,023)	(67,797,921)
Total Stockholders' Equity	6,577,328	9,406,510
	<u>\$ 14,402,708</u>	<u>\$ 10,233,661</u>

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

PROVECTUS PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.
(A Development-Stage Company)

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

	Year Ended December 31, 2010	Year Ended December 31, 2009	Cumulative Amounts from January 17, 2002 (Inception) Through December 31, 2010
Revenues			
OTC product revenue	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 25,648
Medical device revenue	—	—	14,109
Total revenues	—	—	39,757
Cost of sales	—	—	15,216
Gross profit	—	—	24,541
Operating expenses			
Research and development	8,417,303	4,909,414	29,285,498
General and administrative	11,604,526	6,745,597	45,563,001
Amortization	671,120	671,120	5,447,257
Total operating loss	(20,692,949)	(12,326,131)	(80,271,215)
Gain on sale of fixed assets	—	—	55,075
Loss on extinguishment of debt	—	—	(825,867)
Investment income	1,202	3,817	650,343
Gain on change in fair value of warrant liability	2,139,645	—	2,139,645
Net interest expense	—	—	(8,098,004)
Net loss	\$(18,552,102)	\$(12,322,314)	\$(86,350,023)
Dividends on preferred stock	(10,407,867)	—	
Net loss applicable to common shareholders	\$(28,959,969)	\$(12,322,314)	
Basic and diluted loss per common share	\$ (0.37)	\$ (0.21)	
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding – basic and diluted	78,817,965	59,796,632	

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

PROVECTUS PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.
(A Development-Stage Company)

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

	Redeemable Preferred Stock		Common Stock		Paid in capital	Accumulated Deficit	Total
	Number of Shares	Par Value	Number of Shares	Par Value			
Balance, at January 17 2002	—	\$ —	—	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Issuance to founding shareholders	—	—	6,000,000	6,000	(6,000)	—	—
Sale of stock	—	—	50,000	50	24,950	—	25,000
Issuance of stock to employees	—	—	510,000	510	931,490	—	932,000
Issuance of stock for services	—	—	120,000	120	359,880	—	360,000
Net loss for the period from January 17, 2002 (inception) to April 23, 2002 (date of reverse merger)	—	—	—	—	—	(1,316,198)	(1,316,198)
Balance, at April 23, 2002	—	\$ —	6,680,000	\$ 6,680	\$ 1,310,320	\$ (1,316,198)	\$ 802
Shares issued in reverse merger	—	—	265,763	266	(3,911)	—	(3,645)
Issuance of stock for services	—	—	1,900,000	1,900	5,142,100	—	5,144,000
Purchase and retirement of stock	—	—	(400,000)	(400)	(47,600)	—	(48,000)
Stock issued for acquisition of Valley Pharmaceuticals	—	—	500,007	500	12,225,820	—	12,226,320
Exercise of warrants	—	—	452,919	453	—	—	453
Warrants issued in connection with convertible debt	—	—	—	—	126,587	—	126,587
Stock and warrants issued for acquisition of Pure-ific	—	—	25,000	25	26,975	—	27,000
Net loss for the period from April 23, 2002 (date of reverse merger) to December 31, 2002	—	—	—	—	—	(5,749,937)	(5,749,937)
Balance, at December 31, 2002	—	\$ —	9,423,689	\$ 9,424	\$18,780,291	\$ (7,066,135)	\$11,723,580
Issuance of stock for services	—	—	764,000	764	239,036	—	239,800
Issuance of warrants for services	—	—	—	—	145,479	—	145,479
Stock to be issued for services	—	—	—	—	281,500	—	281,500
Employee compensation from stock options	—	—	—	—	34,659	—	34,659
Issuance of stock pursuant to Regulation S	—	—	679,820	680	379,667	—	380,347
Beneficial conversion related to convertible debt	—	—	—	—	601,000	—	601,000
Net loss for the year ended December 31, 2003	—	—	—	—	—	(3,155,313)	(3,155,313)
Balance, at December 31, 2003	—	\$ —	10,867,509	\$10,868	\$20,461,632	\$ (10,221,448)	\$10,251,052
Issuance of stock for services	—	—	733,872	734	449,190	—	449,923
Issuance of warrants for services	—	—	—	—	495,480	—	495,480
Exercise of warrants	—	—	132,608	133	4,867	—	5,000
Employee compensation from stock options	—	—	—	—	15,612	—	15,612
Issuance of stock pursuant to Regulation S	—	—	2,469,723	2,469	790,668	—	793,137
Issuance of stock and warrants pursuant to Regulation D	—	—	1,930,164	1,930	1,286,930	—	1,288,861
Beneficial conversion related to convertible debt	—	—	—	—	360,256	—	360,256
Issuance of convertible debt with warrants	—	—	—	—	105,250	—	105,250
Repurchase of beneficial conversion feature	—	—	—	—	(258,345)	—	(258,345)
Net loss for the year ended December 31, 2004	—	—	—	—	—	(4,344,525)	(4,344,525)
Balance, at December 31, 2004	—	\$ —	16,133,876	\$16,134	\$23,711,540	\$ (14,565,973)	\$ 9,161,701

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

PROVECTUS PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.
(A Development-Stage Company)

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY – (continued)

	Redeemable Preferred Stock		Common Stock		Paid in capital	Accumulated Deficit	Total
	Number of Shares	Par Value	Number of Shares	Par Value			
Issuance of stock for services	—	—	226,733	227	152,058	—	152,285
Issuance of stock for interest payable	—	—	263,721	264	195,767	—	196,031
Issuance of warrants for services	—	—	—	—	1,534,405	—	1,534,405
Issuance of warrants for contractual obligations	—	—	—	—	985,010	—	985,010
Exercise of warrants and stock options	—	—	1,571,849	1,572	1,438,223	—	1,439,795
Employee compensation from stock options	—	—	—	—	15,752	—	15,752
Issuance of stock and warrants pursuant to Regulation D	—	—	6,221,257	6,221	6,506,955	—	6,513,176
Debt conversion to common stock	—	—	3,405,541	3,405	3,045,957	—	3,049,362
Issuance of warrants with convertible debt	—	—	—	—	1,574,900	—	1,574,900
Beneficial conversion related to convertible debt	—	—	—	—	1,633,176	—	1,633,176
Beneficial conversion related to interest expense	—	—	—	—	39,529	—	39,529
Repurchase of beneficial conversion feature	—	—	—	—	(144,128)	—	(144,128)
Net loss for the year ended 2005	—	—	—	—	—	(11,763,853)	(11,763,853)
Balance, at December 31, 2005	—	\$ —	27,822,977	\$27,823	\$40,689,144	\$(26,329,826)	\$ 14,387,141
Issuance of stock for services	—	—	719,246	719	676,024	—	676,743
Issuance of stock for interest payable	—	—	194,327	195	183,401	—	183,596
Issuance of warrants for services	—	—	—	—	370,023	—	370,023
Exercise of warrants and stock options	—	—	1,245,809	1,246	1,188,570	—	1,189,816
Employee compensation from stock options	—	—	—	—	1,862,456	—	1,862,456
Issuance of stock and warrants pursuant to Regulation D	—	—	10,092,495	10,092	4,120,329	—	4,130,421
Debt conversion to common stock	—	—	2,377,512	2,377	1,573,959	—	1,576,336
Beneficial conversion related to interest expense	—	—	—	—	16,447	—	16,447
Net loss for the year ended 2006	—	—	—	—	—	(8,870,579)	(8,870,579)
Balance, at December 31, 2006	—	\$ —	42,452,366	\$42,452	\$50,680,353	\$(35,200,405)	\$ 15,522,400
Issuance of stock for services	—	—	150,000	150	298,800	—	298,950
Issuance of stock for interest payable	—	—	1,141	1	1,257	—	1,258
Issuance of warrants for services	—	—	—	—	472,635	—	472,635
Exercise of warrants and stock options	—	—	3,928,957	3,929	3,981,712	—	3,985,641
Employee compensation from stock options	—	—	—	—	2,340,619	—	2,340,619
Issuance of stock and warrants pursuant to Regulation D	—	—	2,376,817	2,377	1,845,761	—	1,848,138
Debt conversion to common stock	—	—	490,000	490	367,010	—	367,500
Net loss for the year ended 2007	—	—	—	—	—	(10,005,631)	(10,005,631)
Balance, at December 31, 2007	—	\$ —	49,399,281	\$49,399	\$59,988,147	\$(45,206,036)	\$ 14,831,510

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

PROVECTUS PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.
(A Development-Stage Company)

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY – (continued)

	Redeemable Preferred Stock		Common Stock		Paid in capital	Accumulated Deficit	Total
	Number of Shares	Par Value	Number of Shares	Par Value			
Issuance of stock for services	—	—	350,000	350	389,650	—	390,000
Issuance of warrants for services	—	—	—	—	517,820	—	517,820
Exercise of warrants and stock options	—	—	3,267,795	3,268	2,636,443	—	2,639,711
Employee compensation from stock options	—	—	—	—	1,946,066	—	1,946,066
Net loss for the year ended 2008	—	—	—	—	—	(10,269,571)	(10,269,571)
Balance, at December 31, 2008	—	\$ —	53,017,076	\$53,017	\$ 65,478,126	\$(55,475,607)	\$ 10,055,536
Issuance of stock for services	—	—	796,012	796	694,204	—	695,000
Issuance of warrants for services	—	—	—	—	1,064,210	—	1,064,210
Exercise of warrants and stock options	—	—	3,480,485	3,480	2,520,973	—	2,524,453
Employee compensation from stock options	—	—	—	—	870,937	—	870,937
Issuance of stock and warrants pursuant to Regulation D	—	—	10,116,653	10,117	6,508,571	—	6,518,688
Net loss for the year ended 2009	—	—	—	—	—	(12,322,314)	(12,322,314)
Balance, at December 31, 2009	—	\$ —	67,410,226	\$67,410	\$ 77,137,021	\$(67,797,921)	\$ 9,406,510
Issuance of stock for services	—	—	776,250	776	855,837	—	856,613
Issuance of warrants for services	—	—	—	—	1,141,593	—	1,141,593
Exercise of warrants and stock options	—	—	3,491,014	3,491	3,100,189	—	3,103,680
Issuance of common stock pursuant to Regulation S	—	—	559,000	559	418,691	—	419,250
Issuance of common stock and warrants pursuant to Regulation D	—	—	11,168,067	11,169	6,335,820	—	6,346,989
Issuance of preferred stock and warrants pursuant to Regulation D	13,283,324	—	—	—	4,217,390	—	4,217,390
Dividends on preferred stock	—	10,042,240	—	—	(10,042,240)	—	(10,042,240)
Preferred stock conversions into common stock	(7,893,326)	(5,919,995)	7,893,326	7,893	5,912,102	—	5,919,995
Employee compensation from stock options	—	—	—	—	3,759,650	—	3,759,650
Net loss for the year ended 2010	—	—	—	—	—	(18,552,102)	(18,552,102)
Balance, at December 31, 2010	5,389,998	\$ 4,122,245	91,297,883	\$91,298	\$ 92,836,053	\$(86,350,023)	\$ 6,577,328

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

PROVECTUS PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.
(A Development-Stage Company)

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOW

	Year Ended December 31, 2010	Year Ended December 31, 2009	Cumulative Amounts from January 17, 2002 (Inception) through December 31, 2010
Cash Flows From Operating Activities			
Net loss	\$(18,552,102)	\$(12,322,314)	\$(86,350,023)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities			
Depreciation	8,855	9,354	432,443
Amortization of patents	671,120	671,120	5,447,257
Amortization of original issue discount.	—	—	3,845,721
Amortization of commitment fee	—	—	310,866
Amortization of prepaid consultant expense	—	—	1,295,226
Amortization of deferred loan costs.	—	—	2,261,584
Accretion of United States Treasury Bills	—	—	(373,295)
Loss on extinguishment of debt.	—	—	825,867
Loss on exercise of warrants	—	—	236,146
Beneficial conversion of convertible interest	—	—	55,976
Convertible interest	—	—	389,950
Compensation through issuance of stock options	3,759,650	870,937	10,845,751
Compensation through issuance of stock	—	—	932,000
Issuance of stock for services	856,613	695,000	8,264,261
Issuance of warrants for services	1,141,593	1,064,210	3,739,427
Issuance of warrants for contractual obligations	—	—	985,010
Gain on sale of equipment	—	—	(55,075)
Gain on change in fair value of warrant liability.	(2,139,645)	—	(2,139,645)
(Increase) decrease in assets			
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	—	50,691	—
Increase (decrease) in liabilities			
Accounts payable	198,226	(46,842)	414,832
Accrued expenses	324,362	411,700	1,080,892
Net cash used in operating activities	<u>(13,731,328)</u>	<u>(8,596,144)</u>	<u>(47,554,829)</u>
Cash Flows From Investing Activities			
Proceeds from sale of fixed assets	—	—	180,075
Capital expenditures	—	(5,839)	(67,888)
Proceeds from investments	—	—	37,010,481
Purchases of investments	—	—	(36,637,186)
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	<u>—</u>	<u>(5,839)</u>	<u>485,482</u>
Cash Flows From Financing Activities			
Net proceeds from loans from stockholder	—	—	174,000
Proceeds from convertible debt	—	—	6,706,795
Net proceeds from sales of preferred stock and warrants	8,908,131	—	8,908,131
Net proceeds from sales of common stock and warrants	6,766,239	6,518,688	28,264,008
Proceeds from exercises of warrants and stock options	2,905,980	2,524,453	14,454,703
Cash paid to retire convertible debt.	—	—	(2,385,959)
Cash paid for deferred loan costs	—	—	(747,612)
Premium paid on extinguishments of debt	—	—	(170,519)
Purchase and retirement of common stock	—	—	(48,000)
Net cash provided by financing activities	<u>18,580,350</u>	<u>9,043,141</u>	<u>55,155,547</u>
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	\$ 4,849,022	\$ 441,158	\$ 8,086,200
Cash and cash equivalents, at beginning of period	\$ 3,237,178	\$ 2,796,020	\$ —
Cash and cash equivalents, at end of period	<u>\$ 8,086,200</u>	<u>\$ 3,237,178</u>	<u>\$ 8,086,200</u>
Supplemental Disclosure of Noncash Investing and Financing Activities			
Year ended December 31, 2010			
Reclassification of warrant liability to equity due to exercise of warrants			\$ 197,700

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

PROVECTUS PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.
(A Development-Stage Company)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. Organization and Significant Accounting Policies

Nature of Operations

Provectus Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (together with its subsidiaries, the “Company”) is a development-stage biopharmaceutical company that is focusing on developing minimally invasive products for the treatment of psoriasis and other topical diseases, and certain forms of cancer including recurrent breast carcinoma, metastatic melanoma, and liver cancer. The Company intends to license and sell a majority stake of its laser device and biotech technology assets via a spin-out transaction. The Company also intends to license and sell a majority stake of the underlying assets of its over-the-counter pharmaceuticals via a spin-out transaction. To date the Company has no material revenues.

Principles of Consolidation

Intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid investments with a maturity of three months or less when purchased to be cash equivalents.

Cash Concentrations

Cash and cash equivalents are maintained at financial institutions and, at times, balances may exceed federally insured limits. We have never experienced any losses related to these balances. All of our non-interest bearing cash balances were fully insured at December 31, 2010 due to a temporary federal program in effect from December 31, 2010 through December 31, 2012. Under the program, there is no limit to the amount of insurance for eligible accounts. Beginning 2013, insurance coverage will revert to \$250,000 per depositor at each financial institution, and our non-interest bearing cash balances may again exceed federally insured limits. Interest-bearing amounts on deposit in excess of federally insured limits at December 31, 2009 approximated \$280,000.

Deferred Loan Costs and Debt Discounts

Costs related to the issuance of the convertible debt are recorded as deferred loan costs and amortized over the term of the loan using the effective interest method. Additionally, the Company recorded debt discounts related to warrants and beneficial conversion features issued in connection with the debt. Debt discounts are amortized over the term of the loan using the effective interest method. All deferred loan costs and debt discounts were fully amortized as of December 31, 2007.

Equipment and Furnishings

Equipment and furnishings acquired through the merger with Valley Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (Note 2) have been stated at carry-over basis because the majority shareholders of Provectus also owned all of the shares of Valley. Other equipment and furnishings are stated at cost. Depreciation of equipment is provided for using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets. Computers and laboratory equipment are being depreciated over five years; furniture and fixtures are being depreciated over seven years.

PROVECTUS PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.
(A Development-Stage Company)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. Organization and Significant Accounting Policies – (continued)

Long-Lived Assets

The Company reviews the carrying values of its long-lived assets for possible impairment whenever an event or change in circumstances indicates that the carrying amount of the assets may not be recoverable. Any long-lived assets held for disposal are reported at the lower of their carrying amounts or fair value less cost to sell. Management has determined there to be no impairment.

Patent Costs

Internal patent costs are expensed in the period incurred. Patents purchased are capitalized and amortized over the remaining life of the patent.

Patents at December 31, 2010 were acquired as a result of the merger with Valley Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (“Valley”) (Note 2). The majority shareholders of Provectus also owned all of the shares of Valley and therefore the assets acquired from Valley were recorded at their carry-over basis. The patents are being amortized over the remaining lives of the patents, which range from 6 – 11 years. Annual amortization of the patents is expected to approximate \$671,000 for each of the next five years.

Revenue Recognition

Prior to 2007, the Company recognized revenue when product was shipped. When advance payments were received, these payments were recorded as deferred revenue and recognized when the product was shipped. The Company has not had any such revenue since then.

Research and Development

Research and development costs are charged to expense when incurred. An allocation of payroll expenses to research and development is made based on a percentage estimate of time spent. The research and development costs include the following: payroll, consulting and contract labor, lab supplies and pharmaceutical preparations, legal, insurance, rent and utilities, and depreciation.

Income Taxes

The Company accounts for income taxes under the liability method in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) 740 “Income Taxes”. Under this method, deferred income tax assets and liabilities are determined based on differences between financial reporting and tax basis of assets and liabilities and are measured using the enacted tax rates and laws that will be in effect when the differences are expected to reverse. A valuation allowance is established if it is more likely than not that all, or some portion, of deferred income tax assets will not be realized. The Company has recorded a full valuation allowance to reduce its net deferred income tax assets to zero. In the event the Company were to determine that it would be able to realize some or all its deferred income tax assets in the future, an adjustment to the deferred income tax asset would increase income in the period such determination was made.

The Company recognizes the effect of income tax positions only if those positions are more likely than not of being sustained upon an examination. Any recognized income tax positions would be measured at the largest amount that is greater than 50% likely of being realized. Changes in recognition or measurement would be reflected in the period in which the change in judgment occurs. The Company would recognize any corresponding interest and penalties associated with its income tax positions in income tax expense. There were no income tax interest or penalties incurred in 2010 or 2009. Tax years for federal and state going back to 2007 remain open for examination.

Basic and Diluted Loss Per Common Share

Basic and diluted loss per common share is computed based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding. Loss per share excludes the impact of outstanding options and warrants and convertible preferred stock as they are antidilutive. Potential common shares excluded from the calculation for the years

PROVECTUS PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.
(A Development-Stage Company)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. Organization and Significant Accounting Policies – (continued)

ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, are 15,422,719 and 22,147,554 from warrants, 11,907,622 and 8,623,843 from options, and 5,389,998 and zero from convertible preferred shares. Included in the weighted average number of common shares outstanding are 2,048,671 and 465,237 common shares committed to be issued but not outstanding at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Subsequent to December 31, 2010, the Company issued 5,454,550 shares of common stock, 2,181,820 warrants to purchase common stock and 3,818,185 warrants to purchase common stock expiring May 19, 2011 which, if exercised, trigger the issuance of 1,527,274 warrants to purchase stock.

Derivative Instruments

The warrants issued in conjunction with convertible preferred stock in March and April 2010 private placements include a reset provision if the Company issues additional warrants, in certain circumstances as defined in the agreement, below the exercise price of \$1.00. Effective January 1, 2009, the reset provision of these warrants preclude equity accounting treatment under ASC 815 (formerly EITF 07-5). Accordingly the Company is required to record the warrants as liabilities at their fair value upon issuance and remeasure the fair value at each period end with the change in fair value recorded in the statement of operations. When the warrants are exercised or cancelled, they are reclassified to equity. The Company used the Monte-Carlo Simulation model to estimate the fair value of the warrants. Significant assumptions used at December 31, 2010 include a weighted average term of 4.23 years, a 5% probability that the warrant exercise price would be reset, a volatility range between 69.7% and 71.9% and a risk free interest rate range between 1.3% and 2.6%.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying amounts reported in the consolidated balance sheets for cash and cash equivalents, accounts payable and accrued expenses approximate their fair value because of the short-term nature of these items.

The fair value of derivative instruments is determined by management with the assistance of an independent third party valuation specialist. Certain derivatives with limited market activity are valued using externally developed models that consider unobservable market parameters.

Stock-Based Compensation

The compensation cost relating to share-based payment transactions is measured based on the fair value of the equity or liability instruments issued and is expensed on a straight-line basis. For purposes of estimating the fair value of each stock option on the date of grant, the Company utilizes the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. The Black-Scholes option-pricing model was developed for use in estimating the fair value of traded options which have no vesting restrictions and are fully transferable. In addition, option valuation models require the input of highly subjective assumptions including the expected volatility factor of the market price of the Company's common stock (as determined by reviewing its historical public market closing prices). Because the Company's employee stock options have characteristics significantly different from those of traded options and because changes in the subjective input assumptions can materially affect the fair value estimate, in management's opinion, the existing models do not necessarily provide a reliable single measure of the fair value of its employee stock options.

Warrants to non-employees are generally vested and nonforfeitable upon the date of the grant. Accordingly fair value is determined on the grant date.

Subsequent Events

Management assesses subsequent events through the issue date of the financial statements.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In January 2010, the FASB issued FASB ASU 2010-06, which amends the disclosure requirements relating to recurring and nonrecurring fair value measurements. New disclosures are required about transfers into and out of the Levels 1 and 2 fair value hierarchy and separate disclosures about purchases, sales,

PROVECTUS PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.
(A Development-Stage Company)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. Organization and Significant Accounting Policies – (continued)

issuances and settlements relating to Level 3 measurements. This ASU also requires an entity to present information about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements for significant unobservable inputs on a gross basis rather than as a net number. This ASU was effective for us with the reporting period beginning January 1, 2010, except for the disclosures on the roll-forward activities for Level 3 fair value measurements, which will become effective for us with the reporting period beginning January 1, 2011. The adoption of this ASU had no impact on our financial position and results of operations, as it only requires additional disclosures.

In April 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-17, *Revenue Recognition — Milestone Method* (ASU 2010-017). ASU 2010-017 provides guidance in applying the milestone method of revenue recognition to research or development arrangements. Under this guidance management may recognize revenue, contingent upon the achievement of a milestone in its entirety, in the period in which the milestone is achieved only if the milestone meets all the criteria within the guidance to be considered substantive. This ASU is effective on a prospective basis for research and development milestones achieved in fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010, which for us means fiscal 2011. Early adoption is permitted; however, we have elected to implement ASU 2010-17 prospectively and, as a result, the effect of this guidance will be limited to future transactions. We do not expect adoption of this standard to have a material impact on our financial position or results of operations as we have no material research and development arrangements which will be accounted for under the milestone method.

In December 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-027, *Fees Paid to the Federal Government by Pharmaceutical Manufacturers* (ASU 2010-027). ASU 2010-027 provides guidance concerning the recognition and classification of the new annual fee payable by branded prescription drug manufacturers and importers on branded prescription drugs, which was mandated under the health care reform legislation enacted in the U.S. in March 2010. Under this new accounting standard, the annual fee would be presented as a component of operating expenses and recognized over the calendar year such fees are payable using a straight-line method of allocation unless another method better allocates the fee over the calendar year. This ASU is effective for calendar years beginning on or after December 31, 2010, when the fee initially becomes effective, which for us is fiscal 2011. As this standard relates only to classification, the adoption of this accounting standard will not have an impact on our financial position or results of operations.

2. Recapitalization and Merger

On April 23, 2002, Provectus Pharmaceutical, Inc., a Nevada corporation and a Merger “blank check” public company, acquired Provectus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., a privately-held Tennessee corporation (“PPI”), by issuing 6,680,000 shares of common stock of Provectus Pharmaceutical to the stockholders of PPI in exchange for all of the issued and outstanding shares of PPI, as a result of which Provectus Pharmaceutical changed its name to Provectus Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (the “Company”) and PPI became a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company. Prior to the transaction, PPI had no significant operations and had not generated any revenues.

For financial reporting purposes, the transaction has been reflected in the accompanying financial statements as a recapitalization of PPI and the financial statements reflect the historical financial information of PPI which was incorporated on January 17, 2002. Therefore, for accounting purposes, the shares recorded as issued in the reverse merger are the 265,763 shares owned by Provectus Pharmaceuticals, Inc. shareholders prior to the reverse merger.

The issuance of 6,680,000 shares of common stock of Provectus Pharmaceutical, Inc. to the stockholders of PPI in exchange for all of the issued and outstanding shares of PPI was done in anticipation of PPI acquiring Valley Pharmaceuticals, Inc, which owned the intellectual property to be used in the Company’s operations.

PROVECTUS PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.
(A Development-Stage Company)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

2. Recapitalization and Merger – (continued)

On November 19, 2002, the Company acquired Valley Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (“Valley”) a privately-held Tennessee corporation by merging PPI with and into Valley and naming the surviving company Xantech Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Valley had no significant operations and had not generated any revenues. Valley was formed to hold certain intangible assets which were transferred from an entity which was majority owned by the shareholders of Valley. Those shareholders gave up their shares of the other company in exchange for the intangible assets in a non-pro-rata split-off. The intangible assets were valued based on the market price of the stock given up in the split-off. The shareholders of Valley also owned the majority of the shares of the Company at the time of the transaction. The Company issued 500,007 shares of stock in exchange for the net assets of Valley which were valued at \$12,226,320 and included patents of \$11,715,445 and equipment and furnishings of \$510,875.

3. Commitments

Leases

The Company leases office and laboratory space in Knoxville, Tennessee on a month-to-month basis.

Employee Agreements

On July 1, 2010, the Company entered into executive employment agreements with each of H. Craig Dees., Ph.D., Timothy C. Scott, Ph.D., Eric A. Wachter, Ph.D., and Peter R. Culpepper, CPA, to serve as our Chief Executive Officer, President, Executive Vice President, and Chief Financial Officer and Chief Operating Officer, respectively. Each agreement provides that such executive will be employed for a one-year term with automatic one-year renewals unless previously terminated pursuant to the terms of the agreement or either party gives notice that the term will not be extended. The Company is committed to pay a total of \$1,000,000 over six months, which is the remainder of the current employment agreements at December 31, 2010. Executives are also entitled to participate in any incentive compensation plan or bonus plan adopted by the Company without diminution of any compensation or payment under the agreement. Executives are further entitled to reimbursement for all reasonable out-of-pocket expenses incurred during their performance of services under the agreement.

Each agreement generally provides that if the executive’s employment is terminated prior to a change in control (as defined in the agreement) (1) due to expiration or non-extension of the term by the Company; or (2) by the Company for any reason other than for cause (as defined in the agreement), then such executive shall be entitled to receive payments under the agreement as if the agreement was still in effect through the end of the period in effect as of the date of such termination. If the executive’s employment (1) is terminated by the Company at any time for cause, (2) is terminated by executive prior to, and not coincident with, a change in control or (3) is terminated by executive’s death, disability or retirement prior to a change in control, the executive (or his estate, as the case may be) shall be entitled to receive payments under the agreement through the last date of the month of such termination, a pro-rata portion of any incentive or bonus payment earned prior to such termination, any benefits to which he is entitled under the terms and conditions of the pertinent plans in effect at termination and any reasonable expenses incurred during the performance of services under the agreement.

In the event that coincident with or following a change in control, the executive’s employment is terminated or the agreement is not extended (1) by action of the executive including his death, disability or retirement or (2) by action of the Company not for cause, the executive (or his estate, as the case may be) shall be entitled to receive payments under the agreement through the last day of the month of such termination, a pro-rata portion of any incentive or bonus payment earned prior to such termination, any benefits to which he is entitled under the terms and conditions of the pertinent plans in effect at termination and any reasonable expenses incurred during the performance of services under the agreement. In addition, the Company shall pay to the executive (or his estate, as the case may be), within 30 days following the date of termination or on the effective date of the change in control (whichever occurs later), a lump sum payment in

PROVECTUS PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.
(A Development-Stage Company)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

3. Commitments – (continued)

cash in an amount equal to 2.90 times the base salary paid in the preceding calendar year, or scheduled to be paid to such executive during the year of such termination, whichever is greater, plus an additional amount sufficient to pay United States income taxes on the lump-sum amount paid.

4. Equity Transactions

(a) During 2002, the Company issued 2,020,000 shares of common stock in exchange for consulting services. These services were valued based on the fair market value of the stock exchanged which resulted in consulting costs charged to operations of \$5,504,000.

During 2002, the Company issued 510,000 shares of common stock to employees in exchange for services rendered. These services were valued based on the fair market value of the stock exchanged which resulted in compensation costs charged to operations of \$932,000.

In 2003, the Company issued 764,000 shares to consultants in exchange for services rendered, consisting of 29,000 shares issued in January valued at \$11,600, 35,000 shares issued in March valued at \$11,200, and 700,000 shares issued in October valued at \$217,000. The value for these shares was based on the market value of the shares issued. As all of these amounts represented payments for services to be provided in the future and the shares were fully vested and non-forfeitable, a prepaid consulting expense was recorded in 2003 which was fully amortized as of December 31, 2004.

In November and December 2003, the Company committed to issue 341,606 shares of common stock to consultants in exchange for services rendered. The total value for these shares was \$281,500 which was based on the market value of the shares issued. The shares were issued in January 2004. As these amounts represented payments for services to be provided in the future and the shares were fully vested and non-forfeitable, a prepaid consulting expense was recorded in 2003 which was fully amortized as of December 31, 2004.

In January 2004, the Company issued 10,000 shares to a consultant in exchange for services rendered. Consulting costs charged to operations were \$11,500. In March 2004, the Company committed to issue 36,764 shares to consultants in exchange for services. These shares were recorded as a prepaid consulting expense and were fully amortized at December 31, 2004. Consulting costs charged to operations were \$62,500. These 36,764 shares, along with 75,000 shares committed in 2003 were issued in August 2004. The 75,000 shares committed to be in 2003 were the result of a cashless exercise of 200,000 warrants in 2003, which were not issued as of December 31, 2003. In August 2004, the Company also issued 15,000 shares to a consultant in exchange for services rendered. Consulting costs charged to operations were \$25,200. In September 2004, the Company issued 16,666 shares to a consultant in exchange for services rendered. Consulting costs charged to operations were \$11,666. In October 2004, the Company issued 16,666 shares to a consultant in exchange for services rendered. Consulting costs charged to operations were \$13,666. In November 2004, the Company issued 16,666 shares to a consultant in exchange for services rendered. Consulting costs charged to operations were \$11,000. In December 2004, the Company issued 7,500 shares to a consultant in exchange for services rendered. Consulting costs charged to operations were \$3,525.

In January 2005, the Company issued 7,500 shares to consultants in exchange for services rendered. Consulting costs charged to operations were \$4,950. In February 2005, the Company issued 7,500 shares to consultants in exchange for services. Consulting costs charged to operations were \$7,574. In April 2005, the Company issued 190,733 shares to consultants in exchange for services. Consulting costs charged to operations were \$127,791. In May 2005, the Company issued 21,000 shares to consultants in exchange for services. Consulting costs charged to operations were \$11,970.

PROVECTUS PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.
(A Development-Stage Company)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

4. Equity Transactions – (continued)

In December 2005, the Company committed to issue 689,246 shares to consultants in exchange for services rendered. 655,663 of these shares were issued in February 2006 and 33,583 shares were issued in May 2006. The total value for these shares was \$650,643 which was based on the market value of the shares issued and was recorded as an accrued liability at December 31, 2005. In February 2006, the Company issued 30,000 shares to consultants in exchange for services. Consulting costs charged to operations were \$26,100.

In May 2007, the Company issued 50,000 shares to consultants in exchange for services. Consulting costs charged to operations were \$84,000. In August 2007, the Company issued 50,000 shares to consultants in exchange for services. Consulting costs charged to operations were \$104,950. In November 2007, the Company issued 50,000 shares to consultants in exchange for services. Consulting costs charged to operations were \$110,000. As of December 31, 2007, the Company is also committed to issue 16,667 shares to consultants in exchange for services. At December 31, 2007, these shares have a value of \$28,667 and have been included in accrued consulting expense.

During the three months ended March 31, 2008, the Company issued 100,000 shares of common stock to consultants in exchange for services. Consulting costs charged to operations were \$122,500. During the three months ended June 30, 2008, the Company issued 12,500 shares of common stock to consultants in exchange for services. Consulting costs charged to operations were \$13,000. During the three months ended September 30, 2008, the Company issued 62,500 shares of common stock to consultants in exchange for services. Consulting costs charged to operations were \$70,250. During the three months ended December 31, 2008, the Company issued 175,000 shares of common stock to consultants in exchange for services. Consulting costs charged to operations were \$184,250.

During the three months ended March 31, 2009, the Company issued 75,000 shares of common stock to consultants in exchange for services. Consulting costs charged to operations were \$70,250. During the three months ended June 30, 2009, the Company issued 275,000 shares of common stock to consultants in exchange for services. Consulting costs charged to operations were \$317,500. During the three months ended September 30, 2009, the Company issued 145,000 shares of common stock to consultants in exchange for services. Consulting costs charged to operations were \$145,750. During the three months ended December 31, 2009, the Company issued 175,000 shares of common stock to consultants in exchange for services. Consulting costs charged to operations were \$161,500.

During the three months ended March 31, 2010, the Company issued 193,750 shares of common stock to consultants in exchange for services. Consulting costs charged to operations were \$190,688. During the three months ended June 30, 2010, the Company issued 232,500 shares of common stock to consultants in exchange for services. Consulting costs charged to operations were \$317,425. During the three months ended September 30, 2010, the Company issued 75,000 shares of common stock to consultants in exchange for services. Consulting costs charged to operations were \$76,750. During the three months ended December 31, 2010, the Company issued 275,000 shares of common stock to consultants in exchange for services. Consulting costs charged to operations were \$271,750.

(b) In February 2002, the Company sold 50,000 shares of common stock to a related party in exchange for proceeds of \$25,000.

(c) In October 2002, the Company purchased 400,000 outstanding shares of stock from one shareholder for \$48,000. These shares were then retired.

(d) On December 5, 2002, the Company purchased the assets of Pure-ific L.L.C, a Utah limited liability company, and created a wholly-owned subsidiary called Pure-ific Corporation, to operate the Pure-ific business which consists of product formulations for Pure-ific personal sanitizing sprays, along with the Pure-ific trademarks. The assets of Pure-ific were acquired through the issuance of 25,000 shares of the Company's stock with a fair market value of \$0.50 and the issuance of various warrants. These warrants included

PROVECTUS PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.
(A Development-Stage Company)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

4. Equity Transactions – (continued)

warrants to purchase 10,000 shares of the Company's stock at an exercise price of \$0.50 issuable on the first, second and third anniversary dates of the acquisition. Accordingly, the fair market value of these warrants of \$14,500, determined using the Black-Scholes option pricing model, was recorded as additional purchase price for the acquisition of the Pure-ific assets. In 2004, 20,000 warrants were issued for the first and second anniversary dates. 10,000 of these warrants were exercised in 2004. In 2005, 10,000 warrants were issued for the third anniversary date. In January 2006, 10,000 warrants were exercised in a cashless exercise resulting in 4,505 shares issued. In 2007, the remaining 10,000 warrants were forfeited. In addition, warrants to purchase 80,000 shares of stock at an exercise price of \$0.50 will be issued upon the achievement of certain sales targets of the Pure-ific product. At December 31, 2010 and 2009, none of these targets have been met and accordingly, no costs have been recorded.

(e) In January 2003, the Company issued 25,000 warrants to a consultant for services rendered. In February 2003, the Company issued 360,000 warrants to a consultant, 180,000 of which were fully-vested and non-forfeitable at the issuance and 180,000 of which were cancelled in August 2003 due to the termination of the consulting contract. In September 2003, the Company issued 200,000 warrants to two consultants in exchange for services rendered. In November 2003, the Company issued 100,000 warrants to one consultant in exchange for services rendered. As the fair market value of these services was not readily determinable, these services were valued based on the fair market value, determined using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. Fair market value for the warrants issued in 2003 ranged from \$0.20 to \$0.24 and totaled \$145,479. As these amounts represented payments for services to be provided in the future and the warrants were fully vested and non-forfeitable, a prepaid consulting expense was recorded in 2003 which was fully amortized as of December 31, 2004.

In May 2004, the Company issued 20,000 warrants to consultants in exchange for services rendered. Consulting costs charged to operations were \$18,800. In August 2004, the Company issued 350,000 warrants to consultants in exchange for services valued at \$329,000. In December 2004, the Company issued 10,000 warrants to consultants in exchange for services valued at \$3,680. Fair market value for the warrants issued in 2004 ranged from \$0.37 to \$1.22.

In January 2005, the Company issued 16,000 warrants to consultants in exchange for services rendered. Consulting costs charged to operations were \$6,944. In February 2005, the Company issued 13,000 warrants to consultants in exchange for services rendered. Consulting costs charged to operations were \$13,130. In March 2005, the Company issued 100,000 warrants to consultants in exchange for services rendered. Consulting costs charged to operations were \$68,910. In April 2005, the Company issued 410,000 warrants to consultants in exchange for services rendered. Consulting costs charged to operations were \$195,900. In May 2005, the Company issued 25,000 warrants to consultants in exchange for services rendered. Consulting costs charged to operations were \$9,250. In December 2005, the Company issued 33,583 warrants to consultants in exchange for services. Consulting costs charged to operations were \$24,571. The fair market value for the warrants issued in 2005 ranged from \$0.37 to \$1.01.

In May 2006, 350,000 warrants were exercised for \$334,000 resulting in 350,000 shares issued. During April, May and June, the Company issued 60,000 warrants to consultants in exchange for services. Consulting costs charged to operations were \$58,400. In August and September 2006, 732,534 warrants were exercised for \$693,357 resulting in 732,534 shares issued. During the three months ended September 30, 2006, the Company issued 335,000 warrants to consultants in exchange for services. At December 31, 2006, \$155,814 of these costs have been charged to operations with the remaining \$84,019 recorded as prepaid consulting expense as it represents payments for future services and the warrants are fully vested and non-forfeitable. As of December 31, 2007, the prepaid expense has been fully recognized. In November 2006, 100,000 warrants were forfeited. During the three months ended December 31, 2006, the Company issued 85,000 warrants to consultants in exchange for services. Consulting costs charged to operations were \$71,790. The fair market value for the warrants issued in 2006 ranged from \$0.67 to \$1.11.

PROVECTUS PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.
(A Development-Stage Company)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

4. Equity Transactions – (continued)

During the three months ended March 31, 2007, the Company issued 85,000 warrants to consultants in exchange for services. Consulting costs charged to operations were \$75,933. During the three months ended June 30, 2007, the Company issued 85,000 warrants to consultants in exchange for services. Consulting costs charged to operations were \$98,185. In April and May 2007, 260,000 warrants were exercised for \$196,900 resulting in 260,000 shares being issued. In May 2007, 10,000 warrants were forfeited. During the three months ended September 30, 2007, the Company issued 135,000 warrants to consultants in exchange for services. Consulting costs charged to operations were \$250,342. During the three months ended September 30, 2007, 2,305,756 warrants were exercised for \$2,219,657 resulting in 2,305,756 shares being issued. 350,000 of the warrants exercised had an exercise price of \$1.00 that was reduced to \$0.90. Additional consulting costs of \$35,000 were charged to operations as a result of the reduction of the exercise price of the 350,000 warrants. During the three months ended December 31, 2007, 1,502,537 warrants were exercised for \$1,327,072 resulting in 1,051,656 shares being issued and 330,881 shares committed to be issued as of December 31, 2007 and then issued January 2, 2008. 65,874 of the warrants exercised had an exercise price of \$1.00 that was reduced to \$0.80. Additional consulting costs of \$13,175 were charged to operations as a result of the reduction of the exercise price of the 65,874 warrants. In December 2007, 10,000 warrants were forfeited. The fair market value for the warrants issued in 2007 ranged from \$0.80 to \$2.19.

During the three months ended March 31, 2008, the Company issued 60,000 warrants to consultants in exchange for services. Consulting costs charged to operations were \$40,657. During the three months ended March 31, 2008, 197,013 warrants were exercised for \$184,402 resulting in 197,013 shares being issued. 24,050 of the warrants exercised had an exercise price of \$1.00 that was reduced to \$0.80. Additional consulting costs of \$4,810 were charged to operations as a result of the reduction of the exercise price of the 24,050 warrants. During the three months ended March 31, 2008, 143,999 warrants were forfeited. Additionally, 330,881 shares committed to be issued as of December 31, 2007 were issued January 2, 2008. During the three months ended June 30, 2008, the Company issued 12,000 warrants to consultants in exchange for services. Consulting costs charged to operations were \$5,254. During the three months ended June 30, 2008, 1,075,104 warrants were exercised for \$980,064 resulting in 1,075,104 shares being issued. 576,012 of the warrants exercised had an exercise price of \$1.00 that was reduced to \$0.90. Additional consulting costs of \$57,602 were charged to operations as a result of the reduction of the exercise price of the 576,012 warrants. 15,050 of the warrants exercised had an exercise price of \$1.00 that was reduced to \$0.80. Additional consulting costs of \$3,010 were charged to operations as a result of the reduction of the exercise price of the 15,050 warrants. During the three months ended September 30, 2008, the Company issued 21,500 warrants to consultants in exchange for services. Consulting costs charged to operations were \$22,023. During the three months ended September 30, 2008, 1,156,555 warrants were exercised for \$1,081,704 resulting in 1,156,555 shares being issued. During the three months ended December 31, 2008, the Company issued 708,055 warrants to consultants in exchange for services. Consulting costs charged to operations were \$384,464. During the three months ended December 31, 2008, 203,500 warrants were exercised for \$172,000 resulting in 203,500 shares being issued. The fair market value for the warrants issued in 2008 ranged from \$0.58 to \$1.03.

During the three months ended March 31, 2009, the Company issued 243,612 warrants to consultants in exchange for services. Consulting costs charged to operations were \$131,476. During the three months ended March 31, 2009, 292,112 warrants were exercised for \$219,084 resulting in 292,112 shares being issued. 292,112 of the warrants exercised had an exercise price of \$0.935 that was reduced to \$0.75. Additional consulting costs of \$17,961 were charged to operations as a result of the reduction of the exercise price of the 292,112 warrants. During the three months ended June 30, 2009, the Company issued 101,500 warrants to consultants in exchange for services. Consulting costs charged to operations were \$49,684. During the three months ended June 30, 2009, 1,830,164 warrants were exercised for \$1,380,124 resulting in 1,830,164 shares being issued. 1,800,164 of the warrants exercised had an exercise price of \$0.935 that was reduced to \$0.75. Additional consulting costs of \$118,833 were charged to operations as a result of the reduction of the

PROVECTUS PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.
(A Development-Stage Company)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

4. Equity Transactions – (continued)

exercise price of the 1,800,164 warrants. Also, the Company paid \$94,508 and issued 126,012 shares of common stock as a cost of capital at a fair market value of \$151,214 to Chicago Investment Group of Illinois, L.L.C. as a placement agent for the transaction of exercising 1,800,164 warrants. The cash costs have been off-set against the proceeds received and the shares of common stock are classified as stock for services and the fair market value of the common stock as a cost of capital. During the three months ended June 30, 2009, 1,283,508 warrants were forfeited. During the three months ended September 30, 2009, the Company issued 167,833 warrants to consultants in exchange for services. Consulting costs charged to operations were \$110,941. During the three months ended September 30, 2009, 545,625 warrants were exercised for \$409,219 resulting in 545,625 shares being issued. 400,000 of the warrants exercised had an exercise price of \$0.98 that was reduced to \$0.75. 145,625 of the warrants exercised had an exercise price of \$0.935 that was reduced to \$0.75. Additional consulting costs of \$45,888 were charged to operations as a result of the reduction of the exercise price of the 545,625 warrants. During the three months ended September 30, 2009, 150,000 warrants were forfeited. During the three months ended December 31, 2009, the Company issued 987,667 warrants to consultants in exchange for services. Consulting costs charged to operations were \$562,780. During the three months ended December 31, 2009, 338,000 warrants were exercised for \$253,500 resulting in 338,000 shares being issued. 101,333 of the warrants exercised had an exercise price of \$0.935 that was reduced to \$0.75. 236,667 of the warrants exercised had an exercise price of \$1.00 that was reduced to \$0.75. Additional consulting costs of \$26,647 were charged to operations as a result of the reduction of the exercise price of the 338,000 warrants. During the three months ended December 31, 2009, 610,000 warrants were forfeited. The fair market value for the warrants issued in 2009 ranged from \$0.48 to \$0.63.

During the three months ended March 31, 2010, the Company issued 859,833 warrants to consultants in exchange for services. Consulting costs charged to operations were \$506,556. During the three months ended March 31, 2010, 1,603,360 warrants were exercised for \$1,493,418 resulting in 1,584,760 common shares being issued. 18,600 of the 1,603,360 common shares issued were committed to be issued but not outstanding at March 31, 2010 and were issued in April 2010. 200,000 of the warrants exercised had an exercise price of \$1.00 that was reduced to \$0.75. 46,667 of the warrants exercised had an exercise price of \$0.935 that was reduced to \$0.8925. Additional consulting costs of \$22,397 were charged to operations as a result of the reduction of the exercise price of the 246,667 warrants. 350,000 warrants were exercised on a cashless basis resulting in 86,241 shares being issued. During the three months ended March 31, 2010, 563,333 warrants were forfeited. During the three months ended June 30, 2010, the Company issued 697,333 warrants to consultants in exchange for services. Consulting costs charged to operations were \$471,038. During the three months ended June 30, 2010, 123,334 warrants were exercised for \$117,917 resulting in 123,334 common shares being issued. 350,000 warrants were exercised on a cashless basis resulting in 73,914 shares being issued. During the three months ended June 30, 2010, 25,000 warrants were forfeited. During the three months ended September 30, 2010, the Company issued 91,500 warrants to consultants in exchange for services. Consulting costs charged to operations were \$50,397. During the three months ended September 30, 2010, 200,000 warrants were exercised on a cashless basis resulting in 10,080 shares being issued. During the three months ended September 30, 2010, 345,000 warrants were forfeited. During the three months ended December 31, 2010, the Company issued 151,500 warrants to consultants in exchange for services. Consulting costs charged to operations were \$91,205. During the three months ended December 31, 2010, 1,076,665 warrants were exercised on a cashless basis resulting in 188,421 shares being issued. During the three months ended December 31, 2010, 9,381,066 warrants were forfeited. During the three months ended December 31, 2010, 2,488,114 warrants were exercised for \$2,320,839 resulting in 2,488,114 common shares issued, of which 439,443 common shares were issued in 2010. \$1,915,509 of the \$2,320,839 was received in January 2011. 2,048,671 of the 2,488,114 common shares issued were committed to be issued but not outstanding at December 31, 2010 and were issued in January 2011. The fair market value for the warrants issued in 2010 ranged from \$0.43 to \$1.01.

PROVECTUS PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.
(A Development-Stage Company)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

4. Equity Transactions – (continued)

There are no provisions or obligations that would require the Company to cash settle any of its outstanding warrants. The equity classification of certain of the Company's warrants is appropriate considering that these warrants provide the counterparties the right to purchase a fixed number of shares at a fixed price and the terms are not subject to any potential adjustments. Certain warrants have been classified as liabilities since they contain certain anti-dilution provisions pursuant to which future issuances or deemed issuances of warrants, in certain circumstances as defined in the agreement, without consideration or for consideration per share less than the applicable exercise price in effect immediately prior to such issue, will result in the exercise price of the warrants being reduced to the consideration per share received by the Company for such deemed issue. Warrants classified as liabilities in 2010 are further discussed in footnotes 4(i) and 11.

(f) In December 2003, the Company commenced an offering for sale of restricted common stock. As of December 31, 2003, the Company had sold 874,871 shares at an average gross price of \$1.18 per share. As of December 31, 2003, the Company had received net proceeds of \$292,472 and recorded a stock subscription receivable of \$87,875 for stock subscriptions prior to December 31, 2003 for which payment was received subsequent to December 31, 2003. The transaction is a Regulation S offering to foreign investors as defined by Regulation S of the Securities Act. The restricted shares cannot be traded for 12 months. After the first 12 months, sales of the shares are subject to restrictions under rule 144 for an additional year. The Company used a placement agent to assist with the offering. Costs related to the placement agent of \$651,771 have been off-set against the gross proceeds of \$1,032,118 and therefore are reflected as a direct reduction of equity at December 31, 2003. At December 31, 2003, 195,051 shares had not yet been issued. These shares were issued in the first quarter of 2004.

In 2004, the Company sold 2,274,672 shares of restricted common stock under this offering of which 1,672,439 shares were issued in the first quarter 2004 and 602,233 were issued in the second quarter 2004. Shares were sold during 2004 at an average gross price of \$1.05 per share with net proceeds of \$793,137. Costs related to the placement agent for proceeds received in 2004 of \$1,588,627 have been off-set against gross proceeds of \$2,381,764. On June 25, 2004, the Company entered into an agreement to sell 1,333,333 shares of common stock at a purchase price of \$.75 per share for an aggregate purchase price of \$1,000,000. Payments were received in four installments, the last of which was on August 9, 2004. Stock issuance costs included 66,665 shares of stock valued at \$86,666 and cash costs of \$69,000. The cash costs have been off-set against the proceeds received. In conjunction with the sale of the common stock, the Company issued 1,333,333 warrants with an exercise price of \$1.00 and a termination date of three years from the installment payment dates. In addition, the Company has given the investors an option to purchase 1,333,333 shares of additional stock including the attachment of warrants under the same terms as the original agreement. This option expired February 8, 2005. On November 16, 2004, the Company completed a private placement transaction with fourteen (14) accredited investors, pursuant to which the Company sold 530,166 shares of common stock at a purchase price of \$0.75 per share, for an aggregate purchase price of \$397,625. In connection with the sale of the common stock, the Company also issued warrants to the investors to purchase up to 795,249 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$1.00 per share. The Company paid \$39,764 and issued 198,812 warrants to Venture Catalyst, LLC as placement agent for this transaction. The cash costs have been off-set against the proceeds received.

During the three months ended March 31, 2005, the Company completed a private placement transaction with eight (8) accredited investors, which were registered effective June 20, 2005, pursuant to which the Company sold 214,666 shares of common stock at a purchase price of \$0.75 per share, for an aggregate purchase price of \$161,000. In connection with the sale of common stock, the Company also issued warrants to the investors to purchase up to 322,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$1.00 per share. The Company paid \$16,100 and issued 80,500 warrants to Venture Catalyst, LLC as placement agent for this transaction. The cash costs have been off-set against the proceeds received. During the three months ended June 30, 2005, the Company completed a private placement transaction with four (4) accredited investors,

PROVACTUS PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.
(A Development-Stage Company)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

4. Equity Transactions – (continued)

which were registered effective June 20, 2005, pursuant to which the Company sold 230,333 shares of common stock at a purchase price of \$0.75 per share, for an aggregate purchase price of \$172,750. In connection with the sale of common stock, the Company also issued warrants to the investors to purchase up to 325,500 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$1.00 per share. The Company paid \$16,275 and issued 81,375 warrants to Venture Catalyst, LLC as placement agent for this transaction. The cash costs have been off-set against the proceeds received. During the three months ended September 30, 2005, the Company completed a private placement transaction with twelve (12) accredited investors pursuant to which the Company sold 899,338 shares of common stock at a purchase price of \$0.75 per share of which 109,333 are committed to be issued at December 31, 2005, for an aggregate purchase price of \$674,500. In connection with the sale of common stock, the Company also issued warrants to the investors to purchase up to 1,124,167 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$0.935 per share. The Company paid \$87,685 and committed to issue 79,000 shares of common stock at a fair market value of \$70,083 to Network 1 Financial Securities, Inc. as placement agent for this transaction which is accrued at December 31, 2005. The cash and common stock costs have been off-set against the proceeds received. During the three months ended December 31, 2005, the Company completed a private placement transaction with sixty-two (62) accredited investors pursuant to which the Company sold 10,065,605 shares of common stock at a purchase price of \$0.75 per share of which 5,126,019 are committed to be issued at December 31, 2005, for an aggregate purchase price of \$7,549,202. In connection with the sale of common stock, the Company also issued warrants to the investors to purchase up to 12,582,009 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$0.935 per share. The Company paid \$959,540, issued 46,667 shares of common stock at a fair market value of \$46,467, issued 30,550 warrants, and committed to issue 950,461 shares of common stock at a fair market value of \$894,593 to a syndicate led by Network 1 Financial Securities, Inc. as placement agent for this transaction which is accrued at December 31, 2005. The cash and common stock costs have been off-set against the proceeds received.

In January 2006, the Company issued 5,235,352 shares committed to be issued at December 31, 2005 for shares sold in 2005. In February 2006, the Company issued 1,029,460 shares committed to be issued at December 31, 2005 for stock issuance costs related to shares sold in 2005. The total value for these shares was \$964,676 which was based on the market value of the shares issued and was recorded as an accrued liability at December 31, 2005. During the three months ended March 31, 2006, the Company completed a private placement transaction with five (5) accredited investors pursuant to which the Company sold 466,833 shares of common stock at a purchase price of \$0.75 per share for an aggregate purchase price of \$350,125. In connection with the sale of common stock, the Company also issued warrants to the investors to purchase up to 466,833 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$0.935 per share. The Company paid \$35,013 and issued 46,683 shares of common stock at a fair market value of \$41,815 to Chicago Investment Group, L.L.C. as placement agent for this transaction. The cash costs have been off-set against the proceeds received. In May 2006, the Company completed a private placement transaction with two (2) accredited investors pursuant to which the Company sold a total of 153,647 shares of common stock at an average purchase price of \$1.37 per share, for an aggregate purchase price of \$210,000. In connection with the sale of common stock, the Company also issued warrants to the 2 investors to purchase up to 76,824 shares of common stock at an average exercise price of \$2.13 per share. In September 2006, the Company completed a private placement transaction with seven (7) accredited investors pursuant to which the Company sold a total of 708,200 shares of common stock at a purchase price of \$1.00 per share, for an aggregate purchase price of \$708,200. The Company paid \$92,067 and issued 70,820 shares of common stock at a fair market value of \$84,984 to Network 1 Financial Securities, Inc. as placement agent for this transaction. The cash costs have been off-set against the proceeds received. In October 2006 the Company completed a private placement transaction with 15 accredited investors pursuant to which the Company sold a total of 915,000 shares of common stock at a purchase price of \$1.00 per share, for an aggregate purchase price of \$915,000. The Company paid \$118,950 and issued 91,500 shares of common stock at a fair market value of \$118,500 to Network 1 Financial

PROVECTUS PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.
(A Development-Stage Company)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

4. Equity Transactions – (continued)

Securities, Inc. as placement agent for this transaction. The cash costs have been off-set against the proceeds received. During the three months ended December 31, 2006, the Company completed a private placement transaction with 10 accredited investors pursuant to which the Company sold 1,400,000 shares of common stock at a purchase price of \$1.00 per share of which 150,000 are committed to be issued at December 31, 2006, for an aggregate purchase price of \$1,400,000. The Company paid \$137,500, issued 125,000 shares of common stock at a fair market value of \$148,750, and committed to pay \$16,500 and to issue 15,000 shares of common stock at a fair market value of \$17,550 to Chicago Investment Group of Illinois, L.L.C. as a placement agent for this transaction which is accrued at December 31, 2006. The cash and accrued stock costs have been off-set against the proceeds received.

In January 2007, the Company issued 150,000 shares committed to be issued at December 31, 2006 for shares sold in 2006. In January 2007, the Company also issued 15,000 shares committed to be issued at December 31, 2006 for common stock costs related to shares sold in 2006. The total value for these shares was \$17,550 which was based on the market value of the shares issued and was recorded as an accrued liability at December 31, 2006. In January and February 2007, the Company completed a private placement transaction with six accredited investors pursuant to which the Company sold a total of 265,000 shares of common stock at a purchase price of \$1.00 per share, for an aggregate purchase price of \$265,000. The Company paid \$29,150 and issued 26,500 shares of common stock at a fair market value of \$32,130 to Chicago Investment Group of Illinois, L.L.C. as a placement agent for this transaction. The cash costs have been off-set against the proceeds received. Also in January and February 2007, the Company completed a private placement transaction with 13 accredited investors pursuant to which the Company sold a total of 1,745,743 shares of common stock at a purchase price of \$1.05 per share, for an aggregate purchase price of \$1,833,031. The Company paid \$238,293 and issued 174,574 shares of common stock at a fair market value of \$200,760 to Network 1 Financial Securities, Inc. as placement agent for this transaction. The cash costs have been off-set against the proceeds received.

In May and June 2009 the Company completed a private placement transaction with accredited investors pursuant to which the Company sold a total of 1,750,000 shares of common stock at a purchase price of \$0.90 per share, for an aggregate purchase price of \$1,575,000. In connection with the sale of common stock, the Company also issued warrants to the investors to purchase up to 875,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$1.00 per share. The Company paid \$227,250 and issued 175,000 shares of common stock at a fair market value of \$197,750 to Maxim Group, LLC as a placement agent for this transaction. The cash costs have been off-set against the proceeds received, which are for general corporate purposes. During the three months ended June 30, 2009, the Company completed a private placement transaction with accredited investors pursuant to which the Company sold a total of 2,868,994 shares of common stock at a purchase price of \$0.75 per share, for an aggregate purchase price of \$2,151,749. 186,667 of the 2,868,994 common shares sold were committed to be issued but not outstanding at June 30, 2009 and which were issued in July 2009. In connection with the sale of common stock, the Company also issued warrants to the investors to purchase up to 1,434,510 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$1.50 per share. The Company paid \$255,323, has accrued \$24,404 to be paid as of June 30, 2009, which was paid in July 2009, and was committed to issue 286,900 shares of common stock at June 30, 2009 at a fair market value of \$295,507 to Network 1 Financial Securities, Inc. as placement agent for this transaction, which were issued in August 2009. The cash costs have been off-set against the proceeds received, which are for general corporate purposes. In July 2009 the Company completed a private placement transaction with accredited investors pursuant to which the Company sold a total of 1,040,570 shares of common stock at a purchase price of \$0.75 per share, for an aggregate purchase price of \$780,427. In connection with the sale of common stock, the Company also issued warrants to the investors to purchase up to 520,120 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$1.50 per share. The Company paid \$101,485 and issued 100,016 shares of common stock in August 2009 at a fair market value of \$95,015 to Network 1 Financial Securities, Inc. as placement agent for this transaction. The cash costs have been off-set against the proceeds received, which are for general

PROVECTUS PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.
(A Development-Stage Company)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

4. Equity Transactions – (continued)

corporate purposes. In July and September 2009 the Company completed a private placement transaction with a total of two accredited investors pursuant to which the Company sold a total of 309,000 shares of common stock at a purchase price of \$0.75 per share, for an aggregate purchase price of \$231,750. The proceeds received are for general corporate purposes. In September 2009 the Company completed a private placement transaction with accredited investors pursuant to which the Company sold a total of 1,696,733 shares of common stock at a purchase price of \$0.75 per share, for an aggregate purchase price of \$1,272,550. In connection with the sale of common stock, the Company also issued warrants to the investors to purchase up to 848,366 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$1.00 per share. The Company paid \$180,432 and was committed to issue 169,673 shares of common stock at a fair market value of \$169,673 to Maxim Group, LLC as a placement agent for this transaction which were issued in November 2009. The cash costs have been off-set against the proceeds received, which are for general corporate purposes. During the three months ended December 31, 2009, the Company completed a private placement transaction with accredited investors pursuant to which the Company sold a total of 1,486,367 shares of common stock at a purchase price of \$0.75 per share, for an aggregate purchase price of \$1,114,775. 266,600 of the 1,486,367 common shares sold are committed to be issued but not outstanding at December 31, 2009 and which were issued in January 2010. In connection with the sale of common stock, the Company also issued warrants to the investors to purchase up to 743,185 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$0.95 per share. The Company paid \$118,926, has accrued \$25,994 to be paid as of December 31, 2009, which was paid in January 2010, and is committed to issue 148,637 shares of common stock at December 31, 2009 at a fair market value of \$132,287 to Network 1 Financial Securities, Inc. as placement agent for this transaction. The cash costs have been off-set against the proceeds received, which are for general corporate purposes. In December 2009 the Company completed a private placement transaction with an accredited investor pursuant to which the Company sold a total of 500,000 shares of common stock at a purchase price of \$0.75 per share, for an aggregate purchase price of \$375,000. In connection with the sale of common stock, the Company also issued warrants to the investors to purchase up to 250,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$1.00 per share. The Company paid \$48,750 and is committed to issue 50,000 shares of common stock at a fair market value of \$45,000 to Maxim Group, LLC as a placement agent for this transaction at December 31, 2009, which were issued in January 2010. The cash costs have been off-set against the proceeds received, which are for general corporate purposes.

The Company issued 50,000 shares of common stock, which were committed to be issued at December 31, 2009 to Maxim Group, LLC in January 2010. The Company issued 148,637 shares of common stock, which were committed to be issued at December 31, 2009 to Network 1 Financial Securities, Inc. in March 2010. During the three months ended March 31, 2010 the Company completed a private placement transaction with accredited investors pursuant to which the Company sold a total of 250,000 shares of common stock at a purchase price of \$0.75 per share, for an aggregate purchase price of \$187,500. The proceeds received are for general corporate purposes. The transaction is a Regulation S offering to foreign investors as defined by Regulation S of the Securities Act. During the three months ended March 31, 2010, the Company completed a private placement transaction with accredited investors pursuant to which the Company sold a total of 1,564,683 shares of common stock at a purchase price of \$0.75 to \$0.80 per share, for an aggregate purchase price of \$1,178,824. 1,106,250 of the 1,564,683 common shares sold were committed to be issued but not outstanding at March 31, 2010 and which were issued in April 2010. In connection with the sale of common stock, the Company also issued warrants to the investors to purchase up to 739,217 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$1.00 per share. 266,600 shares of common stock that were committed to be issued at December 31, 2009 were issued in January 2010. During the three months ended March 31, 2010, the Company paid \$44,697, and has accrued \$108,550 to be paid as of March 31, 2010, which was paid in April 2010 to Network 1 Financial Securities, Inc. as a placement agent for this transaction. The Company issued 45,843 shares of common stock at a fair market value of \$60,971, and was committed to issue 110,625 shares of common stock at a fair market value of \$164,831 to Network 1

PROVECTUS PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.
(A Development-Stage Company)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

4. Equity Transactions – (continued)

Financial Securities, Inc. as a placement agent for this transaction and which were issued in May 2010. The cash costs have been off-set against the proceeds received, which are for general corporate purposes. During the three months ended March 31, 2010 the Company completed a private placement transaction with accredited investors pursuant to which the Company sold a total of 1,360,322 shares of common stock at a purchase price of \$0.935 per share, for an aggregate purchase price of \$1,271,901. 213,904 of the 1,360,322 common shares sold were committed to be issued but not outstanding at March 31, 2010 which were issued in April 2010. The Company paid \$127,190, and was committed to issue 136,032 shares of common stock at a fair market value of \$191,805 to Brewer Financial Services, LLC as a placement agent for this transaction which were issued in April 2010. The cash costs have been off-set against the proceeds received, which are for general corporate purposes. During the three months ended March 31, 2010 the Company completed a private placement transaction with accredited investors pursuant to which the Company sold a total of 92,000 shares of common stock at a purchase price of \$0.75 to \$1.00 per share, for an aggregate purchase price of \$75,250. The proceeds received are for general corporate purposes. During the three months ended June 30, 2010 the Company completed a private placement transaction with accredited investors pursuant to which the Company sold a total of 150,000 shares of common stock at a purchase price of \$0.75 per share, for an aggregate purchase price of \$112,500. The proceeds received are for general corporate purposes. The transaction is a Regulation S offering to foreign investors as defined by Regulation S of the Securities Act. During the three months ended June 30, 2010, the Company completed a private placement transaction with accredited investors pursuant to which the Company sold a total of 3,531,250 shares of common stock at a purchase price of \$0.75 to \$0.80 per share, for an aggregate purchase price of \$2,815,000. In connection with the sale of common stock, the Company also issued warrants to an investor to purchase up to 100,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$1.00 per share. During the three months ended June 30, 2010, the Company paid \$365,949 and issued 353,125 shares of common stock at a fair market value of \$462,594 to Network 1 Financial Securities, Inc. as a placement agent for this transaction. The cash costs have been off-set against the proceeds received, which are for general corporate purposes. During the three months ended June 30, 2010 the Company completed a private placement transaction with accredited investors pursuant to which the Company sold a total of 200,000 shares of common stock at a purchase price of \$1.00 per share, for an aggregate purchase price of \$200,000. The proceeds received are for general corporate purposes. During the three months ended September 30, 2010 the Company completed a private placement transaction with accredited investors pursuant to which the Company sold a total of 139,000 shares of common stock at a purchase price of \$0.75 per share, for an aggregate purchase price of \$104,250. The proceeds received are for general corporate purposes. The transaction is a Regulation S offering to foreign investors as defined by Regulation S of the Securities Act. During the three months ended September 30, 2010, the Company completed a private placement transaction with accredited investors pursuant to which the Company sold a total of 556,150 shares of common stock at a purchase price of \$0.935 per share, for an aggregate purchase price of \$520,000. The Company paid \$67,600 and issued 55,614 shares of common stock at a fair market value of \$52,278 to Network 1 Financial Securities, Inc. as a placement agent for this transaction. The cash costs have been off-set against the proceeds received, which are for general corporate purposes. During the three months ended December 31, 2010 the Company completed a private placement transaction with an accredited investor pursuant to which the Company sold a total of 20,000 shares of common stock at a purchase price of \$0.75 per share, for an aggregate purchase price of \$15,000. The proceeds received are for general corporate purposes. The transaction is a Regulation S offering to foreign investors as defined by Regulation S of the Securities Act. In December 2010, we completed a registered direct offering with Lincoln Park Capital Fund, LLC, pursuant to which Lincoln Park purchased 1,000,000 shares of our common stock for an aggregate purchase price of \$1,000,000. In connection with the sale of common stock, the Company also issued warrants to the investor to purchase up to 500,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$1.50 per share. The Company issued 300,000 common shares to Lincoln Park at a fair market value of \$273,000 as commitment shares in consideration for Lincoln Park to enter into the purchase agreement. In addition to the foregoing investment, under the purchase agreement, we may, in our sole

PROVECTUS PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.
(A Development-Stage Company)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

4. Equity Transactions – (continued)

discretion, direct Lincoln Park to purchase up to an additional \$30,000,000 of our common stock over the 30-month term of the purchase agreement at no less than \$0.75 per share. However, under a securities purchase agreement that we entered into in January 2011, which is described in the subsequent event note to our financial statements, we have agreed not to draw down on the Lincoln Park purchase agreement until on or after November 16, 2011.

(g) Pursuant to a Standby Equity Distribution Agreement (“SEDA”) dated July 28, 2004 between the Company and Cornell Capital Partners, L.P. (“Cornell”), the Company could, at its discretion, issue shares of common stock to Cornell at any time until June 28, 2006. As of December 31, 2006 there were no shares issued pursuant to the SEDA. The facility is subject to having in effect a registration statement covering the shares. A registration statement covering 2,023,552 shares was declared effective by the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 16, 2004. The maximum aggregate amount of the equity placements pursuant to the SEDA was \$20 million, and the Company could draw down up to \$1 million per month. Pursuant to the SEDA, on July 28, 2004, the Company issued 190,084 shares of common stock to Cornell and 7,920 shares of common stock to Newbridge Securities Corporation as commitment shares. These 198,004 shares had a FMV of \$310,866 on July 28, 2004 which was being amortized over the term of the commitment period which was one year from the date of registration. The full amount was amortized as of December 31, 2006.

(h) The Company issued 175,000 warrants each month from March 2005 to November 2005, resulting in total warrants of 1,575,000, to Gryffindor Capital Partners I, L.L.C. pursuant to the terms of the Second Amended and Restated Note dated November 26, 2004. Total interest costs charged to operations were \$985,010.

(i) In March 2010, the Company completed a private placement transaction with accredited investors pursuant to which the Company sold a total of 10,583,324 units (the “Units”), at purchase price of \$0.75 per Unit, each Unit consisting of one share of 8% convertible preferred stock, par value \$.001 per share (the “8% Convertible Preferred Stock”) and a warrant to purchase one-half share of common stock, par value \$.001 per share, totaling 5,291,654 warrants with an exercise price of \$1.00 per share of common stock, for an aggregate amount of gross proceeds of \$7,937,449. The Company paid \$1,054,318, and issued 1,058,333 shares of common stock at a fair market value of \$1,407,583 to Maxim Group, LLC as a placement agent for this transaction. The cash costs have been offset against the proceeds received, which are for general corporate purposes.

At the option of the holder, each share of preferred stock is convertible at any time into one share of common stock. At the option of the Company, but only after such time that the volume-weighted average price of common stock exceeds \$2.25 and the average daily trading volume exceeds 150,000 shares for 30 consecutive days, the Company may convert all or a portion of the outstanding preferred stock into common stock. At the option of the Company, but only after such time that the volume-weighted average price of common stock exceeds \$2.25 and the average daily trading volume exceeds 150,000 shares for 30 consecutive days, the Company may redeem all or a portion of the outstanding preferred stock at the original issue price of \$0.75 per share, plus all accrued and unpaid dividends. Prior to redemption, the holders of the preferred stock can elect to convert to common stock.

Upon voluntary or involuntary liquidation, winding-up or dissolution of the Company, merger or other corporate reorganization, the holders of preferred stock will be entitled to receive out of the assets of the Company, cash in an amount equal to the original issue price of \$0.75 per share plus all accrued or unpaid dividends prior to any payments made to common shareholders. As a result, these redeemable shares are reflected outside of stockholders’ equity on the consolidated balance sheets.

PROVECTUS PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.
(A Development-Stage Company)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

4. Equity Transactions – (continued)

In April 2010, the Company completed a private placement transaction with accredited investors pursuant to which the Company sold a total of 2,700,000 units (the “Units”), at purchase price of \$0.75 per Unit, each Unit consisting of one share of 8% convertible preferred stock, par value \$.001 per share (the “8% Convertible Preferred Stock”) and a warrant to purchase one-half share of common stock, par value \$.001 per share, totaling 1,350,000 warrants with an exercise price of \$1.00 per share of common stock, for an aggregate amount of gross proceeds of \$2,025,000. The proceeds received are for general corporate purposes.

The Company determined that these warrants issued in March and April, 2010 should be classified as liabilities in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification 815-40-15-5 (“ASC 815”), “Determining Whether an Instrument (or Embedded Feature) Is Indexed to an Entity’s Own Stock”, because the warrants in question contain exercise price reset features that require the exercise price of the warrants be adjusted if the Company issues certain other equity related instruments at a lower price per share.

The preferred stock was determined to have characteristics more akin to equity than debt. As a result, the conversion option was determined to be clearly and closely related to the preferred stock and therefore does not need to be bifurcated and classified as a liability. The proceeds received from the issuance of the preferred stock were first allocated to the fair value of the warrants with the remainder allocated to the preferred stock. The fair value of the preferred stock if converted on the date of issuance was greater than the value allocated to the preferred stock. As a result, a beneficial conversion amount of \$7,937,449 was recorded upon issuance of the preferred stock in March 2010. This beneficial conversion amount has been recorded as a deemed dividend as of March 31, 2010 and is included in dividends on preferred stock on the consolidated statement of operations. A beneficial conversion amount of \$2,025,000 was recorded upon issuance of the preferred stock in April 2010. This beneficial conversion amount has been recorded as a deemed dividend as of June 30, 2010 and is included in dividends on preferred stock on the consolidated statement of operations.

The value of the warrant liability was determined based on the Monte-Carlo Simulation model at the date the warrants were issued. The warrant liability was initially recorded on March 11, 2010 for \$3,651,241 which is the value of the warrants issued on that date based on the Monte-Carlo Simulation model. The warrant liability was then revalued at each quarter ended March 31, June 30, September 30 and December 31, 2010. At March 31, 2010 there was a loss from the revaluation of the warrant liability of \$634,999. On April 27, 2010 an additional warrant liability was recorded for \$1,039,500 which is the value of warrants issued on that date based on the Monte-Carlo Simulation model. For the three months ending June 30, 2010, there was a gain from the revaluation of the warrant liability of \$2,137,746. For the three months ending September 30, 2010 there was a gain from the revaluation of the warrant liability of \$531,332. In November 2010, 901,664 of the warrants included in the warrant liability were exercised. The Company determined the fair value of the warrants exercised on the date of exercise and adjusted the related warranty liability to this amount. The adjusted fair value of the warrants exercised of \$197,700 was reclassified into additional paid-in capital. For the three months ending December 31, 2010, there was a gain on the warranty liability of \$105,566. Refer to Note 12 of the financial statements for further information.

Dividends on the 8% Convertible Preferred Stock accrue at an annual rate of 8% of the original issue price and are payable in either cash or common stock. If the dividend is paid in common stock, the number of shares of common stock will equal the quotient of the amount of cash dividends divided by the market price of the stock on the dividend payment date. The dividends are payable quarterly on the 15th day after the quarter-end. The Company anticipates paying the dividends in common stock. The Company has a deficit and, as a result, the dividends will be recorded against additional paid-in capital. At March 31, 2010, the Company recognized dividends of \$34,794 which are included in dividends on preferred stock on the consolidated statement of operations. In April 2010, the Company issued 40,478 shares of common stock in dividends on preferred stock in lieu of cash dividends due as of April 15, 2010. At June 30, 2010, the Company recognized dividends of \$219,391 which are included in dividends on preferred stock on the consolidated statement of

PROVECTUS PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.
(A Development-Stage Company)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

4. Equity Transactions – (continued)

operations. In July 2010, the Company issued 179,991 shares of common stock in dividends on preferred stock in lieu of cash dividends due as of July 15, 2010. At September 30, 2010, the Company recognized dividends of \$111,484 which are included in dividends on preferred stock on the consolidated statement of operations. In October 2010, the Company issued 118,384 shares of common stock in dividends on preferred stock in lieu of cash dividends due as of October 15, 2010. At December 31, 2010, the Company recognized dividends of \$79,748 which are included in dividends on preferred stock on the consolidated statement of operations. In January 2011, the Company issued 82,169 shares of common stock in dividends on preferred stock in lieu of cash dividends due as of January 15, 2011.

During the three months ended September 30, 2010 there were 5,836,661 shares of the Company's preferred stock that converted into 5,836,661 shares of the Company's common stock. During the three months ended December 31, 2010 there were 2,056,665 shares of the Company's preferred stock that converted into 2,056,665 shares of the Company's common stock.

5. Stock Incentive Plan and Warrants

The Company maintains one long-term incentive compensation plan, the Provectus Pharmaceuticals, Inc. 2002 Stock Plan, which provides for the issuance of up to 10,000,000 shares of common stock pursuant to stock options, stock appreciation rights, stock purchase rights and long-term performance awards granted to key employees and directors of and consultants to the Company.

Options granted under the 2002 Stock Plan may be either "incentive stock options" within the meaning of Section 422 of the Internal Revenue Code or options which are not incentive stock options. The stock options are exercisable over a period determined by the Board of Directors (through its Compensation Committee), but generally no longer than 10 years after the date they are granted.

For stock options granted to employees during 2010 and 2009, the Company has estimated the fair value of each option granted using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following assumptions:

	2010	2009
Weighted average fair value per options granted.	\$0.88	\$0.92
Significant assumptions (weighted average) risk-free interest rate at grant date	0.25%	0.25%
Expected stock price volatility	91% – 94%	94% – 100%
Expected option life (years)	10	10

On March 1, 2004, the Company issued 1,200,000 stock options to employees. The options vest over three years with 225,000 options vesting on the date of grant. The exercise price is the fair market price on the date of issuance. On May 27, 2004, the Company issued 100,000 stock options to the Board of Directors. The options vested immediately on the date of grant. The exercise price is the fair market price on the date of issuance. On June 28, 2004, the Company issued 100,000 stock options to an employee. The options vest over four years with 25,000 options vesting on the date of grant. The exercise price is the fair market price on the date of issuance.

On January 7, 2005, the Company issued 1,200,000 stock options to employees. The options vest over four years with no options vesting on the date of grant. The exercise price is the fair market price on the date of issuance. On May 19, 2005, the Company issued 100,000 stock options to the Board of Directors. The options vested immediately on the date of grant. The exercise price is the fair market price on the date of issuance. On May 25, 2005, the Company issued 1,200,000 stock options to employees. The options vest over three years with no options vesting on the date of grant. The exercise price is \$0.75 which is greater than the fair market price on the date of issuance. On December 9, 2005, the Company issued 775,000 stock options to employees. The options vest over three years with no options vesting on the date of grant. The exercise price

PROVECTUS PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.
(A Development-Stage Company)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

5. Stock Incentive Plan and Warrants – (continued)

is the fair market price on the date of issuance. During 2005, an employee of the Company exercised 26,516 options at an exercise price of \$1.10 per share of common stock for \$29,167.

Two employees of the Company exercised a total of 114,979 options during the three months ended March 31, 2006 at an exercise price of \$1.10 per share of common stock for \$126,477. On June 23, 2006, the Company issued 4,000,000 stock options to employees. The options vest over three years with no options vesting on the date of grant. The exercise price is the fair market price on the date of issuance. On June 23, 2006, the Company issued 200,000 stock options to its Members of the Board. The options vested on the date of grant. The exercise price is the fair market price on the date of issuance. One employee of the Company exercised a total of 7,166 options during the three months ended June 30, 2006 at an exercise price of \$1.10 per share of common stock for \$7,882 and another employee of the Company exercised a total of 12,500 options during the three months ended June 30, 2006 at an exercise price of \$0.32 per share of common stock for \$4,000. One employee of the Company exercised a total of 14,000 options during the three months ended September 30, 2006 at an exercise price of \$1.10 per share of common stock for \$15,400 and another employee of the Company exercised a total of 3,125 options during the three months ended September 30, 2006 at an exercise price of \$0.32 per share of common stock for \$1,000. One employee of the Company exercised a total of 7,000 options during the three months ended December 31, 2006 at an exercise price of \$1.10 per share of common stock for \$7,700.

One employee of the Company exercised a total of 120,920 options during the three months ended March 31, 2007 at an exercise price of \$1.10 per share of common stock for \$133,012. Another employee of the Company exercised a total of 9,375 options during the three months ended March 31, 2007 at an exercise price of \$0.32 per share of common stock for \$3,000. One employee of the Company exercised a total of 100,000 options during the three months ended September 30, 2007 at an exercise price of \$0.64 per share of common stock for \$64,000. Another employee of the Company exercised a total of 25,000 options during the three months ended September 30, 2007 at an exercise price of \$0.32 per share of common stock for \$8,000. One employee of the Company exercised a total of 50,000 options during the three months ended December 31, 2007 at an exercise price of \$0.64 per share of common stock for \$32,000. Another employee of the Company exercised a total of 6,250 options during the three months ended December 31, 2007 at an exercise price of \$0.32 per share of common stock for \$2,000. On June 21, 2007, the Company issued 200,000 stock options to its Members of the Board. The options vested on the date of grant. The exercise price is the fair market price on the date of issuance.

One employee of the Company exercised a total of 193,281 options during the three months ended June 30, 2008 at an exercise price of \$0.32 to \$1.02 per share of common stock for \$109,600. Another employee of the Company exercised a total of 44,795 options during the three months ended June 30, 2008 at an exercise price of \$1.10 per share of common stock for \$49,275. One employee of the Company exercised a total of 66,666 options during the three months ended December 31, 2008 at an exercise price of \$0.94 per share of common stock for \$62,666. On June 3, 2008, the Company issued 50,000 stock options to a newly appointed member of the board of directors. The options vested on the date of grant. The exercise price is the fair market price on the date of issuance. On June 27, 2008, the Company issued 200,000 stock options to its re-elected members of the board of directors. The options vested on the date of grant. The exercise price is the fair market price on the date of issuance.

One employee of the Company exercised a total of 156,250 options during the three months ended June 30, 2009 at an exercise price of \$0.64 per share of common stock for \$100,000. Another employee of the Company exercised a total of 150,000 options during the three months ended June 30, 2008 at an exercise price of \$0.64 per share of common stock for \$96,000. On June 19, 2009, the Company issued 250,000 stock options to its re-elected Members of the Board. The options vested on the date of grant. The exercise price is the fair market price on the date of issuance, and all options were outstanding at June 30, 2009. One employee of the Company exercised options during the three months ended September 30, 2009 at an exercise

PROVECTUS PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.
(A Development-Stage Company)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

5. Stock Incentive Plan and Warrants – (continued)

price of \$1.02 per share of common stock for \$20,400 for 20,000 options and an exercise price of \$0.94 per share of common stock for \$47,000 for 50,000 options. One employee of the Company exercised options during the three months ended December 31, 2009 at an exercise price of \$1.02 per share of common stock for \$15,300 for 15,000 options and an exercise price of \$0.94 per share of common stock for \$78,334 for 83,334 options.

One employee of the Company exercised 105,469 options at an exercise price of \$0.64 per share of common stock for \$67,500 and 52,419 options at an exercise price \$0.75 per share of common stock for \$39,315 during the three months ended June 30, 2010. On June 18, 2010, the Company issued 250,000 stock options to its re-elected members of the board. The options vested on the date of grant. The exercise price is the fair market price on the date of issuance, and all options were outstanding at June 30, 2010. One employee of the Company exercised 75,000 options at an exercise price of \$1.10 per share of common stock for \$82,500 and 100,000 options at an exercise price \$1.00 per share of common stock for \$100,000 during the three months ended September 30, 2010. On July 22, 2010, the Company issued 4,000,000 stock options to its employees. The options vested on the date of grant. The exercise price is the fair market price on the date of issuance, and 3,400,000 options were outstanding at December 31, 2010. One employee of the Company exercised 500,000 options at an exercise price of \$1.00 per share of common stock for \$500,000 during the three months ended December 31, 2010. Another employee of the Company exercised 133,333 options at an exercise price of \$0.75 per share of common stock for \$100,000 during the three months ended December 31, 2010.

The compensation cost relating to share-based payment transactions is measured based on the fair value of the equity or liability instruments issued. For purposes of estimating the fair value of each stock option on the date of grant, the Company utilized the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. The Black-Scholes option-pricing model was developed for use in estimating the fair value of traded options, which have no vesting restrictions and are fully transferable. In addition, option-pricing models require the input of highly subjective assumptions including the expected volatility factor of the market price of the Company's common stock (as determined by reviewing its historical public market closing prices). Because the Company's employee stock options have characteristics significantly different from those of traded options and because changes in the subjective input assumptions can materially affect the fair value estimate, in management's opinion, the existing models do not necessarily provide a reliable single measure of the fair value of its employee and board member stock options. Included in the results for the year ended December 31, 2010, is \$3,759,650 of stock-based compensation expense which relates to the fair value of stock options vested in 2010. Included in the results for the year ended December 31, 2009, is \$870,937 of stock-based compensation expense which relates to the fair value of stock options vested in 2009.

PROVECTUS PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.
(A Development-Stage Company)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

5. Stock Incentive Plan and Warrants – (continued)

The following table summarizes the options granted, exercised, outstanding and exercisable as of December 31, 2009 and 2010:

	Shares	Exercise Price Per Share	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Outstanding at January 1, 2009	8,848,427	\$0.32 – 1.50	\$0.94
Granted	250,000	\$ 1.04	\$1.04
Exercised	(474,584)	\$0.64 – 1.02	\$0.75
Forfeited	—	—	—
Outstanding and exercisable at December 31, 2009	<u>8,623,843</u>	<u>\$0.32 – 1.50</u>	<u>\$0.95</u>
Outstanding at January 1, 2010	8,623,843	\$0.32 – 1.50	\$0.95
Granted	4,250,000	\$ 1.01	\$1.01
Exercised	(966,221)	\$0.62 – 1.10	\$0.92
Forfeited	—	—	—
Outstanding and exercisable at December 31, 2010	<u>11,907,622</u>	<u>\$0.32 – 1.50</u>	<u>\$0.98</u>

The following table summarizes information about stock options outstanding at December 31, 2010.

Exercise Price	Number Outstanding at December 31, 2010	Weighted Average Remaining contractual Life	Outstanding Weighted Average Exercise price	Number Exercisable at December 31, 2010	Exercisable Weighted Average Exercise Price
\$0.32	93,750	2.58 years	\$0.32	93,750	\$0.32
\$0.60	75,000	2.58 years	\$0.60	75,000	\$0.60
\$1.10	789,624	3.17 years	\$1.10	789,624	\$1.10
\$0.95	100,000	3.42 years	\$0.95	100,000	\$0.95
\$1.25	100,000	3.50 years	\$1.25	100,000	\$1.25
\$0.64	600,000	4.00 years	\$0.64	600,000	\$0.64
\$0.75	1,089,248	4.42 years	\$0.75	1,089,248	\$0.75
\$0.94	575,000	4.92 years	\$0.94	575,000	\$0.94
\$1.02	4,135,000	5.50 years	\$1.02	4,135,000	\$1.02
\$1.50	200,000	6.50 years	\$1.50	200,000	\$1.50
\$1.16	300,000	9.22 years	\$1.16	300,000	\$1.16
\$1.00	3,600,000	9.41 years	\$1.00	3,600,000	\$1.00
\$1.04	250,000	8.50 years	\$1.04	250,000	\$1.04
	<u>11,907,622</u>	<u>6.42 years</u>	<u>\$0.98</u>	<u>11,907,622</u>	<u>\$0.98</u>

The weighted-average grant-date fair value of options granted during 2010 was \$0.88. The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the year ended December 31, 2010 which were in the money was \$143,702.

The weighted-average grant-date fair value of options granted during 2009 was \$0.92. The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the year ended December 31, 2009 was \$126,719.

PROVECTUS PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.
(A Development-Stage Company)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

5. Stock Incentive Plan and Warrants – (continued)

The following is a summary of nonvested stock option activity for the year ended December 31, 2010:

	<u>Number of Shares</u>	<u>Weighted Average Grant-Date Fair Value</u>
Nonvested at December 31, 2009	—	\$ —
Granted	4,250,000	\$0.88
Vested	(4,250,000)	\$0.88
Canceled	—	—
Nonvested at December 31, 2010	<u>—</u>	<u>\$ —</u>

As of December 31, 2010, there was no unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested share-based compensation arrangements granted under the Plan.

The following is a summary of the aggregate intrinsic value of shares outstanding and exercisable at December 31, 2010. The aggregate intrinsic value of stock options outstanding and exercisable is defined as the difference between the market value of the Company's stock as of the end of the period and the exercise price of the stock options which are in the money.

	<u>Number of Shares</u>	<u>Aggregate Intrinsic Value</u>
Outstanding and Exercisable at December 31, 2010	<u>11,907,622</u>	<u>\$470,582</u>

The following table summarizes the warrants granted, exercised, outstanding and exercisable as of December 31, 2009 and 2010.

	<u>Warrants</u>	<u>Exercise Price Per Warrant</u>	<u>Weighted Average Exercise Price</u>
Outstanding at January 1, 2009	21,025,172	\$0.75 – 2.16	\$0.97
Granted	6,171,791	\$0.91 – 1.50	\$1.16
Exercised	(3,005,901)	\$0.94 – 1.00	\$0.95
Forfeited	(2,043,508)	\$0.75 – 2.16	\$1.01
Outstanding and exercisable at December 31, 2009	<u>22,147,554</u>	<u>\$0.75 – 2.00</u>	<u>\$1.02</u>
Outstanding at January 1, 2010	22,147,554	\$0.75 – 2.00	\$1.02
Granted	9,781,037	\$0.95 – 1.50	\$1.02
Exercised	(6,191,473)	\$0.94 – 1.25	\$0.98
Forfeited	(10,314,399)	\$0.94 – 1.75	\$0.95
Outstanding and exercisable at December 31, 2010	<u>15,422,719</u>	<u>\$0.75 – 2.00</u>	<u>\$1.09</u>

PROVECTUS PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.
(A Development-Stage Company)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

5. Stock Incentive Plan and Warrants – (continued)

The following table summarizes information about warrants outstanding at December 31, 2010.

Exercise Price	Number Outstanding and Exercisable at December 31, 2010	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life in Years	Weighted Average Exercise Price
\$0.75	65,000	0.75	\$ 0.75
\$0.90	2,000	0.50	\$ 0.90
\$0.91	1,000	2.00	\$ 0.91
\$0.92	1,500	1.00	\$ 0.92
\$0.935	133,333	0.21	\$0.935
\$0.95	985,401	3.87	\$ 0.95
\$1.00	10,566,855	3.68	\$ 1.00
\$1.03	1,500	1.50	\$ 1.03
\$1.05	1,000,000	1.05	\$ 1.05
\$1.12	10,000	0.17	\$ 1.12
\$1.25	50,000	1.17	\$ 1.25
\$1.50	2,504,630	2.06	\$ 1.50
\$1.59	1,500	0.75	\$ 1.59
\$1.75	50,000	1.33	\$ 1.75
<u>\$2.00</u>	<u>50,000</u>	<u>0.17</u>	<u>\$ 2.00</u>
	<u>15,422,719</u>	<u>3.19</u>	<u>\$ 1.09</u>

6. Convertible Debt.

(a) Pursuant to a Convertible Secured Promissory Note and Warrant Purchase Agreement dated November 26, 2002 (the "Purchase Agreement") between the Company and Gryffindor Capital Partners I, L.L.C., a Delaware limited liability company ("Gryffindor"), Gryffindor purchased the Company's \$1 million Convertible Secured Promissory Note dated November 26, 2002 (the "Note"). The Note bore interest at 8% per annum, payable quarterly in arrears, and was due and payable in full on November 26, 2004. Subject to certain exceptions, the Note was convertible into shares of the Company's common stock on or after November 26, 2003, at which time the principal amount of the Note was convertible into common stock at the rate of one share for each \$0.737 of principal so converted and any accrued but unpaid interest on the Note was convertible at the rate of one share for each \$0.55 of accrued but unpaid interest so converted. The Company's obligations under the Note were secured by a first priority security interest in all of the Company's assets, including the capital stock of the Company's wholly owned subsidiary Xantech Pharmaceuticals, Inc., a Tennessee corporation ("Xantech"). In addition, the Company's obligations to Gryffindor were guaranteed by Xantech, and Xantech's guarantee was secured by a first priority security interest in all of Xantech's assets.

Pursuant to the Purchase Agreement, the Company also issued to Gryffindor and to another individual Common Stock Purchase Warrants dated November 26, 2002 (the "Warrants"), entitling these parties to purchase, in the aggregate, up to 452,919 shares of common stock at a price of \$0.001 per share. Simultaneously with the completion of the transactions described in the Purchase Agreement, the Warrants were exercised in their entirety. The \$1,000,000 in proceeds received in 2002 was allocated between the long-term debt and the warrants on a pro-rata basis. The value of the warrants was determined using a Black-Scholes option pricing model. The allocated fair value of these warrants was \$126,587 and was recorded as a discount on the related debt and amortized over the life of the debt using the effective interest method.

In 2003, an additional \$25,959 of principal was added to the 2002 convertible debt outstanding.

PROVECTUS PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.
(A Development-Stage Company)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

6. Convertible Debt. – (continued)

Pursuant to an agreement dated November 26, 2004 between the Company and Gryffindor, the Company issued Gryffindor a Second Amended and Restated Senior Secured Convertible Note dated November 26, 2004 in the amended principal amount of \$1,185,959 which included the original note principal plus accrued interest. The second amended note bore interest at 8% per annum, payable quarterly in arrears, was due and payable in full on November 26, 2005, and amended and restated the amended note in its entirety. Subject to certain exceptions, the Note was convertible into shares of the Company's common stock on or after November 26, 2004, at which time the principal amount of the Note was convertible into common stock at the rate of one share for each \$0.737 of principal so converted and any accrued but unpaid interest on the Note was convertible at the rate of one share for each \$0.55 of accrued but unpaid interest so converted. The Company issued warrants to Gryffindor to purchase up to 525,000 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$1.00 per share in satisfaction of issuing Gryffindor the Second Amended and Restated Senior Secured Convertible Note dated November 26, 2004. The value of these warrants was determined to be \$105,250 using a Black-Scholes option-pricing model and was recorded as a discount on the related debt and was amortized over the life of the debt using the effective interest method. Amortization of \$95,157 has been recorded as additional interest expense as of December 31, 2005. The Company recorded additional expense of \$36,945 related to the beneficial conversion feature of the interest on the Gryffindor convertible debt as of December 31, 2005.

On November 26, 2005 the Company entered into a redemption agreement with Gryffindor to pay \$1,185,959 of the Gryffindor convertible debt and accrued interest of \$94,877. Also on November 26, 2005 the Company issued a legal assignment attached to and made a part of that certain Second Amended and Restated Senior Secured Convertible Note dated November 26, 2004 in the original principal amount of \$1,185,959 together with interest of \$94,877 paid to the order of eight investors dated November 26, 2005 for a total of \$1,280,836. The Company subsequently entered into debt conversion agreements with seven of the investors for an aggregate of \$812,000 of convertible debt which was converted into 1,101,764 shares of common stock at \$0.737 per share. As of December 31, 2005, the Company had \$468,836 in principal and \$3,647 in accrued interest owed to holders of the convertible debentures due on November 26, 2006. At December 31, 2005, the Company recorded additional interest expense of \$2,584 related to the beneficial conversion feature of the interest on the November 2005 convertible debt. The \$1,280,836 in principal was issued when the conversion price was lower than the market value of the Company's common stock on the date of issue. As a result, a discount of \$404,932 was recorded for this beneficial conversion feature. The debt discount of \$404,932 is being amortized over the life of the debt using the effective interest method. At December 31, 2005, \$270,924 of the debt discount has been amortized which includes \$256,711 of the unamortized portion of the debt discount related to the debt which was converted. In conjunction with the November 26, 2005 financing, the Company incurred debt issuance costs consisting of cash of \$128,082, 356,335 shares of common stock valued at \$345,645 and 1,000,000 warrants valued at \$789,000. The warrants are exercisable over five years, have an exercise price of \$1.00, a fair market value of \$0.79 and were valued using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. The total debt issuance costs of \$1,262,727 were recorded as an asset and amortized over the term of the debt. At December 31, 2005, \$835,294 of the debt issuance costs have been amortized which includes \$800,520 related to the debt that was converted as of December 31, 2005. The 356,335 shares of common stock were not issued as of December 31, 2005 and therefore have been recorded as an accrued liability at December 31, 2005.

In May 2006, the Company entered into a debt conversion agreement with one of the November 2005 accredited investors for \$86,586 of its convertible debt which was converted into 117,483 shares of common stock at \$0.737 per share. In addition, accrued interest expense of \$3,078 due at the time of the debt conversion was paid in 5,597 shares of common stock. In June 2006, the Company entered into a debt conversion agreement with one of the November 2005 accredited investors for \$382,250 of convertible debt which was converted into 518,657 shares of common stock at \$0.737 per share. In addition, accrued interest expense of \$15,800 due at the time of the debt conversion was paid in 28,727 shares of common stock. As of

PROVECTUS PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.
(A Development-Stage Company)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

6. Convertible Debt. – (continued)

December 31, 2006, all principal and accrued interest owed to holders of the November 2005 convertible debentures had been converted. At March 31, 2006, the Company recorded additional interest expense of \$8,354 related to the beneficial conversion feature of the interest on the November 2005 convertible debt. At June 30, 2006, the Company recorded additional interest expense of \$8,093 related to the beneficial conversion feature of the interest on the November 2005 convertible debt. In 2006 the remaining \$417,886 of debt issuance costs have been amortized which includes \$189,948 of the unamortized portion of the deferred loan costs related to the converted debt at the time of conversion. In 2006 the remaining debt discount of \$134,008 has been amortized.

(b) On November 19, 2003, the Company completed a short-term unsecured debt financing in the aggregate amount of \$500,000. The notes bear interest of 8% and were due in full on November 19, 2004. The notes were convertible into common shares at a conversion rate equal to the lower of (i) 75% of the average market price for the 20 trading days ending on the 20th trading day subsequent to the effective date or (ii) \$0.75 per share. Pursuant to the note agreements, the Company also issued warrants to purchase up to 500,000 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$1.00 per share. During 2005, 52,000 of the warrants were exercised and the remaining warrants expired on November 19, 2005.

The \$500,000 proceeds received was allocated between the debt and the warrants on a pro-rata basis. The value of the warrants was determined using a Black-Scholes option-pricing model. The allocated fair value of these warrants was \$241,655 and was recorded as a discount to the related debt. In addition, the conversion price was lower than the market value of the Company's common stock on the date of issue. As a result, an additional discount of \$258,345 was recorded for this beneficial conversion feature. The combined debt discount of \$500,000 was being amortized over the term of the debt using the effective interest method.

In conjunction with the debt financing, the Company issued warrants to purchase up to 100,000 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$1.25 per share in satisfaction of a finder's fee. The value of these warrants was determined to be \$101,000 using a Black-Scholes option-pricing model. In addition, the Company incurred debt issuance costs of \$69,530 which were payable in cash. Total debt issuance costs of \$170,530 were recorded as an asset and amortized over the term of the debt. In 2004, in conjunction with the June 25, 2004 transaction (Note 4(1)), the Company entered into a redemption agreement for its \$500,000 of short-term convertible debt. Payments on the convertible debt corresponded to payments received from the sale of common stock. As a result, the unamortized portion of the debt discount at the date of extinguishment of \$193,308 and the unamortized portion of the deferred loan costs of \$65,930 were recorded as a loss on extinguishment of debt. In addition to principal payments, the redemption payments included accrued interest and a premium payment of \$100,519. This premium payment has been recorded as a loss on extinguishment. As part of this redemption, the Company repurchased the beneficial conversion feature amount of \$258,345 in 2004.

(c) On July 28, 2004, the Company entered into an agreement to issue 8% convertible debentures to Cornell in the amount of \$375,000 which was due together with interest on July 28, 2007. This debt had a subordinated security interest in the assets of the Company. The Company issued a second secured convertible debenture on October 7, 2004 which had the same conversion terms as the prior debenture and was issued on the date the Company filed a registration statement for the shares underlying both debentures. This was due together with interest on October 7, 2007 and had a subordinated security interest in the assets of the Company. The debentures were convertible into common stock at a price per share equal to the lesser of (a) an amount equal to 120% of the closing Volume Weighted Average Price (VWAP) of the common stock as of the Closing Date (\$1.88 on Closing Date) or (b) an amount equal to 80% of the lowest daily VWAP of the Company's common stock during the 5 trading days immediately preceding the conversion date. There was a floor conversion price of \$.75 until December 1, 2004.

PROVECTUS PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.
(A Development-Stage Company)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

6. Convertible Debt. – (continued)

The accounting guidance requires the issuer to assume that the holder will not convert the instrument until the time of the most beneficial conversion. The accounting guidance also requires that if the conversion terms are based on an unknown future amount, which is the case in item (b) above, the calculation should be performed using the commitment date which in this case is July 28, 2004 and October 7, 2004, respectively. As a result, the beneficial conversion amount was computed using 80% of the lowest fair market value for the stock for the five days preceding July 28, 2004 and October 7, 2004, respectively, which resulted in a beneficial conversion amount of \$254,006 and \$106,250, respectively. The beneficial conversion amount was being amortized over the term of the debt which was three years.

In conjunction with the debt financing, the Company issued warrants to purchase up to 150,000 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$1.00 per share in satisfaction of a finder's fee. The value of warrants was determined to be \$144,000 using a Black-Scholes option-pricing model. In addition, the Company incurred debt issuance costs of \$162,500 which were payable in cash. Total debt issuance costs of \$306,500 were recorded as an asset and amortized over the term of the debt.

In February 2005, the Company entered into a redemption agreement with Cornell Capital Partners to pay \$50,000 of the Cornell convertible debt. As a result, the unamortized portion of the debt discount of \$27,715 and deferred loan costs of \$20,702, which related to this amount at the date of extinguishments, were recorded as a loss on extinguishment of debt. The Company also paid a \$5,000 prepayment penalty which has been recorded as loss on extinguishment of debt. As part of this redemption, the Company has repurchased the beneficial conversion feature related to the redeemed amount of \$16,449.

In March 2005, the Company entered into a debt conversion agreement with Cornell Capital Partners for \$50,000 of its convertible debt which was converted into 66,667 shares of common stock at \$0.75 per share. As a result of this conversion, the unamortized portion of the debt discount of \$24,890 and deferred loan costs of \$18,779, which related to this amount at the date of conversion, have been recorded as additional interest expense.

In April 2005, the Company entered into a redemption agreement with Cornell Capital Partners to pay \$650,000 of the Cornell convertible debt. As a result, the unamortized portion of the debt discount of \$233,425 and deferred loan costs of \$205,741, which related to this amount at the date of extinguishments, were recorded as a loss on extinguishment of debt. The Company also paid a \$65,000 prepayment penalty which has been recorded as loss on extinguishment of debt. As part of this redemption, the Company has repurchased the beneficial conversion feature related to the redeemed amount of \$127,679.

(d) In March 2005, the Company entered into agreements to issue Senior Convertible Debentures to two (2) accredited investors with Network 1 Financial Securities, Inc. in the aggregate amount of \$450,000. This debt has a security interest in the assets of the Company, a maturity date of March 30, 2007, and is convertible into shares of the Company's common stock at a per share conversion price of \$0.75. In April 2005, the Company entered into agreements to issue Senior Convertible Debentures to five (5) accredited investors in the aggregate amount of \$2,700,000. This debt has a security interest in the assets of the Company, a maturity date of March 30, 2007, and is convertible into shares of the Company's common stock at a per share conversion price of \$0.75.

The Company was obligated to pay the principal of the Senior Convertible Debentures in installments as follows: Twelve (12) equal monthly payments of principal (the "Monthly Amount") plus, to the extent not otherwise paid, accrued but unpaid interest plus any other obligations of the Company to the Investor under this Debenture, the Purchase Agreement, or the Registration Rights Agreement, or otherwise. The first such installment payment was due and payable on March 30, 2006, and subsequent installments shall be due and payable on the thirtieth (30th) day of each succeeding month thereafter (each a "Payment Date") until the Company's obligations under this Debenture is satisfied in full. The Company shall have the option to pay all or any portion of any Monthly Amount in newly issued, fully paid and nonassessable shares of Common

PROVECTUS PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.
(A Development-Stage Company)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

6. Convertible Debt. – (continued)

Stock, with each share of Common Stock having a value equal to (i) eighty-five percent (85%) multiplied by (ii) the Market Price as of the third (3rd) Trading Day immediately preceding the Payment Date (the “Payment Calculation Date”).

Interest at the greater of (i) the prime rate (adjust monthly), plus 4% and (ii) 8% was due on a quarterly basis. At the time the interest was payable, upon certain conditions, the Company had the option to pay all or any portion of accrued interest in either cash or shares of the Company’s common stock valued at 85% multiplied by the market price as of the third trading date immediately preceding the interest payment date.

The Company could prepay the Senior Convertible Debentures in full by paying the holders the greater of (i) 125% multiplied by the sum of the total outstanding principal, plus accrued and unpaid interest, plus default interest, if any or (ii) the highest number of shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of the total amount calculated pursuant to (i) multiplied by the highest market price for the common stock during the period beginning on the date until prepayment.

On or after any event or series of events which constitutes a fundamental change, the holder could, in its sole discretion, require the Company to purchase the debentures, from time to time, in whole or in part, at a purchase price equal to 110% multiplied by the sum of the total outstanding principal, plus accrued and unpaid interest, plus any other obligations otherwise due under the debenture. Under the senior convertible debentures, fundamental change means (i) any person becomes a beneficial owner of securities representing 50% or more of the (a) outstanding shares of common stock or (b) the combined voting power of the then outstanding securities; (ii) a merger or consolidation whereby the voting securities outstanding immediately prior thereto fail to continue to represent at least 50% of the combined voting power of the voting securities immediately after such merger or consolidation; (iii) the sale or other disposition of all or substantially all or the Company’s assets; (iv) a change in the composition of the Board within two years which results in fewer than a majority of directors are directors as of the date of the debenture; (v) the dissolution or liquidation of the Company; or (vi) any transaction or series of transactions that has the substantial effect of any of the foregoing.

The Purchasers of the \$3,150,000 in Senior Convertible Debentures also purchased Class A Warrants and Class B Warrants under the Securities Purchase Agreement. Class A Warrants are exercisable at any time between March 10, 2005 through and including March 30, 2010 depending on the particular Purchaser. Class B Warrants were exercisable for a period through and including 175 days after an effective registration of the common stock underlying the warrants, which began June 20, 2005 and ended December 12, 2005. The range of the per share exercise price of a Class A Warrant is \$0.93 to \$0.99 and the range of the per share exercise price of the Class B Warrant was \$0.8925 to \$0.945.

The Purchasers of the Senior Convertible Debentures received a total of 4,200,000 Class A Warrants and a total of 2,940,000 Class B Warrants. 1,493,333 of the Class B Warrants were exercised in December, 2005 for proceeds of \$1,122,481. The warrant holders were given an incentive to exercise their warrants due to the lowering of the exercise price to \$0.75. Interest expense of \$236,147 was recorded to recognize expense related to this conversion incentive. The remaining Class B Warrants were forfeited in December, 2005 at the expiration of their exercise period.

The \$3,150,000 proceeds received in March and April 2005 was allocated between the debt and the warrants on a pro-rata basis. The value of the warrants was determined using a Black-Scholes option-pricing model. The allocated fair value of these warrants was \$1,574,900 and was recorded as a discount to the related debt. In addition, the conversion prices were lower than the market value of the Company’s common stock on the date of issue. As a result, an additional discount of \$1,228,244 was recorded for this beneficial conversion feature. The combined debt discount of \$2,803,144 was being amortized over the life of the debt using the effective interest method.

PROVECTUS PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.
(A Development-Stage Company)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

6. Convertible Debt. – (continued)

In June 2005, the Company entered into a debt conversion agreement with one of the April accredited investors for \$150,000 of its convertible debt which was converted into 200,000 shares of common stock at \$0.75 per share, and \$2,833 of accrued interest was converted into 3,777 shares of common stock at \$0.75 per share. In July 2005, the Company entered into a debt conversion agreement with two of the April accredited investors for an aggregate of \$350,000 of convertible debt which was converted into 466,666 shares of common stock at \$0.75 per share. In September 2005, the Company entered into a debt conversion agreement with one of the March accredited investors for \$400,000 of its convertible debt which was converted into 533,333 shares of common stock at \$0.75 per share. In October 2005, the Company entered into a debt conversion agreement with two of the March accredited investors for an aggregate of \$100,000 of convertible debt which was converted into 133,334 shares of common stock at \$0.75 per share. In November 2005, the Company entered into a debt conversion agreement with three of the April accredited investors for an aggregate of \$675,000 of convertible debt which was converted into 900,000 shares of common stock at \$0.75 per share.

In conjunction with the financing, the Company incurred debt issuance costs consisting of \$387,500 in cash and 980,000 of warrants valued at \$426,700. The warrants are exercisable over five years, have exercise prices ranging from \$0.98 – \$1.23, fair market values ranging from \$0.42 – \$0.44 and were valued using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The total debt issuance costs of \$814,200 were recorded as an asset and amortized over the term of the debt.

The Company chose to pay the quarterly interest due at June 30, 2005, September 30, 2005 and December 31, 2005 in common stock instead of cash. As a result, accrued interest at June 30, 2005 of \$78,904 was paid in 165,766 shares of common stock resulting in additional interest expense of \$28,843. 159,780 shares were issued July 11, 2005 and the remaining 5,986 shares were issued November 7, 2005. The accrued interest due September 30, 2005 of \$72,985 was converted into 97,955 shares of common stock resulting in additional interest expense of \$15,299. 66,667 of these shares were issued on September 30, 2005 and the remaining 31,288 shares were issued October 20, 2005. The interest due December 31, 2005 of \$50,486 was converted into 65,742 shares of common stock resulting in additional interest expense of \$10,922. The 65,742 shares were not issued as of December 31, 2005 and were recorded in accrued liabilities at December 31, 2005. The shares were issued January 9, 2006.

In January 2006, the Company entered into a debt conversion agreement with one of the March 2005 accredited investors for \$250,000 of its convertible debt which was converted into 333,333 shares of common stock at \$0.75 per share. In March 2006, the Company entered into a total of three debt conversion agreements with two of the March 2005 accredited investors for an aggregate of \$500,000 of convertible debt which was converted into 666,667 shares of common stock at \$0.75 per share. In May 2006, the Company entered into a debt conversion agreement with one of the March 2005 accredited investors for \$25,000 of its convertible debt which was converted into 33,333 shares of common stock at \$0.75 per share. In September 2006, the Company entered into a debt conversion agreement with one of the March 2005 accredited investors for \$112,500 of its convertible debt which was converted into 150,000 shares of common stock at \$0.75 per share. In November 2006, the Company entered into a debt conversion agreement with one of the March 2005 accredited investors for \$200,000 of its convertible debt which was converted into 266,666 shares of common stock at \$0.75 per share. In December 2006, the Company entered into a debt conversion agreement with one of the March 2005 accredited investors for \$20,000 of its convertible debt which was converted into 26,667 shares of common stock at \$0.75 per share.

In 2006, \$928,090 of the total debt discount had been amortized which includes \$386,451 of the unamortized portion of the debt discount related to the converted debt at the time of the debt conversions. In 2006, \$287,493 of the deferred loan costs have been amortized which includes \$112,256 of the unamortized portion of the deferred loan costs related to the converted debt at the time of the debt conversions.

PROVECTUS PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.
(A Development-Stage Company)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

6. Convertible Debt. – (continued)

The Company chose to pay the quarterly interest due at March 31, 2006, June 30, 2006, September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2006 in common stock instead of cash. As a result, accrued interest due March 31, 2006 of \$33,274 was converted into 35,939 shares of common stock resulting in additional interest expense of \$4,975. 7,656 of these shares were issued March 20, 2006 and the remaining shares of 28,283 were issued March 31, 2006. The accrued interest due June 30, 2006 of \$21,305 was converted into 24,674 shares of common stock resulting in additional interest expense of \$3,650. These shares were issued June 30, 2006. The accrued interest due September 30, 2006 of \$21,010 was converted into 18,888 shares of common stock resulting in additional interest expense of \$2,167. These shares were issued September 29, 2006. The accrued interest due December 31, 2006 of \$15,086 was converted into 14,760 shares of common stock resulting in additional interest expense of \$1,843. These shares were issued December 29, 2006.

In January 2007, the Company entered into a separate debt conversion agreement with two of its March 2005 accredited investors for \$245,833 of convertible debt which was converted into 327,777 shares of common stock at \$0.75 per share. In February 2007, the Company entered into a separate debt conversion agreement with two of its March 2005 accredited investors for \$121,667 of convertible debt which was converted into 162,223 shares of common stock at \$0.75 per share.

In February 2007, the remaining total debt discount has been amortized, which is \$2,797. In February 2007, the remaining deferred loan costs have been amortized, which is \$3,713.

At December 31, 2007 the Company had no remaining principal or accrued interest owed to holders of the March 2005 convertible debentures due on March 31, 2007.

The Company chose to pay a portion of the quarterly interest due at February 28, 2007 in common stock instead of cash. The accrued interest not paid in cash that was due February 28, 2007 of \$1,109 was converted into 1,141 shares of common stock resulting in additional interest expense of \$149. 358 of these shares were issued on January 25, 2007 and the remaining shares of 783 were issued on February 28, 2007.

7. Related Party Transactions

During 2002, a shareholder who is also an employee and member of the Company's board of directors loaned the Company \$109,000. During 2003, the same shareholder loaned the Company an additional \$40,000. During 2005, the same shareholder loaned the Company an additional \$25,000. In December 2005, the Company approved a request from the shareholder to exchange the total loan amount of \$174,000 plus accrued interest of \$24,529 for 264,705 shares of common stock at \$0.75 per share which were committed to be issued at December 31, 2005. These shares were issued on January 3, 2006. In connection with this transaction which was based on the same terms as the private placement conducted at the same time, the Company also issued warrants to the shareholder to purchase up to 330,881 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$0.935 per share. In December 2007, the employee exercised all of these warrants.

The Company paid a non-employee Member of the Board \$82,500 for consulting services performed in 2009, and issued 70,000 shares of common stock at a fair market value of \$70,000 in July 2009.

The Company paid one non-employee member of the Board \$135,000 for consulting services performed in 2010. The Company paid another non-employee member of the Board \$56,500 for consulting services performed in 2010.

PROVECTUS PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.
(A Development-Stage Company)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

8. Income Taxes

Reconciliations between the statutory federal income tax rate and the Company's effective tax rate follow:

<u>Years Ended December 31,</u>	<u>2010</u>		<u>2009</u>	
	<u>Amount</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>%</u>
Federal statutory rate	\$(6,308,000)	(34.0)	\$(4,190,000)	(34.0)
State taxes	(835,000)	(4.5)	(554,000)	(4.5)
Adjustment to valuation allowance	6,118,000	33.0	4,744,000	38.5
Non-deductible compensation	1,848,000	10.0	—	—
Gain on warrant liability	(823,000)	(4.5)	—	—
Actual tax benefit	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>—</u>

The components of the Company's deferred income taxes are summarized below:

<u>December 31,</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
Deferred tax assets		
Net operating loss carry-forwards	\$ 19,536,000	\$ 15,563,000
Stock-based compensation	4,150,000	2,703,000
Warrants for services	2,893,000	2,454,000
Deferred tax asset	<u>26,579,000</u>	<u>20,720,000</u>
Deferred tax liabilities		
Patent amortization	(2,413,000)	(2,672,000)
Valuation allowance	<u>(24,166,000)</u>	<u>(18,048,000)</u>
Net deferred taxes	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>

A valuation allowance against deferred tax assets is required if, based on the weight of available evidence, it is more likely than not that some or all of the deferred tax assets may not be realized. The Company is in the development stage and realization of the deferred tax assets is not considered more likely than not. As a result, the Company has recorded a valuation allowance for the net deferred tax asset.

Since inception of the Company on January 17, 2002, the Company has generated tax net operating losses of approximately \$50.7 million, expiring in 2022 through 2030. The tax loss carry-forwards of the Company may be subject to limitation by Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code with respect to the amount utilizable each year. This limitation reduces the Company's ability to utilize net operating loss carry-forwards. The amount of the limitation has not been quantified by the Company. In addition, the Company acquired certain net operating losses in its acquisition of Valley Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (Note 2). However, the amount of these net operating losses has not been determined and even if recorded, the amount would be fully reserved. If the Company determines that there were net operating losses acquired, any realization of a deferred tax asset would be reflected as a tax benefit.

The Company has determined that there are no uncertain tax positions as of December 31, 2010 or 2009 and does not expect any significant change within the next year.

PROVECTUS PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.
(A Development-Stage Company)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

9. Cash Balance Defined Benefit Plan and Trust and 401(K) Profit Sharing Plan

In January 2007, the Company established the Provectus Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Cash Balance Defined Benefit Plan and Trust (the "Plan"), effective January 1, 2007, for the exclusive benefit of its four employees and their beneficiaries. Employer contributions to the plan were \$345,000 in 2009, which was accrued as of December 31, 2009 and paid in March 2010. In September 2010, the Company terminated the Cash Balance Defined Benefit Plan and Trust (the "Plan") for its employees. There is an immaterial settlement charge that was not recorded after the termination of the Plan. The Company transferred approximately \$1,353,000 in assets from the Plan to the 401(K) Profit Sharing Plan of the Company which was formed in the three months ended September 30, 2010. Company contributions to the 401(K) Profit Sharing Plan are discretionary. Contributions made by the Company through December 31, 2010 totaled approximately \$497,000 and include the amounts originally contributed to the Plan in 2010.

10. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The FASB's authoritative guidance on fair value measurements establishes a framework for measuring fair value, and expands disclosure about fair value measurements. This guidance enables the reader of the financial statements to assess the inputs used to develop those measurements by establishing a hierarchy for ranking the quality and reliability of the information used to determine fair values. Under this guidance, assets and liabilities carried at fair value must be classified and disclosed in one of the following three categories:

- Level 1: Quoted market prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.
- Level 2: Observable market based inputs or unobservable inputs that are corroborated by market data.
- Level 3: Unobservable inputs that are not corroborated by market data.

In determining the appropriate levels, the Company performs a detailed analysis of the assets and liabilities that are measured and reported on a fair value basis. At each reporting period, all assets and liabilities for which the fair value measurement is based on significant unobservable inputs are classified as Level 3. The fair value of derivative instruments is determined by management with the assistance of an independent third party valuation specialist. The warrant liability is a derivative instrument and is classified as Level 3. The Company used the Monte-Carlo Simulation model to estimate the fair value of the warrants. Significant assumptions used at December 31, 2010 include a weighted average term of 4.23 years, a 5% probability that the warrant exercise price would be reset, a volatility range between 69.7% and 71.9% and a risk free interest rate range between 1.3% and 2.6%.

The warrant liability measured at fair value on a recurring basis is as follows:

	December 31, 2010			
	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Derivative instruments:				
Warrant liability	\$2,353,396	\$ —	\$ —	\$2,353,396

A reconciliation of the warranty liability measured at fair value on a recurring basis with the use of significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) from January 1, 2010 to December 31, 2010 follows:

Balance at January 1, 2010	\$ —
Issuance of warrants	4,690,741
Net gains included in earnings	(2,139,645)
Exercise of warrants	(197,700)
Balance at December 31, 2010	\$ 2,353,396

PROVACTUS PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.
(A Development-Stage Company)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

11. Subsequent Events

The Company has evaluated subsequent events. On January 13, 2011, the Company and certain investors entered into a securities purchase agreement, pursuant to which the Company agreed to sell in a registered direct public offering an aggregate of 5,454,550 shares of its common stock and warrants to purchase a total of 7,527,279 shares of its common stock to such investors for aggregate gross proceeds, before deducting estimated offering expenses payable by the Company, of approximately \$5,100,000.

The warrants will consist of the following: Series A Warrants to purchase up to 40% of the shares of common stock to be purchased at the closing, Series B Warrants to purchase up to 70% of the shares of common stock to be purchased at the closing, and Series C Warrants to purchase up to 28% of the common stock to be purchased at the closing. The Series A Warrants and the Series C Warrants have an exercise price of \$1.12 per share, subject to adjustment, and expire five years after their issuance. The Series B Warrants have an exercise price \$0.935 per share, subject to adjustment, and expire 150 days after their issuance. The Series C Warrants are only exercisable to the extent that the Series B Warrants are exercised and only in the same percentage that the Series B Warrants are exercised. The exercise price of the warrants is subject to adjustment in the case of stock splits, stock dividends, combinations of shares and similar recapitalization transactions. The Series A Warrants and Series C Warrants also contain additional anti-dilution provisions such that, subject to customary exceptions, in the event of an issuance or deemed issuance by the Company of common stock or securities convertible into common stock at a price per share less than the then applicable exercise price, the then applicable exercise price will be reduced to the new issuance price. The Series B Warrants do not contain such additional anti-dilution provisions. The exercisability of the warrants may be limited if, upon exercise, the holder or any of its affiliates would beneficially own more than 4.9% of the Company's common stock.

12. Restatement (unaudited)

The Company issued warrants to purchase 5,291,654 shares of the Company's common stock in March 2010 and warrants to purchase 1,350,000 shares of the Company's common stock in April 2010 (collectively, the "Warrants"). The Warrants have an exercise price of \$1.00 per share and expire five years after their issuance. The Warrants contain certain anti-dilution provisions pursuant to which future issuances or deemed issuances of warrants, in certain circumstances as defined in the agreement, without consideration or for consideration per share less than the applicable exercise price in effect immediately prior to such issue, will result in the exercise price of the Warrants being reduced to the consideration per share received by the Company for such deemed issue. The Company originally classified the Warrants as equity in its 2010 quarterly filings.

The Company has determined that the Warrants should be classified as liabilities in accordance with ASC 815 due to the anti-dilution provisions contained in the Warrants. The Company reflected the necessary adjustment in the fourth quarter of 2010 and calculated the impact on its quarterly reports on Form 10-Q for the quarterly periods ending March 31, June 30, and September 30, 2010. The applicable line items on the Form 10-Q Consolidated Balance Sheets and Consolidated Statements of Operations have been restated below for the quarterly periods ending March 31, June 30, and September 30, 2010.

The Company determined its quantitative valuation of the Warrants using a Monte-Carlo Simulation model. Management of the Company believes that the Monte-Carlo Simulation model is appropriate because it is a dynamic model, which accommodates variable inputs.

The Company also determined that its redeemable preferred stock should be reclassified outside of permanent stockholders' equity.

PROVECTUS PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.
(A Development-Stage Company)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

12. Restatement (unaudited) – (continued)

The impact of the application of ASC 815 and the reclassification of redeemable preferred stock on the effected line items of the Company's quarterly financial statements is set forth below:

* * * * *

**Consolidated Balance Sheet
as of March 31, 2010**

	As Previously Reported	Adjustments	As Restated
Total current liabilities	\$ 527,930	\$ —	\$ 527,930
Long-term warrant liability	—	4,286,240	4,286,240
Total liabilities	527,930	4,286,240	4,814,170
Paid-in capital	88,650,285	(3,651,241)	84,999,044
Deficit accumulated during the development stage	(70,665,938)	(634,999)	(71,300,937)
Total Stockholders' Equity	18,067,721	(12,258,527)	5,809,194
Redeemable Preferred Stock	—	7,972,287	7,972,287

**Consolidated Statement of Operations
for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2010**

	As Previously Reported	Adjustments	As Restated
Total operating loss	\$ 2,868,067	\$ —	\$ 2,868,067
Loss on change in fair value of warrant liability	—	(634,999)	(634,999)
Net loss	2,868,017	634,999	3,503,016
Dividends on preferred stock	8,357,584	(385,341)	7,972,243
Net loss applicable to common shareholders . .	11,225,601	249,658	11,475,259
Basic and diluted loss per common share	0.16		0.17

**Consolidated Balance Sheet
as of June 30, 2010**

	As Previously Reported	Adjustments	As Restated
Total current liabilities	\$ 782,694	\$ —	\$ 782,694
Long-term warrant liability	—	3,187,994	3,187,994
Total liabilities	782,694	3,187,994	3,970,688
Paid-in capital	94,695,032	(4,690,741)	90,004,291
Deficit accumulated during the development stage	(76,187,498)	1,502,747	(74,684,751)
Total Stockholders' Equity	18,600,056	(13,369,878)	5,230,178
Redeemable Preferred Stock	—	10,181,884	10,181,884

PROVECTUS PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.
(A Development-Stage Company)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

12. Restatement (unaudited) – (continued)

**Consolidated Statement of Operations
for the Three Months Ended June 30, 2010**

	<u>As Previously Reported</u>	<u>Adjustments</u>	<u>As Restated</u>
Total operating loss	\$5,521,828	\$ —	\$5,521,828
Gain on change in fair value of warrant liability	—	2,137,746	2,137,746
Net loss	5,521,560	(2,137,746)	3,383,814
Dividends on preferred stock	2,590,033	(345,641)	2,244,392
Net loss applicable to common shareholders . .	8,111,593	(2,483,387)	5,628,206
Basic and diluted loss per common share	0.10		0.07

**Consolidated Statement of Operations
for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2010**

	<u>As Previously Reported</u>	<u>Adjustments</u>	<u>As Restated</u>
Total operating loss	\$ 8,389,895	\$ —	\$ 8,389,895
Gain on change in fair value of warrant liability	—	1,502,747	1,502,747
Net loss	8,389,577	(1,502,747)	6,886,830
Dividends on preferred stock	10,947,617	(730,982)	10,216,635
Net loss applicable to common shareholders . .	19,337,194	(2,233,729)	17,103,465
Basic and diluted loss per common share	0.26		0.23

**Consolidated Balance Sheet
as of September 30, 2010**

	<u>As Previously Reported</u>	<u>Adjustments</u>	<u>As Restated</u>
Total current liabilities	\$ 1,022,866	\$ —	\$ 1,022,866
Long-term warrant liability	—	2,656,662	2,656,662
Total liabilities	1,022,866	2,656,662	3,679,528
Paid-in capital	99,065,638	(4,690,741)	94,374,897
Deficit accumulated during the development stage	(83,626,706)	2,034,079	(81,592,627)
Total Stockholders' Equity	15,532,646	(8,353,143)	7,179,503
Redeemable Preferred Stock	—	5,696,481	5,696,481

PROVECTUS PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.
(A Development-Stage Company)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

12. Restatement (unaudited) – (continued)

**Consolidated Statement of Operations
for the Three Months Ended September 30, 2010**

	<u>As Previously Reported</u>	<u>Adjustments</u>	<u>As Restated</u>
Total operating loss	\$7,439,800	\$ —	\$7,439,800
Gain on change in fair value of warrant liability	—	531,332	531,332
Net loss	7,439,208	(531,332)	6,907,876
Dividends on preferred stock.	111,484	—	111,484
Net loss applicable to common shareholders . .	7,550,692	(531,332)	7,019,360
Basic and diluted loss per common share	0.09		0.09

**Consolidated Statement of Operations
for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010**

	<u>As Previously Reported</u>	<u>Adjustments</u>	<u>As Restated</u>
Total operating loss	\$15,829,695	\$ —	\$15,829,695
Gain on change in fair value of warrant liability	—	2,034,079	2,034,079
Net loss	15,828,785	(2,034,079)	13,794,706
Dividends on preferred stock.	11,059,101	(730,982)	10,328,119
Net loss applicable to common shareholders . .	26,887,886	(2,765,061)	24,122,825
Basic and diluted loss per common share	0.35		0.32

**CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER
PURSUANT TO RULE 13a-14(a) UNDER
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

I, H. Craig Dees, Ph.D., certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010 of Provectus Pharmaceuticals, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statement made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: March 16, 2011

By: /s/ H. Craig Dees

H. Craig Dees
Chief Executive Officer

**CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER
PURSUANT TO RULE 13a-14(a) UNDER
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

I, Peter R. Culpepper, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010 of Provectus Pharmaceuticals, Inc.;

2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statement made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;

3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;

4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:

(a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;

(b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;

(c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and

(d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and

5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):

(a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and

(b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: March 16, 2011

By: /s/ Peter R. Culpepper

Peter R. Culpepper
Chief Financial Officer
Chief Operating Officer

**CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER AND
CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER PURSUANT TO RULE 13a-14(b) UNDER
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 AND SECTION 1350 OF
CHAPTER 63 OF TITLE 18 OF THE UNITED STATES CODE**

Each of the undersigned, H. Craig Dees and Peter R. Culpepper, certifies pursuant to Rule 13a-14(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Exchange Act”) and Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code, that (1) this annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, of Provectus Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (the “Company”) fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act, and (2) the information contained in this report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

This Certification is signed on March 16, 2011

/s/ H. Craig Dees

H. Craig Dees, Ph.D.
Chief Executive Officer

/s/ Peter R. Culpepper

Chief Financial Officer
Chief Operating Officer

[This page intentionally left blank.]

[This page intentionally left blank.]

[This page intentionally left blank.]

